pollution data, removal of underground storage tanks, specific cleanup activities, and field data gathering efforts.

6. Wetland and Habitat Conservation and Restoration

Provide services for construction, planning, and habitat monitoring and activities associated with conservation and restoration of wetland habitat.

7. Fish Hatchery Operations

Conduct activities to recover aquatic species listed under the Endangered Species Act, restore native aquatic populations, and provide fish to benefit Tribes and National Wildlife Refuges. Activities that may be eligible for a self-governance agreement may include, but are not limited to: egg taking, rearing and feeding of fish, disease treatment, tagging, and clerical or facility maintenance at a fish hatchery.

8. National Wildlife Refuge Operations and Maintenance

Conduct activities to assist the National Wildlife Refuge System, a national network of lands and waters for conservation, management and restoration of fish, wildlife and plant resources and their habitats within the United States. Activities that may be eligible for a self-governance agreement may include, but are not limited to: construction, farming, concessions, maintenance, biological program efforts, habitat management, fire management, and implementation of comprehensive conservation planning.

We will also consider for inclusion in AFAs other programs or activities not listed above, but which, upon request of a self-governance tribe, we determine to be eligible under either sections 403(b)(2) or 403(c) of the Act. Tribes with an interest in such potential agreements are encouraged to begin such discussions.

Our mission is to conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife, and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people. Our primary responsibilities are for migratory birds, endangered species, freshwater and anadromous fisheries, and certain marine mammals. We also have a continuing cooperative relationship with a number of Indian tribes throughout the National Wildlife Refuge System and the Service's fish hatcheries. Any self-governance tribe may contact a national wildlife refuge or national fish hatchery directly concerning participation in our programs under the Act.

IV. Locations of Refuges and Hatcheries With Close Proximity to Self-Governance Tribes

We developed the list below based on the proximity of an identified selfgovernance tribe to Service facilities that have components that may be suitable for contracting through a selfgovernance agreement.

- 1. All Alaska National Wildlife Refuges, Alaska.
- 2. Alchesay National Fish Hatchery, Arizona.
- 3. Humboldt Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Idaho.
- 4. Kootenai National Wildlife Refuge, Idaho.
- 5. Agassiz National Wildlife Refuge, Minnesota.
- 6. Mille Lacs National Wildlife Refuge, Minnesota.
- 7. Rice Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Minnesota.
 - 8. National Bison Range, Montana.
- 9. Ninepipe National Wildlife Refuge, Montana.
- 10. Pablo National Wildlife Refuge, Montana.
- 11. Sequoyah National Wildlife Refuge, Oklahoma.
- 12. Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, Oklahoma.
- 13. Bandon Marsh National Wildlife Refuge, Washington.
- 14. Dungeness National Wildlife Refuge, Washington.
- 15. Makah National Fish Hatchery, Washington.
- 16. Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge, Washington.
- 17. Quinalt National Fish Hatchery, Washington.
- 18. San Juan Islands National Wildlife Refuge, Washington.

V. Programmatic Targets

During Fiscal Year 2008, upon request of a self-governance tribe, FWS will negotiate funding agreements for our eligible programs beyond those already negotiated.

Dated: February 27, 2008.

Lyle Laverty,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. E8–6180 Filed 3–25–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[WO-320-1330-PE-24 1A]

Extension of Approved Information Collection, OMB Approval Number 1004–0041

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has submitted an Information Collection Request (ICR) to OMB for review and approval. The ICR is scheduled to expire on March 31, 2008. The BLM may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. However, under OMB regulations, the BLM may continue to conduct or sponsor this information collection while it is pending at OMB. On May 8, 2007, the BLM published a notice in the Federal Register (72 FR 26149) requesting comment on this information collection. The comment period closed on July 9, 2007. The BLM received no comments. You may obtain copies of the collection of information and related forms and explanatory material by contacting the BLM Information Collection Clearance Officer at the telephone number listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below.

DATES: The OMB is required to respond to this request within 60 days but may respond after 30 days. Submit your comments to OMB at the address below by April 25, 2008 to receive maximum consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments and suggestions on this ICR to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at OMB–OIRA at (202) 395–6566 (fax) or

OIRA_DOCKET@OMB.eop.gov (e-mail). Please provide a copy of your comments to Alexandra Ritchie, Information Collection Clearance Officer, Bureau of Land Management, at U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Mail Stop 401LS, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240. Additionally, you may contact Alexandra Ritchie regarding this ICR at (202) 452–0388 (phone); (202) 653–5287 (fax); or Alexandra_Ritchie@blm.gov (e-mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For program-related questions, contact Ken Visser on (775) 861–6492 (Commercial or FTS). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf

(TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8330, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to contact Mr. Visser. For questions regarding this ICR or the information collection process, contact Alexandra Ritchie by phone, mail, fax, or e-mail (see ADDRESSES).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 1004–0041. Title: Authorizing Grazing Use, 43 CFR 4110 and 4130.

