participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. it is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection (40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, section 21).

Dated: August 31, 2007.

## Craig Bobzien,

Forest Supervisor, Black Hills National Forest. [FR Doc. 07–4373 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

## **Notice of New Fee Site**

**Authority:** Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (Title VII, Pub. L. 108– 447).

**AGENCY:** National Forests in Florida, Apalachicola National Forest, USDA Forest Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of New Fee Site.

**SUMMARY:** The Apalachicola National Forest proposes a new fee for operations of OHV vehicles (all terrain vehicles (ATVs), utility vehicles (such as a Kawasaki Mule), dual sport motorcycles, and off road motorcycles) on the motorized trail system. Three types of permits would be available: 1 to 3 days for \$10; 7 days for \$25; and 365 days for \$75. For operators younger than 16, the 1 to 3 day permit would be available for \$5 per operator. The funds may be used for: Maintaining trails, staffing trailheads, cleaning restrooms at trailheads, grading mixed use roads, replacing signs, and other activities to

manage and maintain the OHV trail system. The Apalachicola National Forest has proposed a motorized trail system of approximately 55 miles for dual sport and off road motorcycles and another 55 miles for all terrain vehicles, utility vehicles, and dual sport and off road motorcycles.

**DATES:** The proposed fee will be initiated April 1, 2008. Comments, concerns or questions about this new fee must submitted by November 30, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, concerns or questions about the new fee associated with the Apalachicola National Forest's ATV trails, motorcycle trails, and mixed use roads to TRAIL FEES, District Ranger, Apalachicola National Forest, 57 Taff Drive, Crawfordville, FL 32327.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Briggs, Recreation Manager, Apalachicola National Forest, 850–926– 3561 x6509 or *cbriggs@fs.fed.us*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Recreation Lands Enhancement Act (Title VIII, Pub. L. 108–447) directed the Secretary of Agriculture to publish a six-month advance notice in the Federal Register whenever new recreation fee areas are established. The Recreation Resource Advisory Committee will review considerations for new fees at least three months prior to the proposed initiation date. OHV use has been ongoing for many years on the Apalachicola National Forest.

Dated: September 5, 2007.

#### Harold G. Shenk,

Deputy District Ranger, Apalachicola National Forest.

[FR Doc. 07–4557 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–52–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

## **Forest Service**

Intermountain Region, Boise, Payette, and Sawtooth National Forests; Amendment to the 2003 Land and Resource Management Plans

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent (NOI) to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to disclose the environmental effects of proposed nonsignificant amendments to the three Southwest Idaho Ecogroup (SWIE) 2003 Land and Resource Management Plans (Forest Plans). Amendments to the 2003 Forest Plans for the Boise, Payette, and

Sawtooth National Forests will add, and/or modify existing, management direction, as needed, to implement a comprehensive, Forest Plan-level, wildlife conservation strategy (WCS). This WCS will identify both short- and long-term management strategies and priorities for maintaining and restoring habitats associated with terrestrial wildlife species. The proposed nonsignificant amendments will provide management direction and guidance that focuses on those habitats, and their associated species, identified in the WCS as being of greatest conservation concern during the current planning period (i.e., next 10-15 years). **DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received within 30 days following the date of

of the analysis must be received within 30 days following the date of publication of this NOI. The Draft EIS is expected to be available in mid- to latewinter 2008 for a 90-day comment period. The single Final EIS and three RODs, one for each Forest Plan, are expected to be completed by late summer 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Randall Hayman, Forest Planner, Boise National Forest; 1249 South Vinnell Way, Suite 200; Boise, Idaho 83709; or by fax at 208-373-4111; or you may hand-deliver your comments to the Boise Forest Supervisor's Office, located at 1249 South Vinnell Way, Suite 200, Boise, during normal business hours from 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. Electronic comments must be submitted in a format such as an e-mail message, plain text (.txt), rich text format (.rtf), or Word (.doc) to: comments-intermtn-boise@fs.fed.us.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randall Hayman, Forest Planner, Boise National Forest at the address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Separate RODs for revised Forest Plans were issued in July 2003 for each of the SWIE National Forests (Boise, Payette, and Sawtooth). The RODs implemented Alternative 7, as identified in the single FINAL EIS that disclosed the environmental effects of the seven alternative Forest Plan strategies considered for these three National Forests. Implementation of the three revised Forest Plans began in September 2003.

