enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

This proposal also does not have tribal implications because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This proposed action also does not have Federalism implications because it would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely proposes to approve a State rule implementing a Federal standard and will result, as a consequence of that approval, in the Administrator's withdrawal of the CAIR FIP. It does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it would approve a State rule implementing a Federal Standard.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule would not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Electric utilities, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: July 25, 2007.

#### J.I. Palmer, Jr.,

Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. E7–14981 Filed 8–1–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0251-200719; FRL-8449-3]

# Approval of Implementation Plans of Georgia: Clean Air Interstate Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Georgia State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on March 28, 2007. This revision addresses the requirements of EPA's Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), promulgated on May 12, 2005, and subsequently revised on April 28, 2006, and December 13, 2006. EPA is proposing to determine that the SIP revision fully implements the CAIR requirements for Georgia. Therefore, as a consequence of the SIP approval, EPA will also withdraw the **CAIR Federal Implementation Plans** (CAIR FIPs) concerning sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) annual emissions for Georgia. The CAIR FIPs for all States in the CAIR region were promulgated on April 28, 2006, and subsequently revised on December 13, 2006

CAIR requires States to reduce emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> that significantly contribute to nonattainment of, and interfere with maintenance of, the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for fine particulates and/or ozone in any downwind state. CAIR establishes State budgets for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> and requires States to submit SIP revisions that implement these budgets in States that EPA concluded did contribute to nonattainment in downwind states. States have the flexibility to choose which control measures to adopt to achieve the budgets, including participating in the EPA-administered cap-and-trade programs. In the SIP revision that EPA is proposing to approve, Georgia would meet CAIR requirements by participating in the EPA-administered cap-and-trade

programs addressing  $SO_2$  and  $NO_X$  annual emissions.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 4, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0251, by one of the following methods:

- 1. http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
  - 2. E-mail: harder.stacy@epa.gov.
  - 3. Fax: 404-562-9019.
- 4. Mail: "EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0251," Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

5. Hand Delivery or Courier: Stacy Harder, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding federal holidays.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to Docket ID No. "EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0251." EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit through http://www.regulations.gov or e-mail, information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected. The http://www.regulations.gov website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information, unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption and should be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm">http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm</a>.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding federal holidays. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions concerning this proposal, please contact Ms. Stacy Harder, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9042. Ms. Harder can also be reached via electronic mail at harder.stacy@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. What Actions Is EPA Proposing To Take? II. What Is the Regulatory History of CAIR and the CAIR FIPs?
- III. What Are the General Requirements of CAIR and the CAIR FIPs?
- IV. What Are the Types of CAIR SIP Submittals?
- V. Analysis of Georgia's CAIR SIP Submittal A. State Budgets for Allowance Allocations
  - B. CAIR Cap-and-Trade Programs
- C. Applicability Provisions for Non-EGU NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call Sources
- D.  $NO_X$  Allowance Allocations
- $\begin{array}{c} E. \ Allocation \ of \ NO_X \ Allowances \ From \\ Compliance \ Supplement \ Pool \end{array}$
- F. Individual Opt-In Units
- VI. Proposed Actions
- VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

### I. What Action Is EPA Proposing to Take?

EPA is proposing to approve a revision to Georgia's SIP, submitted on March 28, 2007. In its SIP revision, Georgia would meet CAIR requirements by requiring certain electric generating units (EGUs) to participate in the EPAadministered State CAIR cap-and-trade programs addressing SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions. EPA is proposing to determine that the SIP, as revised, will meet the applicable requirements of CAIR. Any final action approving the SIP will be taken by the Regional Administrator for Region 4. As a consequence of the SIP approval, the Administrator of EPA will also issue a final rule to withdraw the FIPs concerning SO2 and NOX annual emissions for Georgia. This action will delete and reserve 40 CFR 52.584 and 40 CFR 52.585. The withdrawal of the CAIR FIPs for Georgia is a conforming amendment that must be made once the SIP is approved because EPA's authority to issue the FIPs was premised on a deficiency in the SIP for Georgia. Once the SIP is fully approved, EPA no longer has authority for the FIPs. Thus, EPA will not have the option of maintaining the FIPs following the full SIP approval. Accordingly, EPA does not intend to offer an opportunity for a public hearing or an additional opportunity for written public comment on the withdrawal of the FIPs.

