

proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at [www.ffiec.gov/nic/](http://www.ffiec.gov/nic/).

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than February 21, 2008.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta**  
(David Tatum, Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309:

1. *Greensburg Bancshares, Inc.*, to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Bank of Greensburg, both of Greensburg, Louisiana.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System January 22, 2008.

**Margaret McCloskey Shanks,**

*Associate Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. E8-1306 Filed 1-24-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Toxicology Program (NTP); Office of Liaison, Policy and Review; Meeting of the NTP Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee; Amended Notice

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health (NIH).

**ACTION:** Change in agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The February 27-28, 2008, meeting of the NTP Board of Scientific Counselors was announced in the **Federal Register** (72FR70863) on December 13, 2007. The agenda for the subcommittee meeting has changed. The draft NTP Technical Report on  $\beta$ -myrcene (TR 557) will not be reviewed. The guidelines published in the December 13 notice for submitting public comments or making an oral presentation at the meeting still apply. Any updates to the agenda or additional information and background materials will be posted on the NTP Web site (<http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/15833>) and provided upon request from the Executive Secretary (see **ADDRESSES** below).

**ADDRESSES:** Public comments and any other correspondence should be submitted to Dr. Barbara Shane, Executive Secretary for the NTP Board (NTP Office of Liaison, Policy and Review Office, NIEHS, P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-01, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; telephone: 919-541-4253, fax: 919-541-0295; or e-mail: [shane@niehs.nih.gov](mailto:shane@niehs.nih.gov)).

Dated: January 11, 2008.

**Samuel H. Wilson,**

*Acting Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and National Toxicology Program.*

[FR Doc. E8-1248 Filed 1-24-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-08-07AS]

### Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639-5960 or send an e-mail to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov). Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395-6974. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

### Proposed Project

Focus Group Testing and Survey on Radiological Event Messages for Public Health Workers—New—National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

### Background and Brief Description

In January 2003, CDC held a roundtable to specifically address communications needs likely to arise in the aftermath of a terrorist event involving mass casualties. Hospital administrators and clinicians, public health practitioners, and emergency planners emphasized the gaps in their training and in their knowledge of how to respond to nuclear or radiological events.

Concurrent with this, CDC began working with the Association of Schools of Public Health (ASPH) to assess knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors

related to preparedness for a radiological or nuclear terrorist event in the United States. The strong and clear message delivered to the CDC was that both the professional (e.g., clinicians and public health workers) and the lay American public were unprepared to respond to such an event (Becker 2004). Specifically, clinicians who participated in the research acknowledged a lack of training and preparedness, a potential unwillingness to treat patients if they are perceived as radiologically contaminated, and concerns about public panic and consequent overwhelming of hospitals and other clinical systems. More importantly, findings from the meeting revealed a critical need to assess communication preparedness among public health workers in relation to radiological emergencies.

This proposal addresses the need for the development of clear communication messages in the event of a radiological incident. As part of a cooperative agreement, CDC has contracted with the National Public Health Information Coalition (NPHIC) to collect data from public health workers in 6 states—California, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, North Carolina and South Carolina—to evaluate a set of messages that have been developed by CDC for public health workers to use before, during and after a radiological event. The 5 communication messages focus on the main concerns expressed by representatives from these 6 states and other participants in audience research. The participating states volunteered for this project. Public health workers referenced in this proposal are nurses, physicians, clinical technicians, administrative, management and support staff and epidemiologists.

CDC's primary goal is to protect the health and safety of the public. Since public health workers are usually first responders in various capacities in the event of a radiological emergency, the need to develop time-sensitive and consistent communication messages is vital. Developing clear messages that can be used by public health workers as an integral part of their radiological emergency plan is consistent with this goal. These message concepts, which range from how to protect the worker and family to the role of the public health worker during a radiological emergency will serve as a reference tool and guidance for state health departments in the event of such situations.