Bureau Form Number: 4130–1, 4130–1a, 4130–1b, 4130–3a, 4130–4 and 4130–5.

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Private sector.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: Annually or on occasion (applicants may request changes of grazing use within the terms and conditions of permits or leases at different times).

Activity	Number of an- nual respond- ents	Number of an- nual re- sponses (X)	Completion time per response (hour fraction) (=)	Annual burden hours
43 CFR 4130.1–1 Form 4130–1	3,000	3,000	1/4	750
43 CFR 4110.1(d); 4110.2–1(c) Form 4130–1a	1,050	1,050	1/2	525
43 CFR 4110.1(a)–(d); 4130.7(d)–(f) Form 4130–1b	1,050	1,050	1/2	525
43 CFR 4110.1(d) and 4110.2–1(c) Non-form requirements	1,050	1,050	1/6	175
43 CFR 4130.4(a)–(b) Form 4130–3a	7,690	7,690	1/4	1,922.5
43 CFR 4130.6–1(a)–(b) Form 4130–4	10	10	1/4	2.5
43 CFR 4130.3–2(d) Form 4130–5	15,000	15,000	1/4	3,750
Totals	28,850	28,850		7,650

Application Processing Fee: The respondents incur a \$10 service charge for processing a grazing preference transfer, which includes submission, as a single package, of forms 4130–1,

4130–1a and 4130–1b. Respondents also incur a \$10 service charge that results in modifying or canceling and replacing a previously issued grazing fee billing. The form to be filed for that action is

4130–1. Based on these assumptions, the total annual cost to respondents would be:

Forms 4130-1, 4130-1a, 4130-1b filed as part of transfer application .. Form 4130-1 filed independent of a transfer application (3000 – 1050 =1950 responses).

1050 responses \times \$10 = \$10,500 1950 responses \times \$10 = \$19,500

Total: \$30,000

The BLM grazing regulations were modified in 2006 to increase the service charge for transfers to \$145 and for canceling or replacing a previously issued grazing fee bill to \$50, among other things. However, the 2006 BLM rulemaking that changed these regulations were enjoined "in all respects" by the Idaho Federal District Court (for reasons other than the changes to the service charge schedule) in June, 2007. A final judgment by the Court affirming this injunction was entered on February 28, 2008, and it is now subject to appeal.

Abstract: The Taylor Grazing Act of 1934 (43 U.S.C. 315, 315a through 315r) and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. et seq.) authorize the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to administer the livestock grazing program consistent with land use plans, multiple use objectives, sustained yield, environmental values, economic considerations, and other factors. The BLM must maintain accurate records on:

(1) Permittee and lessee qualifications for a grazing permit or lease;

(2) Base property used in conjunction with public lands; and

(3) The actual use made by livestock authorized to graze on the public lands.

The BLM also collects non-form information on grazing management from permittees and lessees.

Form 4130-1, Grazing Schedule

The BLM uses the information required on this form to adjudicate conflicting requests for grazing use, determine the legal qualifications of applicants, issue permits, and document transfers.

Form 4130–1a, Grazing Application— Preference Summary

The BLM uses the information required on this form to verify what the BLM needs to effectuate a grazing preference transfer.

Form 4130–1b, Grazing Application (Supplemental Information)

The BLM uses the information required on this form to certify an

applicant's qualifications for a grazing permit or lease and to provide other information necessary for the administration of the grazing permit or lease.

Form 4130–3a, Automated Grazing Application

The BLM uses the information required on this form to approve changes of grazing use within the terms and conditions of permits or leases.

Form 4130–4, Exchange of Use Grazing Agreement

The BLM uses the information required on this form to exchange grazing of livestock on private lands during certain periods.

Form 4130–5, Actual Grazing Use Report

The BLM uses the information required on this form to determine whether we need to adjust the amount of grazing use or if other management actions are needed. This form enables the BLM to calculate billings and to

monitor and evaluate livestock grazing use on the public lands.

Comments: We again specifically request your comments on the following:

(1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper functioning of the BLM, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the BLM's estimate of the burden of collecting the information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) The quality, utility and clarity of the information we collect; and

(4) How to minimize the burden of collecting the information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: March 20, 2008.