# II. What Is the Regulatory History of the CAIR and the CAIR FIPs?

CAIR was published by EPA on May 12, 2005 (70 FR 25162). In this rule, EPA determined that 28 States and the District of Columbia contribute significantly to nonattainment and interfere with maintenance of the NAAQS for fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and/or 8-hour ozone in downwind States in the eastern part of the country. As a result, EPA required those upwind States to revise their SIPs to include control measures that reduce emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, which is a precursor to PM<sub>2.5</sub> formation, and/or NO<sub>X</sub>, which is a precursor to both ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> formation. For jurisdictions that contribute significantly to downwind PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment, CAIR sets annual Statewide emission reduction requirements (i.e., budgets) for SO<sub>2</sub> and annual Statewide emission reduction requirements for NO<sub>x</sub>. Similarly, for jurisdictions that contribute significantly to 8-hour ozone nonattainment, CAIR sets State-wide emission reduction requirements for NO<sub>X</sub> for the ozone season (May 1st to September 30th). Under CAIR, States may implement these reduction

requirements by participating in the EPA-administered cap-and-trade programs or by adopting any other control measures.

CAIR explains to subject States what must be included in SIPs to address the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) with regard to interstate transport with respect to the 8-hour ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. EPA made national findings, effective on May 25, 2005, that the States had failed to submit SIPs meeting the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D). The SIPs were due in July 2000, three years after the promulgation of the 8-hour ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. These findings started a two-year clock for EPA to promulgate a FIP to address the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D). Under CAA section 110(c)(1), EPA may issue a FIP anytime after such findings are made and must do so within two years, unless a SIP revision correcting the deficiency is approved by EPA before the FIP is promulgated.

On April 28, 2006, EPA promulgated FIPs for all States covered by CAIR in order to ensure the emissions reductions required by CAIR are achieved on schedule. Each CAIR State is subject to the FIPs until the State fully adopts, and EPA approves, a SIP revision meeting the requirements of CAIR. The CAIR FIPs require EGUs to participate in the EPA-administered CAIR SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> annual, and NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season trading programs, as appropriate. The CAIR FIP  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_X$  annual, and  $NO_X$  ozone season trading programs impose essentially the same requirements as, and are integrated with, the respective CAIR SIP trading programs. The integration of the FIP and SIP trading programs means that these trading programs will work together to create effectively a single trading program for each regulated pollutant (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> annual, and NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season) in all States covered by the CAIR FIP or SIP trading program for that pollutant. The CAIR FIPs also allow States to submit abbreviated SIP revisions that, if approved by EPA, will automatically replace or supplement certain CAIR FIP provisions (e.g., the methodology for allocating NO<sub>X</sub> allowances to sources in the State), while the CAIR FIP remains in place for all other provisions.

On April 28, 2006, EPA published two additional CAIR-related final rules that added the States of Delaware and New Jersey to the list of States subject to CAIR for  $PM_{2.5}$  and announced EPA's final decisions on reconsideration of five issues, without making any substantive changes to the CAIR requirements.

### III. What are the General Requirements of CAIR and the CAIR FIPs?

CAIR establishes State-wide emission budgets for SO2 and NOx and is to be implemented in two phases. The first phase of NO<sub>X</sub> reductions starts in 2009 and continues through 2014, while the first phase of SO<sub>2</sub> reductions starts in 2010 and continues through 2014. The second phase of reductions for both  $NO_X$  and  $SO_2$  starts in 2015 and continues thereafter. CAIR requires States to implement the budgets by either: (1) Requiring EGUs to participate in the EPA-administered cap-and-trade programs; or (2) adopting other control measures of the State's choosing and demonstrating that such control measures will result in compliance with the applicable State  $SO_2$  and  $NO_X$ budgets.

The May 12, 2005, and April 28, 2006, CAIR rules provide model rules that States must adopt (with certain limited changes, if desired) if they want to participate in the EPA-administered trading programs.

With two exceptions, only States that choose to meet the requirements of CAIR through methods that exclusively regulate EGUs are allowed to participate in the EPA-administered trading programs. One exception is for States that adopt the opt-in provisions of the model rules to allow non-EGUs individually to opt into the EPA-administered trading programs. The other exception is for States that include all non-EGUs from their NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call trading programs in their CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season trading programs.

