

activities, to include sports, fitness programs, bingo games; professional entertainment groups recognized by the Armed Forces Entertainment; Army athletic team members; ticket holders of athletic events; units of national youth groups such as Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, and 4-H Clubs.

#### **CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

Name, address, and other pertinent information of members, family members, participants, patrons, and other authorized users. Employee data that includes, name, pay grade, pay rate, SSN, work center, special pays, and payroll elections for the reporting of time and attendance; pay-out control sheets, duty station, dates and amount of bingo winnings paid, and Internal Revenue Forms W2-G and 5754, (Gambling Winnings and Statement by Person(s) Receiving Gambling Winnings); vendor information such as company name, address, point-of contact, pricing information, and contract numbers; contracting information to include name, address, phone number of the person(s) initiating the contract.

#### **AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**

10 U.S.C. 3013, Secretary of the Army; 26 U.S.C. 6041, Information at Source; DoD Directive 1015.2, Military Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR); DoD Instruction 1015.10, Program for Military Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR); AR 215-1, Morale, Welfare and Recreations Activities and Non-appropriated Fund Instrumentalities; AR 215-3, Nonappropriated Fund Personnel Policy; AR 215-4, Nonappropriated Fund Contracting; AR 608-10, Child Development Services and E.O. 9397 (SSN).

#### **PURPOSE(S):**

To administer programs devoted to the mental and physical well-being of Army personnel and other authorized users; to document the approval and conduct of specific contests, shows, entertainment programs, sports activities/competitions, and other MWR-type activities and events sponsored or sanctioned by the Army.

Information is used for registration; reservations; track participation; pass management; report attendance; record sales transactions; maintain billing for individual households; collect payments; collect and report time and attendance of employees; process credit cards, personal checks, and debit cards; create and manage budgets; order and receive supplies and services; provide child care services reports; track

inventory; and issue catered event contracts.

Information will be used to market and promote similar MWR type activities conducted by other DoD organizations.

To provide a means of paying, recording, accounting, reporting, and controlling expenditures and merchandise inventories associated with retail operations, rentals, and activities such as bingo games.

#### **ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:**

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act, these records or information contained are not generally disclosed outside the DoD as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) except as follows:

To the Internal Revenue Service to report all monies and items of merchandise paid to winners of games whose one-time winnings are \$1,200 or more.

The DoD 'Blanket Routine Uses' set forth at the beginning of the Army's compilation of systems of records notices also apply to this system.

#### **POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

##### **STORAGE:**

Paper records in file folders and electronic storage media.

##### **RETRIEVABILITY:**

By household number, name, Social Security Number (SSN), employee PIN number, receipt number, contract number, product code or budget revision number.

##### **SAFEGUARDS:**

Records are kept in datacenter facilities that are secured 24 hours a day with restricted access. Data access is restricted to specific individuals with a business "need-to-know" or having an official need therefore.

##### **RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

Bingo records are maintained on-site for four years and then shipped to a Federal Records Center for storage for an additional three years. After seven years, records are destroyed. All other documents are destroyed after 2 years, unless required for current operation.

##### **SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:**

Commander, Family and Morale, Welfare and Recreation Command, 4700 King Street, Alexandria, VA 22302-4414.

#### **NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:**

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Director Family and Morale, Welfare and Recreation at the installation or activity where assigned.

Individuals must provide name, rank, Social Security Number (SSN), proof of identification and any other pertinent information necessary.

#### **RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Director Family and Morale, Welfare and Recreation at the installation or activity where assigned.

Individuals must provide name, rank, Social Security Number (SSN), proof of identification and any other pertinent information necessary.

#### **CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**

The Army's rules for accessing records, and for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are contained in Army Regulation 340-21; 32 CFR part 505; or may be obtained from the system manager.

#### **RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

From the individual patron via written forms or verbal interview; Defense Civilian Personnel Data System; time clerks; time-clocks; Vendors; inventory control sheets; contracts and sales transaction receipts.

#### **EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

None.

[FR Doc. E8-15296 Filed 7-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers**

#### **Intent To Prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the Corte Madera Creek Flood Control Project, Marin County, CA**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) authorized through the Flood Control Act of 1962, Public Law No. 87-4, 87th Congress, 2nd Session, approved October 23, 1962, and amended by Section 204 of Pub. L. No. 89-789, the Flood Control Act of 1966, and the Water Resources

Development Act of 1986, will address channel modification opportunities to Unit 4 of Corte Madera Creek, Marin County, CA. The purpose of the Corte Madera Creek Flood Control Project is to provide flood risk management for Corte Madera Creek, from the upstream end of the existing Unit 3 concrete channel to Sir Francis Drake Boulevard at the border of Ross and San Anselmo. Although Units 1, 2, and 3 channel modifications were completed in 1971, public concerns led to a delay in the planned actions for Unit 4. In 1996, Marin County requested the completion of Unit 4 by the Corps, and damages incurred by the December 2005 flood have also renewed public interest in finding solutions to minimize the risk of future floods. Since 1971, additional technical studies were conducted that provide another opportunity to formulate and review new alternatives in order to complete the project. This is a notice of intent to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) to consider all reasonable alternatives and to evaluate potential impacts associated with the proposed actions. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is the lead agency for this project under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Marin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Zone 9 is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

