

# Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 72, No. 122

Tuesday, June 26, 2007

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2007-0457; FRL-8330-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Iowa

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Iowa State Implementation Plan (SIP). The purpose of this revision is to update the Polk County Board of Health Rules and Regulations, Chapter V, Air Pollution. These revisions reflect updates to the Iowa statewide rules previously approved by EPA and will ensure consistency between the applicable local agency rules and Federally-approved rules.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by July 26, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2007-0457 by one of the following methods:

1. <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
2. *E-mail:* [Hamilton.heather@epa.gov](mailto:Hamilton.heather@epa.gov).
3. *Mail:* Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

4. *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Deliver your comments to: Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding legal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this

**Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Heather Hamilton at (913) 551-7039, or by e-mail at [hamilton.heather@epa.gov](mailto:hamilton.heather@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 8, 2007.

**John B. Askew,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

[FR Doc. E7-12238 Filed 6-25-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2007-0110; FRL-8330-8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Idaho and Washington; Interstate Transport of Pollution

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the actions of the Idaho Department of

Environmental Quality (IDEQ) and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) to address the provisions of Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) for the 8-hour ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). These provisions require each state to submit a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision that prohibits emissions that adversely affect another state's air quality through interstate transport. EPA is proposing to approve IDEQ's and Ecology's SIP revisions because they adequately address the four distinct elements related to the impact of interstate transport of air pollutants for their states. These include prohibiting emissions that contribute significantly to nonattainment of the NAAQS in another state, interfere with maintenance of the NAAQS by another state, interfere with plans in another state to prevent significant deterioration of air quality, or interfere with efforts of another state to protect visibility.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 26, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2006-0110, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Dana Warn, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT-107 EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, Washington 98101.

• *Hand Delivery or Courier:* EPA, Region 10 Mail Room, 9th Floor, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, Washington 98101. Attention: Dana Warn, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT-107. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dana Warn at telephone number: (206) 553-6390 or Donna Deneen at (206) 553-6706, e-mail address: [deneen.donna@epa.gov](mailto:deneen.donna@epa.gov), fax number: (206) 553-0110, or the above EPA, Region 10 address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For further information, please see the

direct final action, of the same title, which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule.

If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Dated: June 14, 2007.

**Michael F. Gearheard,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. E7-12235 Filed 6-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### 48 CFR Parts 639 and 652

[Public Notice 5836]

RIN 1400-AC31

#### Department of State Acquisition Regulation

**AGENCY:** State Department.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule will add a new solicitation provision and contract clause to implement Department of State requirements regarding security issues for information technology systems, as required by the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA).

**DATES:** The Department will accept comments from the public up to 60 days from June 26, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by any of the following methods:

- *E-mail:* [ginesgg@state.gov](mailto:ginesgg@state.gov). You must include the RIN in the subject line of your message.

- *Mail (paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions):* Gladys Gines,

Procurement Analyst, Department of State, Office of the Procurement Executive, 2201 C Street, NW., Suite 603, State Annex Number 6, Washington, DC 20522-0602.

- *Fax:* 703-875-6155.

Persons with access to the Internet may also view this notice and provide comments by going to the [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov/index.cfm) Web site at <http://www.regulations.gov/index.cfm>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gladys Gines, Procurement Analyst, Department of State, Office of the Procurement Executive, 2201 C Street, NW., Suite 603, State Annex Number 6, Washington, DC 20522-0602; e-mail address: [ginesgg@state.gov](mailto:ginesgg@state.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 30, 2005, the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) was revised to implement the Information Technology (IT) Security provisions of the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA) (Title III of the E-Government Act of 2002 (E-Gov Act)). (See 70 FR 57447, September 30, 2005). While the FAR provided some guidance to Government contracting officials and other members of the acquisition team, it recognized that Federal agencies would need to customize IT security policies and implementations to meet mission needs. Therefore, the FAR did not provide specific contract language for inclusion in affected contracts, but required that agencies "include the appropriate information technology security policies and requirements" when acquiring information technology.

This proposed rule will add a new solicitation provision and contract clause to the Department of State Acquisition Regulation (DOSAR) to implement the Department's requirements regarding security issues for information technology systems. The clause and provision will apply to contracts that include information technology resources to services in which the contractor has physical or electronic access to Department information that directly supports the mission of the Department of State. This will include contracts to acquire personal services from organizations. It does not include personal services contracts that the Department executes directly with specific individuals. Such individuals are considered to be employees of the Department and as such are under its direct supervision and control for purposes of ensuring compliance with applicable information security laws and regulations.

The clause requires that the contractor be responsible for IT security, based on

agency risk assessments, for all systems connected to a Department of State (DOS) network or operated by a contractor for DOS. It requires the development of an IT security plan and IT security certification and accreditation in accordance with NIST Special Publication 800-37, Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Technology Systems, as well as all related policies and guidance promulgated by the Office of Management and Budget under FISMA and the Privacy Act. This would include related testing and continuous monitoring, incident reporting, and DOS oversight activities. The solicitation provision requires that, as part of their bid/offer, vendors address the approach for completing the security plan, testing, reporting, and certification and accreditation requirements.

#### Regulatory Findings

##### *Administrative Procedure Act*

In accordance with provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act governing rules promulgated by federal agencies that affect the public (5 U.S.C. 552), the Department is publishing this proposed rule and inviting public comment.

##### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Department of State, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), has reviewed this regulation and, by approving it, certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

##### *Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995*

This rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any year and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995.

##### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996*

This rule is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign based companies in domestic and import markets.