# IV. What are the Types of CAIR SIP Submittals?

States have the flexibility to choose the type of control measures they will use to meet the requirements of CAIR. EPA anticipates that most States will choose to meet the CAIR requirements by selecting an option that requires EGUs to participate in the EPAadministered CAIR cap-and-trade programs. For such States, EPA has provided two approaches for submitting and obtaining approval for CAIR SIP revisions. States may submit full SIP revisions that adopt the model CAIR cap-and-trade rules. If approved, these SIP revisions will fully replace the CAIR FIPs. Alternatively, States may submit abbreviated SIP revisions. These SIP revisions will not replace the CAIR FIPs; however, the CAIR FIPs provide that, when approved, the provisions in these abbreviated SIP revisions will be used instead of or in conjunction with, as appropriate, the corresponding provisions of the CAIR FIPs (e.g., the

 $NO_X$  allowance allocation methodology).

A State submitting a full SIP revision may either adopt regulations that are substantively identical to the model rules or incorporate by reference the model rules. CAIR provides that States may only make limited changes to the model rules, if the States want to participate in the EPA-administered trading programs. A full SIP revision may change the model rules only by altering their applicability and allowance allocation provisions to:

1. Include  $NO_X$  SIP Call trading sources that are not EGUs under CAIR in the CAIR  $NO_X$  ozone season trading program;

2. Provide for State allocation of NO<sub>X</sub> annual or ozone season allowances using a methodology chosen by the State:

3. Provide for State allocation of  $NO_X$  annual allowances from the compliance supplement pool (CSP) using the State's choice of allowed, alternative methodologies; or

4. Allow units that are not otherwise CAIR units to opt individually into the CAIR  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_X$  annual, or  $NO_X$  ozone season trading programs under the optin provisions in the model rules. An approved CAIR full SIP revision addressing EGUs'  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_X$  annual, or  $NO_X$  ozone season emissions will replace the CAIR FIP for that State for the respective EGU emissions.

#### V. Analysis of Georgia's CAIR SIP Submittal

A. State Budgets for Allowance Allocations

The CAIR  $NO_X$  annual and ozone season budgets were developed from historical heat input data for EGUs. Using these data, EPA calculated annual and ozone season regional heat input values, which were multiplied by 0.15 pounds per million British thermal units (0.15lb/mmBtu), for phase 1, and 0.125 lb/mmBtu, for phase 2, to obtain regional  $NO_X$  budgets for 2009–2014 and for 2015 and thereafter, respectively. EPA derived the State  $NO_X$  annual and ozone season budgets from the regional budgets using State heat input data adjusted by fuel factors.

The CAIR State SO<sub>2</sub> budgets were derived by discounting the tonnage of emissions authorized by annual allowance allocations under the Acid Rain Program under title IV of the CAA. Under CAIR, each allowance allocated in the Acid Rain Program for the years in phase 1 of CAIR (2010 through 2014) authorizes 0.5 ton of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the CAIR trading program, and each Acid Rain Program allowance allocated

for the years in phase 2 of CAIR (2015 and thereafter) authorizes 0.35 ton of  $SO_2$  emissions in the CAIR trading program.

In this action, EPA is proposing approval of Georgia's SIP revision that adopts the budgets established for the State in CAIR, i.e., 66,321 (2009–2014) and 55,268 (2015–thereafter) tons for NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions, and 213,057 (2010–2014) and 149,140 (2015–thereafter) tons for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Georgia's SIP revision sets these budgets as the total amounts of allowances available for allocation for each year under the EPA-administered cap-and-trade programs.

#### B. CAIR Cap-and-Trade Programs

The CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> annual and ozoneseason model trading rules both largely mirror the structure of the NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call model trading rule in 40 CFR part 96, subparts A through I. While the provisions of the NO<sub>X</sub> annual and ozone-season model rules are similar, there are some differences. For example, the NO<sub>X</sub> annual model rule (but not the NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season model rule) provides for a CSP, which is discussed below and under which allowances may be awarded for early reductions of NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions. As a further example, the NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season model rule reflects the fact that the CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season trading program replaces the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call trading program after the 2008 ozone season and is coordinated with the NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call program. The NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season model rule provides incentives for early emissions reductions by allowing banked, pre-2009 NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call allowances to be used for compliance in the CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> ozone-season trading program. In addition, States have the option of continuing to meet their NOX SIP Call requirement by participating in the CAIR  $NO_X$  ozone season trading program and including all their NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call trading sources in that program.

