develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The estimated total cost for respondents is \$7,056,533 and the hourly burden is approximately 89,150 hours. This cost includes an estimated burden cost of \$6,817,702 and an estimated cost of \$238,831 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs. The estimated total cost for the Agency is \$468,724 and the hourly burden is approximately 11,932 hours. This cost includes an estimated burden cost of \$467,508 and an estimated cost of \$1,216 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs. A grand total of \$7,525,257 and an hourly burden of approximately 101,082 hours are expected for all information collection activities under ENERGY STAR product labeling.

EPA collects initial information in the Partnership Agreement (PA), which is completed and submitted by every Partner participating in ENERGY STAR. One overarching PA has been developed by EPA for ENERGY STAR product labeling. It is expected that 292 new Partners will join each year for the three years of this ICR. The reporting burden for information collection requirements associated with completing the PA for each respondent is estimated to be 4.20 hours. This estimate includes time for reviewing the instructions on the PA, completing and reviewing the information requested by the PA, and submitting the PA.

Every manufacturing Partner is required to submit information on each of their qualifying products. Annual updates, at minimum, notifying EPA of any changes in qualifying product information, are required as well. Thirty-three different product categories are covered by EPA under ENERGY STAR. Each product category has specific qualifying product information that must be submitted by each Partner for at least one qualifying product. Qualifying product information is expected for 9,986 new qualifying products each year for the three years of this ICR. The qualifying product list for each product category is updated by the

Agency once each month, for a total of 396 times annually (32 qualifying product lists multiplied by 12 months in a year). Approximately twice each month the Agency receives a request for qualifying product information that cannot be fulfilled by the ENERGY STAR Web site, for a total of 792 requests. The reporting burden for information collection requirements associated with completing qualifying product information for each qualifying product submitted by a respondent is estimated to be 4.85 hours. This estimate includes time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the information requested, and

submitting the information. ENERGY STAR Partners for residential light fixtures and roof products are required to submit testing reports for each product determined to be ENERGY STAR qualified. It is anticipated that qualifying product information for 4,464 new roof and residential light fixture products will be received by EPA each year for the three years of this ICR. The reporting burden for information collection requirements associated with testing reports by roof product and residential light fixture Partners for each qualifying product submitted by a respondent is estimated to be 14.30 hours. This estimate includes performing testing in-house or by a Third Party, assembling the data into a report format, reviewing it, and submitting it.

Each year, ENERGY STAR Partners are required to submit unit shipment data for their ENERGY STAR qualified products. There will be an average of 692 total Partners each year for the three years of this ICR. Therefore, 692 reports of unit shipment data are expected each year for the three years of this ICR. Unit shipment data will be aggregated for each of the 33 product categories covered by EPA under ENERGY STAR. The reporting burden for information collection requirements associated with unit shipment data for each respondent is estimated to be 6.69 hours. This estimate includes reviewing instructions, gathering unit shipment data, compiling and reviewing it by category, and submitting it.

Partners interested in receiving recognition for their efforts on ENERGY STAR are required to submit a Partner of the Year application. One set of Partner of the Year award criteria are developed by the Agency each year and posted on the ENERGY STAR Web site. An average of 17 award applications are expected each year for the three years of this ICR. The reporting burden for information collection requirements associated with the Partner of the Year

application for each respondent is estimated to be 59.29 hours. This estimate includes reviewing instructions on the application, gathering data and information for submission, completing the application, reviewing the information and narrative description required, and submitting the application to EPA.

Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

There is an increase of approximately 18,483 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. Although the estimated burden hours for each individual collection activity decreased, the overall increase in burden hours reflects the increased number of roof products and residential light fixture Partners that are required to submit testing reports along with qualifying product information. The currently approved ICR estimated 654 respondents, whereas this ICR estimates 4,464 respondents for this activity. This change is an adjustment.

What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another Federal Register notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: June 29, 2007.

Kathleen Hogan,

Director, Climate Protection Partnerships Division.

[FR Doc. E7–13623 Filed 7–12–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6688-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070176, ERP No. D—AFS— L65535—ID, Spruce Creek Project, Proposed Timber Harvest, Temporary Road Construction, Thinning of Sub-Merchantable Trees, Enhancement of Asper and Whitebark Pine, Cascade Ranger District, Boise National Forest, Valley County, ID.