Analyses supporting Forest Plan Revision identified wildlife habitats that had declined from historical conditions and associated these habitats with species of conservation concern (2003 Final EIS, pp. 3–255 to 3–289). Based on an update to the 2003 multi-scale analysis initiated in 2005, the Forest Supervisors for the three Forests are in the process of identifying priorities for maintaining and restoring habitats during the current 10–15 year planning period, as part of the comprehensive WCS. The WCS will also identify priorities for longer-term maintenance and restoration for other habitats that have experienced varying levels of decline.

The analysis supporting the revised Forest Plans, and subsequent updates to this multi-scale analysis, were developed using the principles and scientific methods generated during the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project (Boise Forest Plan, p. II-41; Payette Forest Plan, p. II-41; Sawtooth Forest Plan, p. II-38). The updated analysis also incorporates new information generated after the Forest Plans were revised in July 2003, including best available science as of this date. New information includes mid-scale assessments such as the 2005 Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategies for the States of Idaho and Utah, respectively, and the 2006 Conservation Plan for the Greater Sage Grouse in Idaho.

## **Purpose and Need for Action**

The SWIE revised Forest Plans identified the need to include management direction to focus restoration activities in habitats for species of concern (Boise and Payette Forest Plans, p. II-10 and, Sawtooth Forest Plan, p. II-9). However, while the 2003 Forest Plans include general direction that addresses this need, they do not include specific direction that spatially prioritizes one habitat area over another at scales smaller than Forest Plan management areas. Recognizing the importance of completing this task, the Responsible Official included, in each of the 2003 Plans, Forest Plan wildlife objective (WIOB03), which calls for prioritizing wildlife habitat to be restored at a midor Forest-scale using information from sources such as species habitat models and fine scale analyses.

Therefore, the purpose of this action is twofold: (1) To amend management direction and/or other components of the SWIE Forest Plans to reflect findings and priorities identified in the WCS as needed to guide Forest Plan implementation for the remainder of this planning period; and (2) to update the wildlife assessments pertaining to 36 CFR 219.19 (1982) as needed to reflect findings in updated analyses, as well as outcomes anticipated from implementing the amended Forest Plans.

### **Proposed Action**

The proposed action is to implement nonsignificant Forest Plan amendments that will include new or modified management direction and/or other components of the three Forest Plans, as needed, to reflect findings and priorities identified in the WCS to guide Forest Plan implementation for the remainder of this planning period.

# **Responsible Officials**

The Responsible Officials are the three Forest Supervisors for the Boise, Payette, and Sawtooth National Forests:

Richard A. Smith, Forest Supervisor for the Boise National Forest, USDA—Forest Service; 1249 South Vinnell Way, Suite 200. Boise. Idaho 83709.

Suzanne C. Rainville, Forest Supervisor for the Payette National Forest, USDA—Forest Service; 800 West Lakeside Avenue, McCall, Idaho 83638.

Jane P. Kollmeyer, Forest Supervisor for the Sawtooth National Forest, USDA—Forest Service; 2647 Kimberly Road East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301.

#### Nature of Decision To Be Made

The Responsible Officials will review the Final EIS and determine if the 2003 Plan for his/her respective Forest should be amended and/or modified, or if the current Forest Plan should remain unchanged.

#### **Scoping Process**

Written comments must be received within 30 days from the day following publication of this NOI in the Federal Register. Providing comments within this time period ensures that they will be available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them during preparation of the specific proposed amendments and Draft EIS.