The provisions of the CAIR  $SO_2$ model rule are also similar to the provisions of the NO<sub>X</sub> annual and ozone season model rules. However, the SO<sub>2</sub> model rule is coordinated with the ongoing Acid Rain SO2 cap-and-trade program under CAA title IV. The SO<sub>2</sub> model rule uses the title IV allowances for compliance, with each allowance allocated for 2010–2014 authorizing only 0.50 ton of emissions and each allowance allocated for 2015 and thereafter authorizing only 0.35 ton of emissions. Banked title IV allowances allocated for years before 2010 can be used at any time in the CAIR SO<sub>2</sub> capand-trade program, with each such allowance authorizing one ton of

emissions. Title IV allowances are to be freely transferable among sources covered by the Acid Rain Program and sources covered by the CAIR SO<sub>2</sub> capand-trade program.

EPA also used the CAIR model trading rules as the basis for the trading programs in the CAIR FIPs. The CAIR FIP trading rules are virtually identical to the CAIR model trading rules, with changes made to account for federal rather than state implementation. The CAIR model SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> annual, and NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season trading rules and the respective CAIR FIP trading rules are designed to work together as integrated SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> annual, and NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season trading programs.

In the SIP revision, Georgia chooses to implement its CAIR budgets by requiring EGUs to participate in EPA-administered cap-and-trade programs for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions. Georgia has adopted a full SIP revision that adopts, with certain allowed changes discussed below, the CAIR model cap-and-trade rules for SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions.

#### C. Applicability Provisions for Non-EGU NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call Sources

In general, the CAIR model trading rules apply to any stationary, fossil-fuel-fired boiler or stationary, fossil-fuel-fired combustion turbine serving at any time, since the later of November 15, 1990, or the start-up of the unit's combustion chamber, a generator with nameplate capacity of more than 25 MWe producing electricity for sale.

States have the option of bringing in, for the CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season program only, those units in the State's NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call trading program that are not EGUs as defined under CAIR. EPA advises States exercising this option to add the applicability provisions in the State's NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call trading rule for non-EGUs to the applicability provisions in 40 CFR 96.304 in order to include in the CAIR NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season trading program all units required to be in the State's  $NO_X$ SIP Call trading program that are not already included under 40 CFR 96.304. Under this option, the CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> ozone season program must cover all large industrial boilers and combustion turbines, as well as any small EGUs (i.e. units serving a generator with a nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less) that the State currently requires to be in the NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call trading program.

Because Georgia is not subject to the CAIR  $NO_X$  ozone season requirements, Georgia will not participate in the CAIR  $NO_X$  ozone season trading program and therefore did not have an option of expanding the applicability provisions of the CAIR  $NO_X$  ozone season trading

program to include all non-EGUs in the State's NO<sub>X</sub> SIP Call trading program.

#### D. NO<sub>X</sub> Allowance Allocations

Under the  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  allowance allocation methodology in the CAIR model trading rules and in the CAIR FIP,  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  annual and ozone season allowances are allocated to units that have operated for five years, based on heat input data from a three-year period that are adjusted for fuel type by using fuel factors of 1.0 for coal, 0.6 for oil, and 0.4 for other fuels. The CAIR model trading rules and the CAIR FIP also provide a new unit set-aside from which units without five years of operation are allocated allowances based on the units' prior year emissions.

States may establish in their SIP submissions a different  $NO_X$  allowance allocation methodology that will be used to allocate allowances to sources in the States, if certain requirements are met concerning the timing of submission of units' allocations to the Administrator for recordation and the total amount of allowances allocated for each control period. In adopting alternative  $NO_X$  allowance allocation methodologies, States have flexibility with regard to:

- 1. The cost to recipients of the allowances, which may be distributed for free or auctioned;
  - 2. The frequency of allocations;
- 3. The basis for allocating allowances, which may be distributed, for example, based on historical heat input or electric and thermal output; and
- 4. The use of allowance set-asides and, if used, their size.

