which the information collection calculations are based have not changed, the information collection burden hours have changed based on new information available to the Department. As stated above, the estimated total burden hours has been reduced from 8753 to 3418.

## ICR 2105–0551, "Reporting Requirements for Disability-Related Complaints"

(1) Requirement to read, record and categorize each disability related complaint from a passenger or on behalf of a passenger.

Respondents: Certificated U.S. air carriers and foreign air carriers operating to and from the United States that conduct passenger-carrying service with large aircraft.

Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents: 0 minutes to 875 hours a year for each respondent (time to record and categorize one complaint [15 minutes] multiplied by the number of complaints respondents receive [0 complaint a year to 3,500 annual complaints a year]. The number of complaints received by carriers varies greatly. In 2003, we estimated that carriers would receive anywhere from 1 complaint a year to 4,000 annual complaints a year. Based on data provided by carriers in 2004, 2005, and 2006, we believe that a range of 0 to 3500 annual complaints a year is more accurate.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 3238 hours for all respondents (time to record and categorize one complaint [15 minutes] multiplied by the total number of complaints for all respondents [12,952]). In 2003, we estimated that the total number of complaints for all respondents would be 33,050. Based on a review of the data provided by carriers, it appears that our 2003 estimate was too high. Carriers received a total of 11,508 complaints in 2004, a total of 13,764 complaints in 2005, and a total of 13,764 complaints in 2006 for an average of 12,952 annual complaints.

Frequency: 0 to 3,000 complaints per year for each respondent (Some carriers may not receive any complaint in a given year while some of the larger operators could receive 3,000 annual complaints).

(2) Requirement to submit a report to DOT summarizing the disability-related complaint data (key-punching webbased matrix report).

Respondents: Certificated U.S. air carriers and foreign air carriers operating to and from the United States that conduct passenger-carrying service with large aircraft.

Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents: 30 minutes a year for each respondent to type in the 169 items (matrix consists of 13 disabilities and 13 service problems).

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 80 hours for all respondents (annual burden [30 minutes] multiplied by the total number respondents [160]). In 2003, we estimated the total number of respondents to be anywhere from 295 to 370. However, based on the number of carriers that reported data in 2004, 2005 and 2006 as well as the carriers that did not but should have submitted such data, we now believe that the total number of respondents is approximately 160.

*Frequency:* 1 report to DOT per year for each respondent.

Estimated Number of Responses: 160 (frequency of report [1 per year] multiplied by the total number of respondents [160].

(3) Requirement to retain correspondence and record of action taken on all disability-related complaints for three years.

Respondents: Foreign air carriers operating to and from the United States that conduct passenger carrying service with large aircraft.

Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents: 1 hour a year for each respondent.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 100 hours for all respondents (annual burden [1 hour] multiplied by the total number respondents [100]. In 2003, we estimated that the total number of foreign air carriers that would be required to submit an annual report to DOT would be 231 to 306. However, we have found that not all of the foreign air carriers that have authority to fly into the U.S. actually do so. It appears that the total number of foreign air carriers that would be covered is approximately 100

Frequency: 0 to 300 complaints per year for each respondent. The data provided by foreign air carriers operating to and from the United States that conduct passenger carrying service with large aircraft demonstrates that that number of complaints received by such carriers varies greatly from a low of 0 to a high of almost 300.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the

burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. As noted earlier, OST published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60 day comment period for this ICR on Thursday, March 1, 2007 (72 FR 9385).

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 30, 2007, under authority delegated in 49 CFR part 1.

#### Rosalind A. Knapp,

Acting General Counsel. [FR Doc. E7–11094 Filed 6–6–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-9X-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Monthly notice of PFC approvals and disapprovals.

In May 2007, there were seven applications approved. This notice also includes information on four applications, one approved in February 2007 and the other three approved in April 2007, inadvertently left off the February 2007 and April 2007 notices, respectively. Additionally, 12 approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monty notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. No. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

## **PFC Applications Approved**

Public Agency: City of Little Rock, Arkansas.

*Application Number:* 07–06–C–00–LIT.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$21,763,270.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: May 1, 2007.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2011.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public

agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Little Rock National Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

In-line baggage system.

Improve airport drainage—phase II. Taxiway in-pavement edge and centerline lights.

Interactive employee training system. Friction testing vehicle.

Terminal planning documents. Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Collection and Use: Passenger loading bridge acquisition

and replacement.

Determination: The acquisition of a loading bridge for gate No. 8 is not approved. The public agency did not provide adequate information to confirm that it could meet the requirements of Part 158, Assurance No. 8 for this loading bridge.

Decision Date: February 27, 2007. For Further Information Contact: Glenn Boles, Arkansas/Oklahoma Airports Development Office, (817) 222-

Public Agency: County of Houghton, Calumet, Michigan.