Additional opportunities for public participation will be provided following completion of specific proposed amendments, prior to release of the Draft EIS. Information and status updates of this amendment process, including specific proposed amendments, will begin to be posted on the Web site, <a href="http://www.fs.fed.us/r4/boise/">http://www.fs.fed.us/r4/boise/</a>, by early winter 2007.

# Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review

A legal notice will be published in the newspaper of record for each National Forest and a Notice of Availability (NOA) will be published in the **Federal Register** to inform the public when the Draft EIS is available for review and comment. The Draft EIS will be distributed to all parties who respond during the scoping process, or who

otherwise notify the agency at some point following publication of this NOI of their interest to continue to receive information pertaining to this proposal.

The comment period on the Draft EIS will end 90 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the NOA in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of Draft EISs must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the Draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 90-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the Draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the Draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on **Environmental Quality Regulations for** implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21) Dated: September 7, 2007.

#### Richard A. Smith,

Forest Supervisor, Boise National Forest. [FR Doc. 07–4552 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

# Procurement List; Proposed Additions and Deletions

**ACTION:** Proposed additions to and deletions from the Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is proposing to add to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and to delete a product and a service previously furnished by such agencies.

Comments Must Be Received On or Before: October 14, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3259.

For Further Information or To Submit Comments, Contact: Kimberly M. Zeich, Telephone: (703) 603–7740, Fax: (703) 603–0655, or e-mail CMTEFedReg@jwod.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51–2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the proposed actions.

#### Additions

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, the entities of the Federal Government identified in this notice for each product or service will be required to procure the services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

# Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

#### **End of Certification**

#### Services

Service Type/Location: Custodial Services, U.S. Coast Guard Office, 110 Mount Elliot Street, Detroit, MI.

NPA: New Horizons Rehabilitation Services, Inc., Auburn Hills, MI.

Contracting Activity: U.S. Coast Guard, Cleveland, OH.

Service Type/Location: Mailroom Operations, Hubert H. Humphrey Building (200 Independence Avenue, SW.), Mary E. Switzer Bldg (330 C Street, SW.), Wilbur J. Cohen Bldg (330 Independence Avenue, SW.), Washington, DC.

NPA: Didlake, Inc., Manassas, VA.
Contracting Activity: Department of Health
and Human Services—Program Support
Center, Rockville, MD.

#### **Deletions**

# **Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification**

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. If approved, the action may result in additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities.
- 2. If approved, the action may result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products and services to the Government.
- 3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the products and services proposed for deletion from the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

#### **End of Certification**

The following product and service are proposed for deletion from the Procurement List:

## **Product**

# Marker, Lumocolor

NSN: 7520–01–507–6969—Markers, Lumocolor, Permanent. NPA: Winston-Salem Industries for the Blind, Winston-Salem, NC.

Contracting Activity: General Services

Administration, Office Supplies & Paper

Products, Acquisition Ctr., New York,

NY.

#### Service

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial, 3273rd U.S. Army Reserve Hospital, 1003 Grove Road, Suites B & C, Greenville, SC.

NPA: Greenville County Disabilities and Special Needs Board, Greenville, SC. Contracting Activity: Department of the

Army, Army Reserve Contracting Center, Birmingham, AL.

## Kimberly M. Zeich,

Director, Program Operations.
[FR Doc. E7–18175 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

# **Procurement List; Addition and Deletions**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Addition to and deletions from the Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List a service to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and deletes from the Procurement List a product and services previously furnished by such agencies.

**DATES:** Effective Date: October 14, 2007. **ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3259.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kimberly M. Zeich, Telephone: (703) 603–7740, Fax: (703) 603–0655, or email *CMTEFedReg@jwod.gov*.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Addition

On June 22, 2007, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice (72 FR 34433) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the service and impact of the addition on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the service listed below are suitable for procurement by the