Georgia has chosen to replace the provisions of the CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> annual model trading rule concerning the allocation of NO<sub>X</sub> annual allowances with its own methodology. Georgia has chosen to distribute NOx annual allowances based upon allocation methods for both existing and new units. Georgia defines an existing unit as one that commences operation prior to January 1, 2006, rather than 2001 as in EPA's model rule. Georgia defines new sources as those that have commenced operation on or after January 1, 2006, and do not yet have a baseline heat input. Under Georgia's cap and trade program, allowances will be allocated to EGUs in an amount no greater than the NO<sub>X</sub> budget established in EPA's model rule. Allocations are based on the highest annual amount of heat input during a baseline period, using heat input figures that are fuel-adjusted as set forth in EPA's model rule. Allowances are initially allocated for 2010 through 2011 and are allocated on a year-by-year basis, about three years in advance, for

2012 and each subsequent year. The baseline period for initial allocations is 2001–2005, and will be updated annually for subsequent allocations. For years 2010 and thereafter, 97 percent of the budget will be allocated to existing sources, with the remaining three percent allocated to new sources. A new-unit set aside will be established for each control period, and will be allocated CAIR  $NO_X$  allowances equal to 1,990 and 1,658 for control periods 2009–2014, and 2015 and thereafter, respectively.

However, the new-unit set aside provisions in Georgia's rule contain certain cross-citation errors and, for example (in Rule 391-3-1.02(12)(f)(3)(iii)), inadvertently fail to reference the provisions establishing the size of the new-unit set aside. Further, the allowance recordation provisions in Georgia's rule contain certain citation errors and establish deadlines for the EPA Administrator's recordation of the allowance allocations in the allowance tracking system that are inconsistent with the allocation schedule established in Georgia's rule. While the allocation schedule requires allocations to be made about three years in advance, the recordation schedule (in Rule 391-3-1-.02(g)(1)(i) and (ii)) does not require allocations to be recorded in advance at all. Georgia indicated in its response to comments in its CAIR SIP rulemaking that the State will revise the rule to correct all of these errors. See Responses to Comments Received During the Public Comment Period Regarding Proposed Revisions to Air Quality Rules, Chapter 391–3–1 at C.–10 through C-11 (February 12, 2007). Moreover, EPA interprets Georgia's existing rule to limit total annual allocations to new units to the amount of the allowances in the applicable newunit set aside and intends to record allowances in a manner consistent with

# E. Allocation of $NO_X$ Allowances From Compliance Supplement Pool

the allocation schedule.

The CAIR rule establishes a CSP to provide an incentive for early reductions in NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions. The CSP consists of 200,000 CAIR NO<sub>X</sub> annual allowances of vintage 2009 for the entire CAIR region, and a State's share of the CSP is based upon the projected magnitude of the emission reductions required by CAIR in that State. States may distribute CSP allowances, one allowance for each ton of early reduction, to sources that make NO<sub>X</sub> reductions during 2007 or 2008 beyond what is required by any applicable State or Federal emission limitation. States also may distribute

CSP allowances based upon a demonstration of need for an extension of the 2009 deadline for implementing emission controls.

The CAIR annual  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  model trading rule establishes specific methodologies for allocations of CSP allowances. States may choose an allowed, alternative CSP allocation methodology to be used to allocate CSP allowances to sources in the States.

Georgia has not chosen to modify the provisions of the CAIR  $NO_X$  annual model trading rule concerning the allocation of allowances from the CSP. Georgia has chosen to distribute CSP allowances using an allocation methodology that is the same as EPA's model rule. The CSP provides up to 12,397 CAIR  $NO_X$  annual allowances to be allocated by the State for 2009.

#### F. Individual Opt-In Units

The opt-in provisions of the CAIR SIP model trading rules allow certain non-EGUs (i.e., boilers, combustion turbines, and other stationary fossil-fuel-fired devices) that do not meet the applicability criteria for a CAIR trading program to participate voluntarily in (i.e., opt into) the CAIR trading program. A non-EGU may opt into one or more of the CAIR trading programs. In order to qualify to opt into a CAIR trading program, a unit must vent all emissions through a stack and be able to meet monitoring, recordkeeping, and recording requirements of 40 CFR part 75. The owners and operators seeking to opt a unit into a CAIR trading program must apply for a CAIR opt-in permit. If the unit is issued a CAIR opt-in permit, the unit becomes a CAIR unit, is allocated allowances, and must meet the same allowance-holding and emissions monitoring and reporting requirements as other units subject to the CAIR trading program. The opt-in provisions provide for two methodologies for allocating allowances for opt-in units, one methodology that applies to opt-in units in general and a second methodology that allocates allowances only to opt-in units that the owners and operators intend to repower before January 1, 2015.

States have several options concerning the opt-in provisions. States may adopt the CAIR opt-in provisions entirely or may adopt them but exclude one of the methodologies for allocating allowances. States may also decline to adopt the opt-in provisions at all.