**DATES:** A public scoping meeting will be held on July 23, 2008, from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. Written comments from all interested parties must be received by August 6, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** The scoping meeting will be held at the Drakes Landing Community Room, 300 Drakes Landing, Greenbrae, CA 94904.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Questions and comments regarding the proposed action and NEPA aspects of the study can be addressed to Ms. Nancy Ferris at (415) 503-6865, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, 1455 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103. For questions concerning the CEQA aspects of the study, contact Jack Curley at (415) 499-3051, County of Marin, P.O. Box 4186, San Rafael, CA 94913. All written comments can also be faxed to (415) 503-6692 or sent electronically to [SPNETPA@usace.army.mil](mailto:SPNETPA@usace.army.mil). Further information is also available on the project Web site at <http://www.spn.usace.army.mil/cortemaderacreek/index.html>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following section will address the study

area, recent development of technical studies, and some of the alternatives that will be addressed in this study.

1. *Background.* Corte Madera Creek drains an area of approximately 28 square miles in Marin County, CA, and discharges into the San Francisco Bay just nine miles north of the Golden Gate Bridge. Units 1, 2, and 3 extend from San Francisco Bay through the communities of Corte Madera, Larkspur, Kentfield, and Ross. Unit 4 extends from the Lagunitas Road Bridge, near the upstream terminus of Unit 3, to the Sir Francis Drake Boulevard Bridge right before the Ross/San Anselmo town line. The project was originally authorized in 1962 and construction for Units 1, 2, and 3 were completed by 1971. Unit 4 of the original project was not started due to a series of design changes, transfer of district ownership, property litigation, and lack of public support. Unit 3 was built so that it could be modified with the future design plans of Unit 4, such that changes to the Unit 3 channel would also be evaluated if implementation of project construction in Unit 4 caused flooding downstream.

The Corps has conducted additional studies focused on evaluating the design performance of Units 3 and 4 since 1971. These studies have identified the unsmooth transition between Units 3 and 4 created by the existing Denil fish ladder, the narrow channel condition on the east and west bank, and the Lagunitas Road Bridge as constrictions to flood flow. The replacement of Lagunitas Road Bridge is an option that is being evaluated by the Town of Ross and is not currently part of this federal project.

The following proposed action seeks to address the issues associated with the current channel capacity of Unit 4.

2. *Proposed Action.* The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Marin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District propose to manage flood risk along Corte Madera Creek, downstream of Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. The proposed action may include changes to the existing design of Unit 3 to ensure a total project design capacity. The alternatives evaluated will be developed in consideration of fish passage for threatened and endangered fish species that migrate through the project area.

3. *Project Alternatives.* The following represent a minimum of the alternatives that will be evaluated in the EIS/EIR regarding the proposed project to increase flood flow capacity, in addition to considering the improvement of fish passage and bank stability in Corte Madera Creek. The possibility of hybrid

alternatives representing a combination of measures will also be evaluated:

a. *No action.* Under this alternative, the current conditions would be retained at Units 3 and 4, and flood capacity would remain unchanged at approximately 3,200 cfs (cubic feet per second). Under these existing conditions, excess flood flows would pass outside the channel onto a residential floodplain. The no action alternative would be considered as a baseline in evaluating other alternatives.

b. *Minimum action.* This alternative addresses the existing Denil fish ladder which exacerbates flooding in the Unit 4 channel and is inadequate for fish passage. The existing ladder would be replaced with a concrete pool-and-chute fish ladder, with a proposed location within the upstream length of the Unit 3 concrete channel. Other design considerations include meeting current fish passage criteria as established by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Services (NMFS) restrictions on the height of vertical leaps. The estimated flood flow capacity of Unit 4 would depend on the design of the replacement fish ladder.

c. *Unit 4 structural design alternative.* In addition to the minimum action, flood risk management measures proposed for Unit 4 include (1) Installing vertical wall configurations that would widen the channel and increase the maximum flood flow capacity to approximately 5,100–5,400 cfs, depending on the specific design; (2) constructing a bypass culvert adjacent to Lagunitas Bridge that would convey high flows from the bridge to the beginning of the concrete channel, with capacity ranging from 300–1,300 cfs depending on the type of culvert structure; (3) installing temporary or permanent low floodwalls or landscape berms; (4) enlarging the sediment basin immediately downstream of Lagunitas Bridge, which would decrease the water surface profile downstream and increase flood flow capacity; (5) creating a natural channel bottom with natural grade protection that would accommodate a flow rate of approximately 5,400 cfs; and (6) implementing grade control in order to stabilize the stream bottom.

d. *Unit 3–4 structural design alternative.* Measures that are proposed to modify the junction between Unit 3 and 4 include (1) Replacing the existing fish ladder with a natural grade roughened rock channel between the Unit 3 and 4 transition, which would allow for fish passage while increasing flood flow capacity to 4,900 cfs and improving conveyance into the existing concrete channel; (2) bank regrading

and use of biotechnical bank stabilization techniques involving such natural materials as native vegetation, logs, and woody debris; and (3) installing concrete wing walls to facilitate flood flows into the stream channel.

e. *Non-structural alternative.* The non-structural plan would include expanding the existing floodplain by moving residential property through real estate acquisitions.

