deficiencies, multiple control deficiencies should only be looked at in combination if they are related to one another?

- (4) Please comment on whether the definition of "material weakness" in Paragraph A7 (which is consistent with the definition that the SEC adopted) appropriately describes the deficiencies that should prevent the auditor from finding that ICFR is effective.
- (5) Is AS5 sufficiently clear about the extent to which auditors can use the work of others?
- (6) Will AS5 reduce expected audit costs under Section 404, particularly for smaller public companies, to result in cost-effective, integrated audits?
- (7) Does AS5 inappropriately discourage or restrict auditors from scaling audits, particularly for smaller public companies?

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form(http://www.sec.gov); or
- Send an e-mail to rulecomments@sec.gov. Please include File Number PCAOB-2007-02 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. PCAOB-2007-02. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/pcaob). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number PCAOB-2007-02. Comments should be

submitted on or before July 12, 2007. The Commission intends to act on the proposed rule no later than July 27, 2007.

By the Commission.

#### Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–11935 Filed 6–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55907; File No. SR-BSE-2007-21]

# Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Existing Fee Schedule

June 13, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on June 4, 2007, the Boston Stock Exchange ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared substantially by the Exchange. The BSE has designated this proposal as one changing a due, fee, or other charge under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,3 and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,4 which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The BSE proposes amending the certain transaction fees set forth in the BeX fee schedule as well as the BeX Revenue Sharing formula. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (http://www.bostonstock.com), at the BSE, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the BSE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to amend certain transaction fees set forth in the Boston Equities Exchange ("BeX") fee schedule as well as the BeX Revenue Sharing formula. The BeX fee schedule presently provides for uniform credits to Liquidity Providers in the amount of \$.0027. In the event the trade involves a share price that is less than \$1.00 Liquidity Providers are presently entitled to a credit in the amount of \$.0027 per share with a maximum of .3% of quotation price per share. Additionally, the BeX Fee Schedule presently imposes a \$.0028 charge on Liquidity Takers. In the event the trade involves a share price that is less than \$1.00, Liquidity Takers are charged \$.0028 with a maximum of .3% of quotation price per share. The purpose of this proposed amendment to the BeX fee schedule is to eliminate the credit presently available to Liquidity Providers. Additionally, this proposed amendment will lower the charge presently imposed on Liquidity Takers from \$.0028 to \$.0005. This proposed shift in the traditional economics of the existing marketplace will attract volume to BeX by encouraging those firms with a high percentage of taking order flow to make BeX their chosen routing destination while at the same time encouraging Liquidity Providers to provide competitive quotes on BeX with the higher probability of getting an execution.

In this filing, the Exchange also is proposing to amend the revenue sharing provision of the BeX fee schedule. Specifically, BSE is proposing to eliminate the current tape revenue sharing program for single sided orders. The tape revenue sharing program for cross trades will remain intact.

### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>5</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in particular,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among Exchange Members and issuers and other persons using Exchange facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposed rule change has been designated as a fee change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act <sup>7</sup> and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder,<sup>8</sup> because it establishes or changes a due, fee or other charge imposed by the Exchange. Accordingly, the proposal will take effect upon filing with the Commission. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such rule change the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form http://www.sec.gov/ rules.sro.shtml; or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–BSE–2007–21 on the subject line.

# Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BSE-2007-21. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules.sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the BSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2007-21 and should be submitted on or before July 11, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^9$ 

## Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–11883 Filed 6–19–07; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 8010-01-P$ 

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–55904; File No. SR-NYSE-2007–50]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Extend Its Current Revenue Sharing Program for Its Specialists for an Additional Three Months

June 13, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2007, the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("Exchange" or "NYSE") filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend its current revenue sharing program for its specialists for an additional three months (through August 31, 2007).

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

# 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to extend for an additional three months its current revenue sharing program for its specialists. The revenue sharing program was instituted <sup>3</sup> in connection with the Exchange's adoption of Rule 104B,4 which prohibits specialists from charging commissions. The Exchange established the revenue sharing program for a six-month period commencing December 1, 2006, in order to partially offset the specialists' loss of commission revenues. In its original filing, the Exchange stated that it intended to adopt a revised revenue sharing program commencing June 1, 2007, that would provide variable payments to the specialist firms depending on performance. The Exchange is not yet ready to put this revised revenue sharing program in place and, in the interim, proposes to extend the current revenue sharing program for an additional three months commencing June 1, 2007.

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>8 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>9 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54856 (December 1, 2006), 71 FR 71215 (December 8, 2006) (SR-NYSE-2006-106).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54850 (November 30, 2006), 71 FR 71217 (December 8, 2006) (SR-NYSE-2006-105).