Georgia has chosen not to allow non-EGUs meeting certain requirements to opt into the CAIR  $NO_{\rm X}$  annual trading program.

Georgia has chosen not to allow certain non-EGUs to opt into the CAIR  $SO_2$  trading program.

#### VI. Proposed Actions

EPA is proposing to approve Georgia's full CAIR SIP revision submitted on March 28, 2007. Under this SIP revision. Georgia is choosing to participate in the EPA-administered cap-and-trade programs for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions. The SIP revision (interpreted by EPA as discussed above) meets the applicable requirements in 40 CFR 51.123(o) and (aa), with regard to NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions, and 40 CFR 51.124(o), with regard to SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Further, Georgia has agreed to make the technical corrections discussed above to clarify the allowance allocation procedures and make the allowance recordation procedures consistent with the allocation procedures. Therefore, EPA is proposing to determine that the SIP, as revised, will meet the requirements of CAIR. As a consequence of the SIP approval, the Administrator of EPA will also issue, without providing an opportunity for a public hearing or an additional opportunity for written public comment, a final rule to withdraw the CAIR FIPs concerning SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> annual emissions for Georgia. This action will delete and reserve 40 CFR 52.584 and 40 CFR 52.585.

### VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely proposes to approve State law as meeting Federal requirements and would impose no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this action proposes to approve pre-existing requirements under State law and would not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This proposal also does not have tribal implications because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This proposed action also does not have Federalism implications because it would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64) FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely proposes to approve a State rule implementing a Federal standard and will result, as a consequence of that approval, in the Administrator's withdrawal of the CAIR FIP. It does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it would approve a State rule implementing a Federal Standard.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule would not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Electric utilities, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: July 25, 2007.

J.I. Palmer, Jr.,

**AGENCY** 

Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. E7-15055 Filed 8-1-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

#### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0548-200728; FRL-8449-41

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and **Designations of Areas for Air Quality** Planning Purposes; Georgia: Redesignation of the Macon 8-Hour **Ozone Nonattainment Area to Attainment for Ozone** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On June 15, 2007, the State of Georgia, through the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD), submitted a request to redesignate the Macon 8-hour ozone nonattainment area to attainment for the 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS); and to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision containing a maintenance plan for the Macon Area. The Macon 8hour ozone area is comprised of Bibb County, and a portion of Monroe County located in middle Georgia (hereafter referred to as the "Macon Area"). In this action, EPA is proposing to approve Georgia's 8-hour ozone redesignation request for the Macon Area. Additionally, EPA is proposing to approve the 8-hour ozone maintenance plan for the Macon Area, including the regional motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). This proposed approval of Georgia's redesignation request is based on EPA's determination that Georgia has demonstrated that the Macon Area has met the criteria for redesignation to attainment specified in the Clean Air Act (CAA), including the determination that the entire Macon 8-hour ozone nonattainment area has attained the 8hour ozone standard. In this action, EPA is also describing the status of its transportation conformity adequacy determination for the new regional MVEBs for 2020 that are contained in the 8-hour ozone maintenance plan for the Macon Area.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 4, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0548, by one of the following methods:

(a) http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

(b) E-mail: Harder.Stacy@epa.gov.

(c) *Fax:* (404) 562–9019.

(d) Mail: EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0548, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

(e) Hand Delivery or Courier: Stacy Harder, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding

Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0548. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail, information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected. The http:// www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or

viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Stacy Harder of the Regulatory Development Section at the Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Ms. Harder's telephone number is (404) 562-9042. She can also be reached via electronic mail at harder.stacy@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Table of Contents**

I. What Proposed Actions Is EPA Taking? II. What Is the Background for EPA's Proposed Actions?

III. What Are the Criteria for Redesignation? IV. Why Is EPA Proposing These Actions? V. What Is the Effect of EPA's Proposed

Actions? VI. What Is EPA's Analysis of the Request? VII. What Are the Proposed Regional MVEBs for the Macon Area?

VIII. What Is the Status of EPA's Adequacy Determination for MVEBs for the Year 2020 for the Macon Area?

IX. Proposed Action on the Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan SIP Revision Including Proposed Approval of the 2020 MVEBs

X. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. What Proposed Actions Is EPA Taking?

EPA is proposing to take three related actions which are summarized below and described in greater detail throughout this notice of proposed