

adopts as operational requirements for permitted activities. The ROPs were developed to ensure that Alaska Statewide Land Health Standards are met.

As required by 43 CFR 1610.7-2, areas with potential for designation as ACECs were considered during the Bay planning process. The preferred alternative recommends the designation of one ACEC, known as the Carter Spit ACEC. Final acreage for the proposed 36,220-acre Carter Spit ACEC will depend on the result of land conveyance to the State of Alaska and Native corporations. This ACEC is proposed to provide additional protections for Steller's eiders (*Polysticta stelleri*), a federally-listed migratory bird species, and coastal salt-marsh habitat in the Goodnews Bay area. Use limitations within the boundary of the ACEC include:

- Limited OHV (off-highway vehicle) designation.
- Avoidance Area for rights-of-ways.
- Open to fluid mineral leasing subject to special stipulations.
- Open to locatable mineral entry subject to required operating procedures.
- Closed to salable mineral activities.

All comments received on the plan were analyzed and evaluated. Substantive comments and the BLM's responses to those comments can be found in the appendices of the Proposed RMP/Final EIS. Comments on the Draft RMP/EIS received from the public and BLM review comments were incorporated into the Proposed RMP/Final EIS. Public comments resulted in changes to the preferred alternative through the addition of clarifying text and additional analysis of impacts, and contributed to the adjustment of the boundary of the proposed Carter Spit ACEC. A summary of these changes follows the Executive Summary of the Proposed RMP/Final EIS.

Copies of the Bay Proposed RMP/Final EIS have been sent to affected federal, state, and local government agencies and to interested parties. The document is available for public inspection at the BLM Anchorage Field Office, 6881 Abbott Loop Road, Anchorage, AK, during normal business hours from 7:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Interested persons may also view the document on the Internet at <http://www.blm.gov/ak>, or at one of the following locations in Alaska: BLM Alaska State Office (Anchorage), Alaska Resources Library and Information Services (University of Alaska Anchorage), Z.J. Loussac Library (Anchorage), Dillingham Public Library,

Naknek Public Library, Homer Public Library, City of Goodnews Bay, City of New Stuyahok, City of Quinhagak, and Lake and Peninsula Borough Planning Department (King Salmon).

**Additional Protest Information:** E-mailed and faxed protests will not be accepted as valid protests unless the protesting party also provides a copy of the original letter postmarked by the close of the protest period. Under these conditions, the BLM will consider the e-mailed or faxed protest as an advance copy and it will receive full consideration. Please direct faxed protests to the attention of the BLM protest coordinator at (202) 452-5112, and e-mails to [Brenda\\_Hudgens-Williams@blm.gov](mailto:Brenda_Hudgens-Williams@blm.gov). All protests, including the follow up letter (if faxing or e-mailing), must be in writing and mailed to one of the following addresses:

**Regular Mail:** Director (210), Attn: Brenda Williams, P.O. Box 66538, Washington, DC 20035.

**Overnight Mail:** Director (210), Attn: Brenda Williams, 1620 L Street NW., Suite 1075, Washington, DC 20036.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire protest—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: June 7, 2007.

**Gust C. Panos,**

*Associate State Director.*

**Editorial Note:** This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on Monday, December 3, 2007.

[FR Doc. E7-23719 Filed 12-6-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-JA-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA-670-07-1610-DQ]

#### Notice of Availability of Eastern San Diego County Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement, California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and

the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA, 43 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Proposed Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Eastern San Diego County planning area managed by the El Centro Field Office.

**DATES:** BLM Planning Regulations set forth the provisions applicable to protests (43 CFR 1610.5-2). A person who meets the conditions as described in the regulations cited above, and who wishes to file a protest, must file said protest within 30 days of the date this notice is published in the **Federal Register**. Additional information on protests is set forth in the Dear Reader letter of the Eastern San Diego County Proposed RMP and Final EIS and in the Supplementary Information section of this notice. To ensure compliance with the protest regulations, please consult BLM's Planning Regulations at 43 CFR 1610.5-2.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the Proposed RMP/Final EIS has been sent to affected Federal, State and local government agencies and interested parties. The document will be available electronically at the following Eastern San Diego County RMP Web site: <http://www.ca.blm.gov/elcentro>. Copies of the PRMP/FEIS will be available for public inspection at the following locations:

- Bureau of Land Management, California State Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-1834, Sacramento, CA 95825.

