- (2) The grower must establish a buffer area surrounding gardenia production areas. The buffer area must extend 20 feet from the edge of the production area. Within the buffer area, the growing of gardenias and the following green scale host plants is prohibited: Ixora, ginger (Alpinia purpurata), plumeria, coffee, rambutan, litchi, guava, citrus, anthurium, avocado, banana, cocoa, macadamia, celery, Pluchea indica, mango, orchids, and annona.
- (3) An inspector must visually inspect the cut blooms of gardenias in each consignment prior to interstate movement from Hawaii to the mainland United States. If the inspector does not detect green scale in the consignment, the inspector will certify the consignment in accordance with § 318.13–3(b). If the inspector finds green scale in a consignment, that consignment will be ineligible for interstate movement from Hawaii. (Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0579–0197)

§ 318.13–24 Sweetpotatoes from Puerto Rico.

Sweetpotatoes from Puerto Rico may be moved interstate to Atlantic Coast ports north of and including Baltimore, MD, under limited permit if treated in accordance with part 305 of this chapter or if the following conditions are met:

- (a) The sweetpotatoes must be certified by an inspector of Puerto Rico as having been grown under the following conditions:
- (1) Fields in which the sweetpotatoes have been grown must have been given a preplanting treatment with an APHISapproved soil insecticide.
- (2) Before planting in such treated fields, the sweetpotato draws and vine cuttings must have been dipped in an APHIS-approved insecticidal solution.
- (3) During the growing season an approved insecticide must have been applied to the vines at prescribed intervals.
- (b) An inspector of Puerto Rico must certify that the sweetpotatoes have been washed.
- (c) The sweetpotatoes must be graded by inspectors of Puerto Rico in accordance with Puerto Rican standards which do not provide a tolerance for insect infestation or evidence of insect injury and found by such inspectors to comply with such standards prior to movement from Puerto Rico.
- (d) The sweetpotatoes must be inspected by an inspector and found to be free of the sweetpotato scarabee (Euscepes postfasciatus Fairm).

§ 318.13-25 Sweetpotatoes from Hawaii.

- (a) Sweetpotatoes may be moved interstate from Hawaii in accordance with this section only if the following conditions are met: ⁵
- (1) The sweetpotatoes must be treated in accordance with the vapor heat treatment schedule specified in § 305.24.
- (2) The sweetpotatoes must be sampled, cut, and inspected and found to be free of the ginger weevil (Elytrotreinus subtruncatus). Sampling, cutting, and inspection must be performed under conditions that will prevent any pests that may emerge from the sampled sweetpotatoes from infesting any other sweetpotatoes intended for interstate movement in accordance with this section.
- (3) The sweetpotatoes must be inspected and found to be free of the gray pineapple mealybug (Dysmicoccus neobrevipes) and the Kona coffee-root knot nematode (Meloidogyne kongensis).
- (4)(i) Sweetpotatoes that are treated in Hawaii must be packaged in the following manner:
- (A) The cartons must have no openings that will allow the entry of fruit flies and must be sealed with seals that will visually indicate if the cartons have been opened. They may be constructed of any material that prevents the entry of fruit flies and prevents oviposition by fruit flies into the fruit in the carton.⁶
- (B) The pallet-load of cartons must be wrapped before it leaves the treatment facility in one of the following ways:
 - (1) With polyethylene sheet wrap;
 - (2) With net wrapping; or
- (3) With strapping so that each carton on an outside row of the pallet load is constrained by a metal or plastic strap.
- (C) Packaging must be labeled with treatment lot numbers, packing and treatment facility identification and location, and dates of packing and treatment.
- (ii) Cartons of untreated sweetpotatoes that are moving to the mainland United States for treatment must be shipped in shipping containers sealed prior to interstate movement with seals that will visually indicate if the shipping containers have been opened.

- (5)(i) Certification on basis of treatment. Certification shall be issued by an inspector for the movement of sweetpotatoes from Hawaii that have been treated in accordance with part 305 of this chapter and handled in Hawaii in accordance with this section.
- (ii) Limited permit. A limited permit shall be issued by an inspector for the interstate movement of untreated sweetpotato from Hawaii for treatment on the mainland United States in accordance with this section.
 - (b) [Reserved]

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0579–0281)

Subpart—Fruits and Vegetables From Puerto Rico or Virgin Islands [Removed]

5. Subpart—Fruits and Vegetables From Puerto Rico or Virgin Islands, consisting of §§ 318.58 through 318.58– 16, is removed.

