

days. See *Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 46954 (August 22, 2007). The preliminary results of the review are currently due no later than October 19, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit because we need additional time to obtain and analyze information regarding suspended entries of the subject merchandise during the period of review. Therefore, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 45 days until December 3, 2007.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: October 10, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeyes,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-20346 Filed 10-15-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 31, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the new shipper administrative review in the antidumping duty order covering

certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. See *Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Administrative Review*, 72 FR 41706 (July 31, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). The merchandise covered by this review is certain forged stainless steel flanges, manufactured by Micro Forge (India) (Micro Forge), as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2006 through July 31, 2006. We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. Therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for Micro Forge is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 31, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty new shipper administrative review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. See *Preliminary Results*. The review covers Micro Forge, and the POR is February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006. In the *Preliminary Results*, we assigned Micro Forge a margin based on adverse facts available. We invited parties to comment. We received no comments.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from

the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.10.00 and 7307.21.50.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the order.

Final Results of the Review

We determine the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006:

Manufacturer / Exporter	Weighted Average Margin (percentage)
Micro Forge	210.00

Liquidation

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In this review, the Department is applying an adverse facts available rate of 210.00 percent to Micro Forge's U.S. sales. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. We will direct CBP to assess the appropriate assessment rate (210 percent) against the entered Customs values for the subject merchandise on each of Micro Forge's entries under the relevant order during the POR.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act): (1) for the company named above, the cash deposit rates will be the rate shown; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most

recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 162.14 percent. This rate is the "All Others" rate from the amended final determination in the LTFV investigation. See *Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India*, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994). These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: October 9, 2007.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-20347 Filed 10-15-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-806

Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of 2005/2006 New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 21, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the

Department") published the preliminary results of its new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2005/2006 New Shipper Reviews*, 72 FR 28467 (May 21, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). Based on our analysis of the record, including information obtained since the preliminary results, we have made changes to the margin calculations for both Jiangxi Gangyuan Silicon Industry Co. Ltd. ("Jiangxi Gangyuan") and Shanghai Jinneng International Trade Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Jinneng"). See Final Results of Review section, below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scot Fullerton or Michael Quigley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1386 or (202) 482-4047, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 21, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results of its new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC, and invited parties to comment on the preliminary results. See *Preliminary Results*. The new shipper reviews cover one exporter, Shanghai Jinneng and its affiliated producer, Datong Jinneng Industrial Silicon Co., Inc. ("Datong Jinneng"), and one producer/exporter: Jiangxi Gangyuan (hereinafter collectively "Respondents"). See *Preliminary Results*. The period of review ("POR") for these new shipper reviews is June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006.

On June 11, 2007, we received additional data from both Respondents and Globe Metallurgical Inc. ("Petitioner") regarding factors of production. On June 25, 2007, we received Respondents' case brief, and on June 26, 2007, we received Petitioner's case brief. On July 2, 2007, we received the Respondents' rebuttal brief, and on July 3, 2007, we received the Petitioner's rebuttal brief. On July 30, 2007, we held both a public and a closed hearing, and the transcripts of these hearings were placed on the record on August 6, 2007.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order and this review is silicon metal containing at least 96.00 but less than 99.99 percent

of silicon by weight, and silicon metal with a higher aluminum content containing between 89 and 96 percent silicon by weight. The merchandise under investigation is currently classifiable under item numbers 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS") as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor-grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent of silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTSUS) is not subject to this order. This order is not limited to silicon metal used only as an alloy agent or in the chemical industry. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

New Shipper Status

For these final results, no party has contested the *bona fides* of either Respondent's sales, therefore we continue to find, as in the *Preliminary Results*, that both Respondents have met the requirements to qualify as a new shipper during the POR and that the Respondents' sale of silicon metal to the United States is an appropriate transaction for a new shipper.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from the interested parties, we have made changes to the margin calculation for both Jiangxi Gangyuan and Shanghai Jinneng.

Analysis of Comments Received

In the case and rebuttal briefs received from the parties after the *Preliminary Results*, we received comments on several issues, including the surrogate country selection and surrogate values used to value (1) electricity and (2) overhead, selling, general and administrative expenses, and profit. All issues raised in the case briefs are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised, all of which are in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in the briefs and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room B-099 of the Herbert C. Hoover Building. In addition, a complete version of the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Web at <<http://ia.ita.doc.gov>>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Issues and*