- Bureau of Land Management, El Centro Field Office, 1661 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, El Centro, CA 92243.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin Dreyfuss, Eastern San Diego County RMP Team Leader, at (760) 337-4400, Bureau of Land Management, 1661 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, El Centro, CA 92243; [caesdrmp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:caesdrmp@ca.blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The planning area for the Eastern San Diego County RMP is the El Centro Field Office's area of management responsibility. A total of approximately 103,000 acres of public lands are administered by the BLM in the planning area. The decisions in the RMP will only apply to BLM-administered lands and mineral estate in the planning area. The Eastern San Diego County Proposed RMP and Final EIS have been developed through collaborative planning and consider four alternatives. Primary issues include: renewable energy, sensitive natural and cultural resources, livestock grazing, energy and mineral development, visual resources,

and motorized vehicle route designations. The Proposed RMP/FEIS includes consideration of the designation of Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs). The proposed plan includes retaining all or portions of the following existing ACECs: In-Ko-Pah ACEC—(currently 22,186 acres); Table Mountain ACEC—(currently 4,293 acres). In the Proposed RMP/FEIS, the In-Ko-Pah ACEC would be reduced in the north and east to avoid overlap with designated wilderness and wilderness study areas, and expanded in the south and west to include critical habitat for Peninsular Bighorn Sheep. Use of public lands within these ACECs would vary, depending on the resources and/or values identified but would likely include limitations on OHV use and livestock grazing.

Comments on the Eastern San Diego County Draft RMP/EIS received from the public and internal BLM review comments were incorporated into the Proposed RMP. Public comments resulted in corrections, clarifying text, and the addition of new data used in the analysis of impacts. The Proposed Eastern San Diego County RMP would provide comprehensive, long-range decisions for the use and management of resources in the planning area administered by the BLM and focus on the principles of multiple use and sustained yield.

As noted above, instructions for filing a protest with the Director of the BLM regarding the Proposed RMP and Final EIS are described in 43 CFR 1610.5–2. E-mailed and faxed protests will not be accepted as valid protests unless the protesting party also provides the original letter by regular or overnight mail postmarked by the close of the protest period. Under these conditions, BLM will consider the e-mailed or faxed protest as an advance copy and it will receive full consideration. If you wish to provide BLM with such advance notification, please direct faxed protests to the attention of the BLM protest coordinator at (202) 452–5112, and e-mails to [Brenda\\_Hudgens-Williams@blm.gov](mailto:Brenda_Hudgens-Williams@blm.gov).

All protests, including the follow-up letter (if e-mailing or faxing) must be in writing and mailed to one of the following addresses:

**Regular Mail:**

Director (210)  
Attention: Brenda Williams  
P.O. Box 66538  
Washington, DC 20035

**Overnight Mail:**

Director (210)  
Attention: Brenda Williams

1620 L Street, NW., Suite 1075  
Washington, DC 20036

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire protest—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your protest to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: November 19, 2007.

**Vicki L. Wood,**  
*Field Manager.*

[FR Doc. E7–23771 Filed 12–6–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–40–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–600]

### In the Matter of Certain Rechargeable Lithium-Ion Batteries, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating From the Investigation the Last Remaining Respondents Hitachi Koki USA and CDW Corp.; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) (Order No. 19) in the above-captioned investigation terminating this investigation, as to the last remaining respondents, Hitachi Koki USA (“Hitachi”) and CDW Corp. (“CDW”).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul M. Bartkowski, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5432. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation

may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on April 27, 2007, based on a complaint filed by 3M Company and 3M Innovative Properties Company of St. Paul, Minnesota (collectively “3M”). 72 FR 21,050 (April 27, 2006). The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain rechargeable lithium-ion batteries, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 13, and 15–19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,964,828 (“the ‘828 patent”) and claims 10, 15, 16, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,078,128 (“the ‘128 patent”). The amended complaint also alleges that a domestic industry exists with regard to the ‘828 and ‘128 patents under 19 U.S.C. 1337 subsections (a)(2) and (a)(3). The amended complaint names Sony Corporation and Sony Electronics, Inc. (collectively, “Sony”); Lenovo Group Ltd. (Hong Kong) and Lenovo Inc. (USA) (collectively, “Lenovo”); CDW; Batteries Com, LLC (“Batteries Com”); Hitachi; Matsushita Industrial Electric Co., Ltd. (“Matsushita”); Panasonic Corporation of North America (“Panasonic”); Total Micro Technologies Inc. (“Total Micro”); and Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. (“Sanyo”) as the proposed respondents. The amended complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation pursuant to section 337 and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and cease and desist orders. Subsequently, respondents Sony, Lenovo, Batteries Com, Matsushita, Panasonic, Total Micro, and Sanyo were terminated from the investigation. None of those determinations were reviewed by the Commission.

On November 9, 2007, the ALJ issued the subject ID terminating this investigation as to Hitachi and CDW pursuant to Commission rule 210.21 on the basis of settlement agreements with the suppliers of the batteries at issue. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

3M filed a supplement to its motion pursuant to Commission rule 210.16 that it does not seek a general exclusion order. 3M also filed a declaration stating