of MCBQ to accommodate approximately 3,000 personnel directed to MCBQ by the 2005 BRAC law, and potential development within the Westside to accommodate up to 2,000 additional personnel, or 5,000 personnel total including BRAC, to support other Marine Corps requirements.

**DATES:** The public comment period for the DEIS will end 45 days after publication of an NOA in the **Federal Register** by the Environmental Protection Agency. All comments must be received by 4 September 2007.

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments on the DEIS to: Jeff Gardner, NREA Branch (B046), 3250 Catlin Avenue, Marine Corps Base, Quantico, VA 22134–0855. E-mail comments should be sent to jeff.gardner@usmc.mil.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jeff Gardner 703–432–6784 during normal business hours Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Marine Corp Base Quantico, Virginia proposes development of the Westside of MCBQ, including the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) action at MCBQ. The development would entail construction of new facilities in two undeveloped areas west of Interstate 95. These areas, the Russell Road Area and the MCB-1 Area, would co-locate Military Department Investigative Agency Headquarters with the Counterintelligence Field Activity and Defense Security Service at MCBQ as directed by the 2005 BRAC law. The areas would also provide adequate space for facilities to support Marine Corps units currently at MCBQ, as well as potentially other federal and Marine Corps initiatives that may identify MCBQ as a site for relocation.

This DEIS identifies and evaluates the potential environmental impacts of (1) Alternative A (BRAC Action), the relocation of approximately 3,000 personnel directed to MCBQ by the BRAC Law; (2) Alternative B, the relocation of an additional 2,000 personnel for a total of 5,000 personnel, including those under Alternative A; and (3) the No Action Alternative. Under both Alternatives A and B, two sites for the BRAC action, one located in the southern portion of the Russell Road Area, and the other in the northern portion of the MCB-1 Area, are analyzed as the BRAC site options (BRAC Option 1 (Russell Road) and BRAC Option 2 (MCB-1)).

The Notice of Intent (NOI) published for this EIS in the **Federal Register** on 3 August 2006 identified five alternatives, including the no action alternative, for analysis in this EIS. In

addition to Alternatives A and B, the NOI indicated that a high intensity development alternative would be assessed that would add up to 10,000 personnel, including 3,000 under BRAC, and a medium intensity development alternative would be assessed that would add up to 7,000 personnel, including 3,000 under BRAC. Following a preliminary assessment of the proposed sites west of I–95 and further examination of known requirements, only Alternatives A and B were carried forward for analysis.

At this time, a preferred alternative has not been selected. The DEIS analysis indicate that the increased level of development and personnel under Alternative B compared to Alternative A would be expected to cause a commensurate increase in the level of impacts

The DEIS finds that traffic impacts at base access points are similar for both alternatives. Major MCBQ access points currently perform unacceptably and regional growth will further degrade intersections unless improvements are made. Alternatives have unacceptable levels of service at U.S. Route 1 and I—95 intersections, and at proposed development sites. The DEIS identifies traffic mitigation measures.

The DEIS also finds that the amount of development from the proposed alternatives is small compared to the amount of undeveloped land that would remain, largely forested, minimizing impacts to natural resources. There would be no effect to threatened and endangered species; however, alternatives could impact a small amount of wetlands. The DEIS finds that potential regional growth from either alternative is not large compared to projected growth already expected for the surrounding region and the resulting socioeconomic impacts from either alternative are less than significant. Potential impacts, such as noise, caused by proximity to military training activities that would remain in the Westside, can be acceptably minimized in the facility design process, and development is a compatible land use at the proposed sites. The sites avoid impacting cultural resources and air emissions are not expected to exceed the thresholds established for general conformity to state implementation plans or to require a new source review.

The DEIS has been distributed to various federal, state, and local agencies, elected officials, special interest groups, and interested parties. The DEIS is also available for public review at the following local libraries: Central Rappahannock Regional Library, Dumfries Neighborhood Library, and the

Prince William Public Library System. The DEIS is also available at the following Web site: http:// www.quantico.usmc.mil/activities/ display.aspx?PID=1814&Section=NREA. The Marine Corps invites the general public, local governments, other federal agencies, and state agencies to submit written comments or suggestions concerning the alternatives and analysis addressed in the DEIS. The public and government agencies are invited to participate in the public meetings where oral and written comments will be received. Two public meetings will be held. The first public meeting will be held on 6 August 2007 from 7:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. at the Stafford County Government Center Board Room, 1300 Courthouse Road, Stafford, VA. The second public meeting will be held on 13 August 2007 from 6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. at the Doctor A. J. Ferlazzo Building, 15941 Donald Curtis Drive, Prince William, VA. All comments must be received by 4 September 2007.

Dated: July 16, 2007.

### L.R. Almand,

Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Administrative Law Division, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–14126 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# **Department of Navy**

# Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice to delete a System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy is deleting a system of records in its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

**DATES:** This proposed action will be effective without further notice on August 20, 2007 unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Department of the Navy, PA/FOIA Policy Branch, Chief of Naval Operations (DNS-36), 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350-2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mrs. Doris Lama at (202) 685–6545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department the Navy systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the Federal Register

and are available from the address above.

The Department of Navy proposes to delete a system of records notice from its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended. The proposed deletion is not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of new or altered systems reports.

Dated: July 13, 2007.

#### C.R. Choate,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

#### N12930-2

#### SYSTEM NAME:

Area Coordinator Information and Operation Files (February 22, 1993, 58 FR 10825).

#### REASON:

Program changed which required the establishment of a new system. The new system is N12713–1, Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Complaints Tracking System which was published in the **Federal Register** on May 14, 2007, 72 FR 27094.

[FR Doc. 07–3534 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The IC Clearance Official,
Regulatory Information Management
Services, Office of Management, invites
comments on the proposed information
collection requests as required by the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 18, 2007.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of

Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: July 16, 2007.

# Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

### **Institute of Education Sciences**

Type of Review: Revision.
Title: National Evaluation of the
Comprehensive Technical Assistance
Centers.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 2,124. Burden Hours: 1,709.

*Abstract:* The purpose of this study is to evaluate the Comprehensive Technical Assistance Centers created to assist state education agencies with the implementation of the requirements of No Child Left Behind legislation. Four key methods will be used in this study: (1) Site visits conducted to each Center to learn about the Center's relationships with its clients and the types of products and services that are delivered; (2) expert panel review of a sample of projects undertaken by each Center to assess the quality of the technical assistance provided; (3) a survey of Center clients to rate the relevance, usefulness and other aspects of the services they have received; and (4) a survey of senior SEA officials who are responsible for negotiating with the Centers to ensure that the nature of

technical assistance provided corresponds to state priorities.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3414. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20202-4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to: ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-245–6623. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to: *ICDocketMgr@ed.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. E7–14027 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4000–01–P** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Federal Pell Grant, Academic Competitiveness Grant, National Science and Mathematics Access To Retain Talent Grant, Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Work-Study, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant, Federal Family Education Loan, and William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Programs; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Student Aid, U.S. Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Correction; Notice of revision of the Federal Need Analysis Methodology for the 2008–2009 award year.

**SUMMARY:** We correct two column headings for the Education Savings and Asset Protection Allowance tables in the notice published on June 1, 2007 (72 FR 30570).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 1, 2007, we published a notice in the Federal Register (72 FR 30568–30572), Notice of revision of the Federal Need Analysis Methodology for the 2008–2009 award year. The heading "If the age of the student is" (as published in the three tables on page 30570) is corrected to read, "If the age of the older parent is". This correction should be made only to the first of the three tables, titled "Dependent Students" on page 30570. The right column of the same table (Dependent Students) is labeled,