Subpart—Guam [Removed]

6. Subpart—Guam, consisting of §§ 318.82 through 318.82–3, is removed.

Done in Washington, DC, this 10th day of June 2008.

Kevin Shea.

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E8–13480 Filed 6–16–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0657; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-296-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300, A310, and A300–600 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Airbus Model A300, A310, and A300–600 series airplanes. The existing AD currently requires repetitive detailed visual inspections to detect cracks in the pylon thrust and sideload fitting of the wing, and replacement of any cracked pylon thrust and sideload fitting with a new fitting. This proposed AD would

 $^{^5}$ Sweetpotatoes may also be moved interstate from Hawaii with irradiation in accordance with \S 305.34 of this chapter or after fumigation with methyl bromide according to treatment schedule T–101–b–3–1, as provided for in \S 305.6(a) of this chapter.

⁶ If there is a question as to the adequacy of a carton, send a request for approval of the carton, together with a sample carton, to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Plant Protection and Quarantine, Center for Plant Health Science and Technology, 1730 Varsity Drive, Suite 400, Raleigh, NC 27606.

reduce the threshold and repetitive intervals for the detailed inspection for certain airplanes and would reduce the applicability of the existing AD. This proposed AD results from issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct cracks in the pylon thrust and sideload fitting of the wing, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by July 17, 2008. ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Fax: 202–493–2251. Mail: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227–1138; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2008-0657; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-296-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will

consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

On July 24, 1998, we issued AD 98-16-11, amendment 39-10687 (63 FR 40816, July 31, 1998), for certain Airbus Model A300, A310, and A300-600 series airplanes. That AD requires repetitive detailed visual inspections to detect cracks in the pylon thrust and sideload fitting of the wing, and replacement of any cracked pylon thrust and sideload fitting with a new fitting. That AD resulted from issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. We issued that AD to detect and correct cracks in the pylon thrust and sideload fitting of the wing, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Actions Since Existing AD Was Issued

Since we issued AD 98-16-11, Airbus has issued the following service bulletins:

TABLE.—New Service Bulletins

Airbus service bulletin—	For model—
A300–57–0232, Revision 02, dated February 21, 2000	A300 series airplanes. A300–600 series airplanes. A310 series airplanes.

The repetitive detailed inspections and replacement procedures are essentially identical to those specified in previous issues of the service bulletins. (AD 98–16–11 refers to Airbus Service Bulletins A300–57–0232, Revision 01 (for Model A300 series airplanes); A310-57-2075, Revision 01 (for Model A310 series airplanes); and A300-57-6079, Revision 02 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes); all dated January 12, 1998; as the appropriate sources of service information for accomplishing the required actions.) Revision 03 of Airbus Service Bulletin A310-57-2075 reduces the detailed inspection thresholds and repeat intervals for certain airplanes. In addition, the effectivity listing of all three service bulletins has been revised to remove airplanes that have been

scrapped. No more work is necessary for airplanes on which previous issues of the service bulletins were done.

Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition. The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, mandated the service information and issued EASA airworthiness directive 2007-0243, dated September 4, 2007, to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the European Union.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

These airplanes are manufactured in France and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the

Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. As described in FAA Order 8100.14A, "Interim Procedures for Working with the **European Community on Airworthiness** Certification and Continued Airworthiness," dated August 12, 2005, the EASA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. We have examined the EASA's findings, evaluated all pertinent information, and determined that AD action is necessary for airplanes of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

This proposed AD would supersede AD 98–16–11 and would continue to require, at reduced thresholds and repetitive intervals for certain airplanes, repetitive detailed visual inspections to detect cracks in the pylon thrust and

sideload fitting of the wing, and would continue to require replacement of any cracked pylon thrust and sideload fitting with a new fitting. This proposed AD would also require accomplishing the actions specified in service information described previously.