Summary: EPA commends the Forest Service for meeting the non-point source TMDL requirements in the project area. However, EPA has environmental concerns about potential impacts to Riparian Conservation Areas from timber management activities. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070188, ERP No. D–FHW– C40170–NY, Fort Drum Connector Route Project, Proposed Link between I–81 and U.S. Route 11 at the Fort Drum North Gate, Town of Le Ray and Pamelia, Jefferson County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about wetland impacts, and requested additional information about mitigation measures. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070214, ERP No. F–FRC–G02015–00, EastTexas to Mississippi Expansion Project, Construction and Operation of 243.3 miles Natural Gas Pipeline to Transport Natural Gas from Production Fields in eastern Texas to Markets in the Gulf Coast, Midwestern, Northeastern and Southeastern United States.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070217, ERP No. F–CDB– C80016–NY, EastRiver Waterfront Esplanade and Piers Project, Revitalization, Connecting Whitehall Ferry Terminal and Peter Minuit Plaza to East River Park, Funding, New York, NY.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20070224, ERP No. F–BLM– K65319–CA, Sierra Resource Management Plan, Provide Direction for Managing Public Lands, Several Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concern about impacts to water quality and aquatic habitat, and to public health from exposure to naturally occurring asbestos.

EIS No. 20070250, ERP No. F–NPS– D65037–PA, Flight 93 National Memorial, Designation of Crash Site to Commemorate the Passengers and Crew of Flight 93, Implementation, Stonycreek Township, Somerset County, PA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: July 10, 2007.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7–13659 Filed 7–12–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6688-8]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 07/02/2007 through 07/06/2007 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20070281, Draft EIS, AFS, WV,
Lower Williams Project Area (LWPA),
Proposed to Perform Vegetation
Management and Wildlife Habitat
Improvements, Implementation,
Gauley Ranger District, Monongahela
National Forest, Webster County, WV,
Comment Period Ends: 08/27/2007,
Contact: O'Dell Tucker 304–799–4334
Ext 19.

EIS No. 20070282, Draft EIS, AFS, 00, Sierra Nevada Forests Management Indicator Species Amendment (MIS), Proposes to Adopt a Common List of Management Indicator Species (MIS), and Amending Land & Resource Management Plans for Following Ten Forests: Eldorado, Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Plumas, Sequoia, Sierra, Stanislaus and Tahoe National Forests and Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Several Counties, CA and Douglas, Esmeralda, Mineral Counties, NV, Comment PeriodEnds: 08/27/2007, Contact: Diana Craig 707-562-8737.

EIS No. 20070283, Final EIS, AFS, OR, Five Buttes Project, Conduct Vegetation Management Activities, Implementation, Deschutes National Forest, Crescent Ranger District, Deschutes County, OR, Wait Period Ends: 08/13/2007, Contact: Marcy Boehme 541–433–3200. EIS No. 20070284, Draft Supplement, DOE, CA, Sacramento Area Voltage Support Project, Updated Information, Proposal to Build a Double-Circuit 230-kV Transmission Line, Placer, Sacramento and Sutter Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/27/2007, Contact: Catherine Cunningham 720– 962–7000.

EIS No. 20070285, Draft EIS, COE, CA, Berth 136–147 [TraPac] Container Terminal Project, Upgrade Existing Wharf Facilities, Install a Buffer Area between the Terminal and Community, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, West Basin Portion of the Port of Los Angeles, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/27/ 2007, Contact: Dr. Spence D. MacNeil 805–585–2152.

EIS No. 20070286, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Kemmerer Field Office Planning Area, Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Lincoln, Sweetwater and Uinta Counties, WY, Comment Period Ends: 10/11/2007 Contact: Michele Easley 307–828–4524.

EIS No. 20070287, Final EIS, USA, MD, Garrison Aberdeen Proving Ground, Base Realignment and Closure Actions, Realignment of Assets and Staff, Implementation, Harford and Baltimore Counties, MD, Wait Period Ends: 08/13/2007, Contact: Bob Ross 703–602–2878.

EIS No. 20070288, Second Draft
Supplement, NOA, 00, Bottomfish
and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries
of the Western Pacific Region,
Amendment 14 to the fishery
Management Plan, Additional
Information to Analyze a Range of
Management Alternatives to End
Bottomfish Overfishing in the
Hawaiian Archipelago, HI, GU and
AS, Comment Period Ends: 08/27/
2007, Contact: William L. Robinson
808–973–2941.

EIS No. 20070289, Draft EIS, SFW, AZ, Horseshoe and Bartlett Reservoirs Project, To Store and Release Water, Issuance of an Incidental Take Permit for Operation, Located Northeast of Phoenix, Maricopa and Yavapai Counties, AZ, Comment Period Ends: 09/18/2007, Contact: Debra Bills 602– 242–0210.

EIS No. 20070290, Final EIS, RUS, MO, Norborne Baseload Power Plant, Proposed Construction and Operation of a 660-megawatt Net Coal-Fired Power Plant, Carroll County, MO, Wait Period Ends: 08/13/2007, Contact: Stephanie Strength 202–720– 0468.

EIS No. 20070291, Draft EIS, APH, 00, PROGRAMMATIC—Introduction of Genetically Engineered (GE) Organisms, To Address Current and