This proposal seeks approval to obtain data using two methods, focus group testing and electronic surveys to achieve greater results. Focus group

testing will be conducted to obtain qualitative data that will be gathered through a series of six focus groups of public health workers, one in each participating state. The focus groups will consist of 12 participants and will be about 1½ hours in length. The focus group testing will assess attitudes, knowledge and emotional responses. Of particular interest will be how the participants might react to radiological concepts pertaining to their roles as public health workers and scenarios that will be included in the messages. Quantitative data will be obtained through a one-time written electronic survey to randomly selected public health workers in the six states. The participants who will be participating in

the electronic survey will not be included in the focus group testing.

CDC proposes to use this information to develop a final set of communication messages. The intent is for the messages to be disseminated using various methods and to provide a more consistent platform for states to respond to radiological emergencies. This research will help refine messages that have the ability to increase the percentage of workers who present to deliver services in a radiological emergency. Also, as a result of the study, CDC will have a set of tested public health messages that can allow public health workers to speak with one voice to the general public in a radiological emergency. In addition, the development of these messages will

foster collaboration among the states and CDC.

Therefore, CDC requests approval to test one set of five messages among public health workers using focus group testing and electronic surveys. The surveys and focus groups will include questions about how believable the messages are, what would make them more believable, the need for additional information for a clearer understanding of the messages, how and if the messages help them to feel safe, and what would make them easier to understand.

There is no cost to the respondents other than their time. The total estimated annualized burden hours are 782 hours.

#### ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondent	Form of collecting information	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
Public Health Workers .....	Focus Groups .....	72	1	90/60
Public Health Workers .....	E-mail Surveys .....	2022	1	20/60

Dated: January 16, 2008.

**Maryam I. Daneshvar,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. E8-1233 Filed 1-24-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-08-0692]

#### Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639-5960 or send an e-mail to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov). Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395-6974. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

#### Proposed Project

A Survey of the Knowledge, Attitudes and Practice of Medical and Allied Health Professionals Regarding Fetal

Alcohol Exposure—Revision—National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities (NCBDDD), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

#### Background and Brief Description

Maternal prenatal alcohol use is one of the leading, preventable, causes of birth defects and developmental disabilities. Children exposed to alcohol during fetal development can suffer a wide array of disorders, from subtle changes in I.Q. and behaviors to profound mental retardation. These conditions are known as fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASDs). The most severe condition within the spectrum is fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS), which involves disorders of the brain, growth retardation, and facial malformations.

Physicians and other health practitioners play a vital role in diagnosing FAS and in screening women of child-bearing age for alcohol consumption and drinking during pregnancy. In Diekman's, et al (2000) study of obstetricians and gynecologists, only one fifth of doctors surveyed reported abstinence to be the safest way to avoid the adverse outcomes associated with fetal alcohol exposure. Importantly, 13% of doctors surveyed were not sure of levels of alcohol consumption associated with adverse outcomes. One of CDC's multifaceted initiatives in combating alcohol-exposed

pregnancies is the education and reeducation of medical and allied health students and practitioners.

In fiscal year 2002, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) received a congressional mandate to develop guidelines for the diagnosis of FAS and other conditions resulting from prenatal alcohol exposure; and to incorporate these guidelines into curricula for medical and allied health students and practitioners [Public Health Service Act Section 317K (247b-12) b and c].

In response to the second congressional mandate listed above, CDC proposed five national surveys of health providers. In August of 2005, OMB approved these five surveys under control number 0920-0692. The purposes of the surveys are to assess, among various health care provider groups, their knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding the prevention, identification, and treatment of FASDs. These health care provider groups are pediatricians, obstetrician-gynecologists (OB-GYNs), psychiatrists, family physicians, and allied health professionals.

The results of the surveys will help to inform further development of model FASD curricula to disseminate among medical and allied health students and professionals nationwide using a variety of formats including computer interactive learning applications,