4. *Environmental Considerations.* In all cases, pursuant to NEPA and CEQA guidelines, environmental considerations will include human health, riparian habitat, improving fish passage and fish habitat, geophysical impacts, air quality, hazards, noise, utilities and service systems, transportation, land use and planning, historic and cultural resources, aesthetics, recreation, social and economic effects, as well as other potential environmental issues of concern.

5. *Scoping Process.* The Corps and the Marin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District is seeking participation of all interested federal, state, and local agencies, Native American groups, and other interested private organizations or individuals through this public notice. The public scoping meeting will be held in Greenbrae, CA (see **DATES**). Any changes to the date, time, or location will be published in the local newspaper or provided by mail to those requesting information. The purpose of this meeting is to solicit comments and questions regarding the potential impacts, environmental issues, and the alternatives that should be discussed in the EIS/EIR. Public participation will help define the scope of the environmental analysis, identify other significant issues, provide other relevant information, and recommend mitigation measures, where possible. The public comment period closes on August 6, 2008.

6. *Availability of EIS.* The public will have an additional opportunity to comment on the proposed alternatives after the draft EIS/EIR is released.

**Craig W. Kiley,**

*Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, Commanding.*  
[FR Doc. E8-15329 Filed 7-3-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-19-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### **Cancellation of the Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for TRIDENT Support Facilities Explosives Handling Wharf, Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor, Silverdale, Kitsap County, WA; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy published a document in the **Federal Register** of June 30, 2008, announcing cancellation of the its notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for TRIDENT Support Facilities Explosives Handling Wharf, Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor, Silverdale, Kitsap County, WA. The contact e-mail address for further information has changed.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jack Spiller, Public Affairs Officer, Department of the Navy, Strategic Systems Programs, 2521 South Clark Street, Suite 1000, Arlington, VA 22202-3930, telephone: 703-601-9009, e-mail at: [ssppao@ssp.navy.mil](mailto:ssppao@ssp.navy.mil).

#### **Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of June 30, 2008, in FR Doc. E8-14810, make the following changes:

1. In the second column, on page 36847, correct the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** caption to read:

“Mr. Jack Spiller, Public Affairs Officer, Department of the Navy, Strategic Systems Programs, 2521 South Clark Street, Suite 1000, Arlington, VA 22202-3930, telephone: 703-601-9009, e-mail at: [ssppao@ssp.navy.mil](mailto:ssppao@ssp.navy.mil).”

Dated: June 30, 2008.

**T.M. Cruz,**

*Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer,  
Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy.*

[FR Doc. E8-15304 Filed 7-3-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### **Record of Decision for Hawaii Range Complex**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Decision and Availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (Navy), after carefully weighing the operational and environmental consequences of the proposed action,

announces its decision to support and conduct current and emerging Department of Defense (DoD) training and research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) activities in the Hawaii Range Complex (HRC), and upgrade or modernize range complex capabilities to enhance and sustain training and RDT&E. The Navy considered applicable Executive Orders, including an analysis of the environmental effects of its actions outside the United States or its territories under the provisions of Executive Order 12114 (*Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions*) and the requirements of Executive Order 12898 (*Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations*). The proposed action will be accomplished as set out in Alternative 3, described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement/ Overseas Environmental Impact Statement (EIS/OEIS) as the preferred alternative. Implementation of the preferred alternative could begin immediately. Because the Navy is required by section 5062 of Title 10 of the United States Code to organize, train, equip, and maintain combat-ready forces, ongoing training and RDT&E activities within the HRC will continue at current levels in the event that the proposed action is not implemented.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Record of Decision (ROD) has been distributed to all those individuals who requested a copy of the Final EIS/OEIS and agencies and organizations that received a copy of the Final EIS/OEIS. The full text of the ROD is available for public viewing at <http://www.govsupport.us/navynepahawaii/downloads.aspx>. Single copies of the ROD will be made available upon request by contacting the Public Affairs Officer, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Attn: HRC EIS/OEIS ROD, P.O. Box 128, Kekaha, Hawaii 96752-0128; e-mail: [feis\\_hrc@govsupport.us](mailto:feis_hrc@govsupport.us); or calling the Public Affairs Officer at telephone: 866-767-3347.

Dated: June 26, 2008.

**T.M. Cruz,**

*Lieutenant, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Administrative Law Division, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. E8-15246 Filed 7-3-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**