Differences Between Proposed Rule and EASA AD

The proposed AD would differ from the parallel EASA airworthiness directive in that it would not allow for adjustment in compliance time based on airplane utilization. In developing an appropriate compliance time for this proposed AD, the FAA considered not only the manufacturer's recommendation, but the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition, and the average utilization of the affected fleet. In light of these factors, we find the compliance times specified in paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) of this proposed AD to be warranted, in that they represent an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Change to Existing AD

This proposed AD would retain all requirements of AD 98–16–11. Since AD 98–16–11 was issued, the AD format has been revised, and certain paragraphs have been rearranged. As a result, the corresponding paragraph identifiers have changed in this proposed AD, as listed in the following table:

REVISED PARAGRAPH IDENTIFIERS

Requirement in AD 98–16–11	Corresponding requirement in this proposed AD
paragraph (a)paragraph (b)	paragraph (f). paragraph (g).

In addition, we have revised the applicability of this proposed AD to refer to the latest revisions of the service information described previously.

We also changed all references to a "detailed visual inspection" in the

existing AD to "detailed inspection" in this action.

Costs of Compliance

This proposed AD would affect about 164 Model A300, A310, and A300–600 series airplanes of U.S. registry.

The inspections that are required by AD 98–16–11 and retained in this proposed AD take about 3 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$80 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the currently required actions is \$39,360, or \$240 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by removing amendment 39–10687 (63 FR 40816, July 31, 1998) and adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Airbus: Docket No. FAA-2008-0657; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-296-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by July 17, 2008.

Affected ADs

(b) This AD supersedes AD 98-16-11.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Airbus airplanes identified in Table 1 of this AD, certificated in any category.

TABLE 1.—APPLICABILITY

Model—	As identified in Airbus service bulletin—	
(1) A300 series airplanes	A310-57-2075, Revision 03, dated December 1, 2006.	

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracks in the pylon thrust and sideload fitting of the wing, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within

the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Requirements of AD 98-16-11:

Repetitive Detailed Inspections at Reduced Thresholds and Repeat Intervals for Certain Airplanes

(f) At the applicable time specified in paragraph (f)(1) or (f)(2) of this AD: Perform a detailed inspection to detect cracks in the pylon thrust and sideload fitting of the wing, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin

A300–57–0232, Revision 01 (for Model A300 series airplanes); A310–57–2075, Revision 01 (for Model A310 series airplanes); or A300–57–6079, Revision 02 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes); all dated January 12, 1998; as applicable; except as provided by paragraph (h) of this AD.

(1) For Model A300 and A300–600 series airplanes: Inspect prior to the accumulation of 2,800 total flight cycles, or within 18 months after September 4, 1998 (the effective date AD 98–16–11), whichever occurs later,

and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,800 flight cycles.

(2) For Model A310 series airplanes: Inspect at the earlier of the times specified in paragraph (f)(2)(i) and (f)(2)(ii) of this AD. Repeat thereafter at the applicable intervals specified in Table 3 of this AD.

(i) Prior to the accumulation of 2,800 total flight cycles, or within 18 months after September 4, 1998, whichever occurs later.

(ii) At the applicable time specified in Table 2 of this AD.

TABLE 2.—REDUCED INSPECTION THRESHOLDS FOR MODEL A310 SERIES AIRPLANES

Model	Compliance time (whichever occurs later)		
Wodel	Threshold	Grace period	
A310-200 series airplanes	Before the accumulation of 1,500 total flight cycles or 3,000 total flight hours since first flight, whichever occurs first.	Within 800 flight cycles or 1,600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	
A310–300 series airplanes (short range).	Before the accumulation of 1,300 total flight cycles or 3,800 total flight hours since first flight, whichever occurs first.	Within 800 flight cycles or 1,600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	
A310-300 series airplanes (long range).	Before the accumulation of 800 total flight cycles or 4,000 total flight hours since first flight, whichever occurs first.	Within 800 flight cycles or 1,600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	

TABLE 3.—REDUCED REPEAT INTERVALS FOR MODEL A310 SERIES AIRPLANES

For Model—	Repeat the detailed inspection at the later of—	And, thereafter at intervals not to exceed—
A310–200 series airplanes	Within 1,500 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours since the last detailed inspection, whichever occurs first; or within 800 flight cycles or 1,600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	1,500 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
A310-300 series airplanes (short range).	Within 1,300 flight cycles or 3,800 flight hours since the last detailed inspection, whichever occurs first; or within 800 flight cycles or 1,600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	1,300 flight cycles or 3,800 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
A310-300 series airplanes (long range).	Within 800 flight cycles or 4,000 flight hours since the last detailed inspection, whichever occurs first; or within 800 flight cycles or 1,600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	800 flight cycles or 4,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.

