

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash-deposit rate for Changwon/Dongbang will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash-deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the "all others" rate of 5.19 percent, which is the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation, as adjusted in a subsequent remand redetermination. See *Amended Final Determination and Amended Final Determination Pursuant to Court Decision*. These cash-deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 4, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-11246 Filed 6-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.**International Trade Administration**

[A-489-807]

Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656.

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey on April 17, 1997. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey*, 62 FR 18748. On May 31, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the order on rebar from Turkey for the period April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 30864 (May 31, 2006). The review covers five producers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States: Colakoglu Metalurji A.S./Colakoglu Dis Ticaret, Diler Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S./Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Turizm Ticaret A.S./Diler Dis Ticaret A.S., Ekinciler Demir ve Celik Sanayi A.S./Ekinciler Dis Ticaret A.S., Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S., and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S./Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S.

In addition, on May 26, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey for Kroman Celik Sanayii A.S., a producer of subject merchandise, and its affiliated export trading company, Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S. (collectively "Kroman"). See *Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey*, 71 FR 30383 (May 26, 2006). Kroman agreed in writing to waive the time limits in order for the Department,

pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), to conduct this review concurrently with the administrative review of this order for the period April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006, which is being conducted pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

On May 4, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review and new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Turkey. See *Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke in Part*, 72 FR 25253 (May 4, 2007). The final results are currently due no later than September 4, 2007, the next business day after 120 days from publication of the preliminary results.

Extension of the Time Limit for Final Results of Administrative Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the final results in an administrative review within 120 days of the publication date of the preliminary results. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. The Department has determined that completion of the final results of these reviews within the original time period is not practicable, given the extraordinarily complicated nature of the proceeding. The Department requires additional time to complete the administrative review because of analysis of certain issues, including allegations raised by the domestic interested parties regarding affiliation among respondent companies, as well as the need to conduct verifications of certain companies. Furthermore, the new shipper review involves extraordinarily complicated issues including the above-mentioned allegations raised by the domestic interested parties regarding affiliation among respondent companies, as well as the need to conduct verification of the respondent. Therefore, the Department is fully extending the time limit for completion of the final results of the administrative and new shipper reviews to 180 days, until October 31, 2007.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 4, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-11248 Filed 6-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Miami, *et al.*; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 07-023. Applicant: University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1400. Manufacturer: JEOL, USA, Inc., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 27076, May 14, 2007. Order Date: September 27, 2006.

Docket Number: 07-024. Applicant: Shriners Hospitals for Children, Portland, OR. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI, Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 27076, May 14, 2007. Order Date: December 20, 2006.

Docket Number: 07-027. Applicant: University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope, Model JEM -1400. Manufacturer: JEOL, Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 27076, May 14, 2007. Order Date: January 10, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-028. Applicant: Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope, Model FP 5005/05. Manufacturer: FEI, Brno, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 27076, May 14, 2007. Order Date: December 20, 2006.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron

microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: June 5, 2007.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. E7-11234 Filed 6-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

International Trade Administration

C-357-813

Honey from Argentina: Final Results of Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 28, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the full sunset review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on Honey from Argentina, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). As a result of our analysis, the Department preliminarily found that revocation of the countervailing duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy.

We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. However, we received no comments from interested parties. As a result, the final results remain the same as the preliminary results of this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 28, 2007, the Department published its *Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review: Countervailing Duty Order on Honey from Argentina*, 72 FR 8970 (February 28, 2007) (Preliminary Results). In our *Preliminary Results*, we found that revocation of the order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy on the subject merchandise.

Interested parties were invited to comment on our *Preliminary Results*.

The Department received no comments from either the domestic interested parties or respondent interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honeys by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honeys by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, combs, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90, and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise covered by this order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

As stated in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. In addition, we preliminarily determined that the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the order were revoked is 5.85 percent. As we did not receive any comments from any interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we have no reason to reconsider our preliminary decision.

International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 752(b)(3) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of the final results of this full sunset review.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.