

VI. Recommended Order⁶*[Redacted Section]*

Accordingly, this Recommended Decision and Order is being referred to the Under Secretary for Industry & Security for review and final action for the agency, without further notice to the respondent as provided in Section 766.7 of the Regulations.

Pursuant to Section 766.22(b), the parties have 12 days from the date of issuance of this recommended decision and order in which to submit simultaneous responses. Parties thereafter shall have eight days from receipt of any response(s) in which to submit replies. Any response or reply must be received within the time specified by the Under Secretary. Within 30 days after receipt of this Recommended Decision and Order, the Under Secretary shall issue a written order affirming, modifying, or vacating the Recommended Decision and Order. See 15 CFR 766.22(c).

Done and dated February 4, 2008,
Baltimore, Maryland.

Joseph N. Ingolia,

*Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard*⁷
[FR Doc. 08–974 Filed 3–5–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before March 26, 2008. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and

5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 2104.

Docket Number: 08–004. Applicant: VA Connecticut Healthcare System, Neuroscience Research Center (127A), VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Avenue, West Haven, CT 06516. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM–1011. *Manufacturer:* Jeol, Inc., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to examine the molecular ultrastructure of brain, spinal cord and other nervous tissue samples obtained from control and experimental animals. The objectives of these research investigations are to understand the mechanisms of nerve cell damage and loss following injury and to examine the efficacy of different therapeutic interventions that can eliminate or minimize dysfunction following nervous system injury. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 8, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–005. Applicant: University of Utah, 201 S. President's Circle, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model 600 Quanta FEG. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used primarily for electron beam lithography as well as chemical characterization of a wide variety of materials. The instrument will be used to measure the size and chemical composition of nanoparticles and nanostructures. It will also be used to create nanostructures using electron beam lithography. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 17, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–006. Applicant: Advocate Lutheran General Hospital—Em/Pathology, 1775 Dempster, 5th Floor, Park Ridge, IL 60068. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model H–7650. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used primarily as a tool in the pathologic diagnosis of human diseases, mainly in: (a) Kidney biopsies, to aid in the diagnosis of medical and certain hereditary kidney diseases; (b) biopsies and/or resections of certain undifferentiated cancers; (c) biopsies of muscles, nerves, or brain, to identify certain metabolic and hereditary disorders of these organs; and (d) biopsies of the respiratory and alimentary tracts, to identify certain developmental disorders of these organs. It will also be used to aid in the training of physician residents in pathology during their rotations in Nephropathology and Surgical Pathology. *Application accepted by*

Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 2008.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.
[FR Doc. E8–4407 Filed 3–5–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[C–533–821]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Extension of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff at (202) 482–1009, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On December 1, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this CVD order. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 69543 (December 1, 2006) (*Opportunity to Request Review*).¹ On January 9, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of this review. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 1578 (January 9, 2008). The final results of this review are currently due no later than May 8, 2008.

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), requires the Department to issue final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the

¹ On December 18, 2006, we published a correction to the notice of Opportunity to Request Review to correct the POR. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review; Correction*, 71 FR 75709 (December 18, 2006).

⁷ United States coast Guard Administrative Law Judges perform adjudicatory functions required for the Bureau of Industry and Security with approval from the Office of Personnel Management pursuant to a memorandum of understanding between the Coast Guard and the Bureau of Industry and Security.

Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. *See also* 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Several technical issues arose after the preliminary results which require the collection and analyses of certain additional information and verification of the information. Therefore, to allow sufficient time to collect and analyze the additional information, and to conduct the briefing process, the Department is fully extending the final results. The final results are now due not later than July 7, 2008, 180 days from publication of the preliminary results. The amended schedule for interested parties to submit case briefs, written comments, and/or request a hearing is not later than seven days after the release of the last verification report. Rebuttal briefs are limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments and may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case briefs or comments. *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d). Any hearing, if requested, ordinarily will be held two days after the due date of the rebuttal briefs.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: February 29, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-4427 Filed 3-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-469-814]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates from Spain: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (March 6, 2008).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0780.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 2, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a timely request for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates from Spain, with respect to Aragonesas Industrias y Energía S.A. ("Aragonesas"). On July 26, 2007, the Department published a notice of initiation of this administrative review for the period of June 1, 2006 through May 31, 2007. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation In Part*, 72 FR 41057 (July 26, 2007).

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of March 3, 2008, because additional time is needed to analyze issues involving affiliations and collapsing. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results until no later than June 30, 2008, which is 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Unless extended, the final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h) of the Department's regulations.

This notice is issued and published in accordance to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 15, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-4397 Filed 3-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 6, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Hancock and Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1394 or (202) 482-0413, respectively.

Background

On July 12, 2007 the Department published a notice of initiation of new shipper reviews of fresh garlic from the PRC covering the period November 1, 2006 through April 30, 2007. *See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Reviews*, 72 FR 38057 (July 12, 2007). On November 16, 2007 the Department extended the preliminary results of these new shipper reviews by ninety days. *See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Reviews*, 72 FR 64579 (November 16, 2007). The preliminary results of these new shipper reviews are currently due no later than March 25, 2008.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. *See also* 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(1). The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 180-day period to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. *See* 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The Department determines that these new shipper reviews involve extraordinarily complicated methodological issues such as the use of intermediate input methodology, potential affiliation issues, the examination of importer information