Corrective Action

(g) If any crack is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (f) of this AD, prior to further flight, replace the pylon thrust and sideload fitting with a new fitting in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300–57–0232, Revision 01 (for Model A300 series airplanes); A310–57–2075, Revision 01 (for Model A310 series airplanes); or A300–

57–6079, Revision 02 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes); all dated January 12, 1998; as applicable; except as provided by paragraph (h) of this AD.

New Actions Required by This AD:

New Service Information

(h) For all airplanes: As of the effective date of this AD, use only the

Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin specified in Table 4 of this AD to do the repetitive detailed inspections required by paragraph (f) of this AD and the replacement required by paragraph (g) of this AD.

TABLE 4.—NEW SERVICE BULLETINS

Airbus service bulletin—	For model—
(2) A300-57-6079, Revision 04, dated February 21, 2000	

(i) Actions done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletins A300–57–6079, Revision 02, dated January 12, 1998, or Revision 03, dated October 25, 1999 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes); A310–57–2075, Revision 01,

dated January 12, 1998, or Revision 02, dated February 21, 2000 (for Model A310 series airplanes); or A300–57–0232, Revision 01, dated January 12, 1998 (for Model A300 series airplanes); are acceptable for

compliance with the corresponding requirements of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(j) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1138; fax (425) 227–1149. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

Related Information

(k) European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) airworthiness directive 2007–0243, dated September 4, 2007, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 10, 2008.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E8–13566 Filed 6–16–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-27739; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM-250-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A330 Airplanes; and Model A340–200 and –300 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: We are revising an original NPRM for the products listed above. This action revises the original NPRM by expanding the scope. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

The aim of * * * [Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) 88] is to require all holders of type certificates * * * to carry out a definition review against explosion hazards.

The unsafe condition is the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks,

which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane. The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by July 14, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
 - Fax: (202) 493-2251.
- *Mail*: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M— 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M— 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–40, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Backman, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-2797; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2007-27739; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM-250-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We invite you to send any written

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://

www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

We proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with an earlier NPRM for the specified products, which was published in the **Federal Register** on March 30, 2007 (72 FR 15063). That earlier NPRM proposed to require actions intended to address the unsafe condition for the products listed above. Since that NPRM was issued, we have

determined that additional bonding points must be modified and that the compliance time for performing the action specified in paragraph (f)(4)(ii) of this supplemental NPRM (increasing the distance between metallic parts on the trimmable horizontal stabilizer (THS) trim tank) may be extended for airplanes that are already compliant with certain requirements of Airbus All Operators Telex (AOT) 55-03, dated August 22, 1996. In addition, we have referred to the latest revisions of the service bulletins as the appropriate sources of service information for accomplishing certain actions in this supplemental NPRM. European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has issued Airworthiness Directive 2007–0278, dated November 5, 2007 [Corrected: November 8, 2007] (referred to after this as "the MCAI"), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket. The MCAI states:

[T]he FAA published SFAR 88 (Special Federal Aviation Regulation 88).

By mail referenced 04/00/02/07/01–L296 of March 4th, 2002 and 04/00/02/07/03–L024 of February 3rd, 2003 the JAA (Joint Aviation Authorities) recommended to the National Aviation Authorities (NAA) the application of a similar regulation.

The aim of this regulation is to require all holders of type certificates for passenger transport aircraft certified after January 1st, 1958 with a capacity of 30 passengers or more, or a payload of 3,402 kg or more, to carry out a definition review against explosion hazards.

Consequently, the following measures [are] rendered mandatory * * *:

- [Inspection and] replacement [if necessary] of the white P-clips by blue P-clips which are more fuel resistant remove the risks of fuel quantity indicator (FQI) and fuel level sensor system (FLSS) harnesses chafing against the metallic part of the P-clip,
- Modification of electrical bonding of equipment installed in fuel tanks in order to re-establish the conformity with the design definition by introducing additional bonding