Alexandra Ritchie,

Bureau of Land Management, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–6104 Filed 3–25–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–84–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [CO-140-1610-DT-009C]

Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the Resource Management Plan Amendment for Portions of the Roan Plateau Planning Area Designated as Areas of Critical Environmental Concern Public Lands in Garfield County, CO

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD), which documents the BLM's decision to designate and manage

four (4) Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) within the Roan Plateau planning area. The Roan Plateau is located within Garfield and Rio Blanco Counties and within the jurisdiction of the Glenwood Springs Field Office in Colorado. The ROD announced today pertains only to approximately 21,034 acres of proposed ACEC and does not alter final decisions for the remaining approximately 52,568 acres within the Roan Plateau planning area, which were previously addressed in the Proposed Resource Management Plan Amendment/Final Environmental Impact Statement (PRMPA/FEIS) and Record of Decision announced in the Federal Register, Volume 72, Number 111, on Monday, June 11, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Copies of both RODs for the Roan Plateau planning area and the RMPA/FEIS are available upon request from the Glenwood Springs Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 50629 Highways 6 and 24, Glenwood Springs, CO 81601, or via the Internet at http://www.blm.gov/rmp/co/roanplateau.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jamie Connell, Field Manager, Bureau of Land Management Glenwood Springs Field Office, 50629 Highways 6 & 24, Glenwood Springs, CO 81601, or by telephone at (970) 947–2800.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Roan Plateau RMPA was developed with broad public participation through a collaborative planning process. The ROD announced today addresses the management of approximately 21,034 acres of public land in the planning area proposed for designation as ACEC in the PRMPA/FEIS. Final RMPA decisions for the remaining portions of the planning area (approximately 52,568 acres) were addressed in a ROD announced in the Federal Register, Volume 72, Number 111, on Monday, June 11, 2007. The ROD announced today includes management actions to address the values of concern identified within the 21,034 acres of proposed ACEC. The ACECs designated in this ROD were previously analyzed in the Draft RMPA/ Draft EIS (DRMPA/DEIS) and the PRMPA/FEIS. No inconsistencies with State or local plans, policies, or programs were identified during the Governor's consistency review of the PRMPA/FEIS. The Secretary of the Interior offered the State of Colorado an additional 120-day period in which to further understand the final RMPA decisions before approving this ROD. That period has elapsed, and the BLM has considered the State's recommendations in preparing the ROD for the ACEC portions of the Roan Plateau planning area.

The BLM accepted and considered input from the public on ACEC values and potential designation during scoping for the RMPA/EIS, during public comment on alternative development, during the comment period on the DRMPA/DEIS, and during a 60-day comment period on ACECs announced in the Federal Register, Volume 72, Number 111, on Monday, June 11, 2007. The alternatives analyzed in the DRMPA/DEIS varied in the number and size of potential ACECs. The BLM received over 500 separate comments addressing ACEC management during this 60-day public comment period. Comment summaries and BLM's responses to comments are available at the Glenwood Springs Field Office or on the Web at http:// www.blm.gov/rmp/co/roanplateau/ index.htm.

Input from the public and cooperating agencies was considered in developing the PRMPA/FEIS. Approval of the ROD constitutes formal designation of the proposed ACECs per 43 CFR 1610.7-2(b). The four designated ACECs and their associated relevant and important resource values are as follows: (1) Anvil Points (4,955 acres)—visual resources/ aesthetics, wildlife habitat, botanical/ ecological values; (2) Magpie Gulch (4,698 acres)—visual resources/ aesthetics, wildlife habitat, botanical/ ecological values; (3) East Fork Parachute Creek (6,571 acres)—visual resources/aesthetics, wildlife habitat, fisheries habitat, botanical-ecological values; and (4) Trapper/Northwater Creek (4,810 acres)—wildlife habitat, fisheries habitat, botanical/ecological

Under this ROD, surface disturbing activities will be limited to protect the relevant and important values within the designated ACECs. Such activities include oil and gas development, rightsof-way designation, and road construction. Limitations include no ground disturbance or no surface occupancy stipulations for activities within the ACECs, as well as sitespecific relocation or controlled surface use stipulations. Further, conditions of approval or permitting level requirements may be applied to each drilling permit. Detailed discussions of the authorized protective measures for the designated ACECs are contained in the ROD and the PRMPA/FEIS.

Anna Marie Burden,

Acting State Director. [FR Doc. E8–6105 Filed 3–25–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P