Application Ňumber: 07–11–C–00– CMX.

Application Type: Impose and use a

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$464,744.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: November 2, 2007.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2013.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: None

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Vegetation removal to reduce wildlife habitat.

Air service grant for airport security. FAA navigational aids.

Rehabilitate taxiway B.

Snow removal equipment procurement (motor grader).

Terminal study, cost benefit analysis. Master plan study airport layout plan update.

Pavement management program report.

Rehabilitate/relocate airport entrance road.

Snow removal equipment procurement (material spreader). PFC preparation reimbursement.

PFC audit reimbursement.

Firearm procurement.

Fire inspection program.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection: Remove sewage lagoons.

Decision Date: April 12, 2007.

For Further Information Contact: Jason Watt, Detroit Airports District Office, (734) 239–2906.

Public Agency: County of Gogebic, Ironwood, Michigan.

Application Number: 07-02-C-00-IWD.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$133,060.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: June 1,

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2017.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Charter and air taxi operators.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Gogebic-Iron County Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Airport layout plan.

Environmental assessment and preliminary design engineering. Security fencing.

Environmental assessment and preliminary design engineering for crosswind runway phase 2 and wildlife study.

Acquire snow removal equipment. Passenger parking lot.

Acquire meter friction tester, wind cones, and fire suits.

Acquire and install 12,000-gallon Jet A fuel tank.

Decision Date: April 27, 2007. For Further Information Contact: Jason Watt, Detroit Airports District Office, (734) 229–2906.

Public Agency: John Murtha Johnstown—Cambria County Airport Authority, Johnstown, Pennsylvania.

Application Number: 07–05–C–00–

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$132,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: July 1,

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: April 1, 2010.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Nonscheduled/on demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has

determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Johnstown—Cambria County Airport.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use: Terminal building construction.

Decision Date: April 30, 2007.

For Further Information Contact: Lori Ledebohm, Harrisburg Airports District Office, (717) 730-2835.

Public Agency: City of Hailey and County of Blaine, Hailey, Idaho.

Application Number: 07–06–C–00– SUN

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$691,368.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2007.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: December 1, 2009.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Friedman Memorial Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Runway safety area improvements. Runway 13/31 reconstruction. Environmental Impact statement

(phase 1) for replacement airport. Security enhancements.

PFC administrative costs for application 05-05.

PFC administrative costs for application 07-06.

Decision Date: May 3, 2007. For Further Information Contact: Suzanne Lee-Pang, Seattle Airports District Office, (425) 227-2654.

Public Agency: City of Naples Airport Authority, Naples, Florida.

Application Number: 07-05-C-00-APF.

Application Type: Impose and use a

PFC Level: Not applicable. Total PFC Revenue Approved in This

Decision: \$92,000. Earliest Charge Effective Date: Decision uses excess PFC revenue—no

new collections authorized. Estimated Charge Expiration Date: Not applicable.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Rehabilitate taxiway B (design).

Decision Date: May 8, 2007.

For Further Information Contact: Susan Moore, Orlando Airports District Office, (407) 812–6331, extension 120.

Public Agency: Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority, Nashville, Tennessee.

*Application Number:* 07–13–C–00–BNA.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$19,250,588.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: June 1, 2011.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2011.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Nashville International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved

for Collection and Use:

Security checkpoint (design and construction).

Terminal renovation.

Reconstruct taxiway Bravo south (design).

Reconstruct taxiway Alpha south (design).

Outbound baggage conveyor system (design and construction).

Access control system replacement (design and construction).

Construct 2L/20R runway safety area. Pavement management and modification of standards

identification study.

Runway weather information system. Construct 2R/20L runway safety area. Land acquisition for Elm Hill Pike. Aircraft flight track monitoring

system.

Decision Date: May 10, 2007.

For Further Information Contact: Peggy Kelley, Memphis Airports District Office, (901) 322–8186.

*Public Agency:* Airport Authority of Washoe County, Reno, Nevada.

Application Number: 07–10–C–00– RNO.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$32,878,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: December 1, 2007.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: December 1, 2010.

Classes of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: (1) Nonscheduled/on demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800–31; and (2) commuter or small certificated air carriers filing Form T–100.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that each proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Reno/Tahoe International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level:

Jet bridge equipment upgrade. South air cargo ramp—phase 1.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Concourse elbow build out phase II.

Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Collection and Use at \$3.00 PFC Level: Acquire replacement snow removal equipment.

Decision Date: May 11, 2007.

For Further Information Contact: Ronald Biaco, San Francisco Airports District Office, (650) 876–2778, extension 626.

Public Agency: Metropolitan Topeka Airport Authority, Topeka, Kansas.

*Application Number:* 07–01–C–00–FOE.

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$823,720.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2007.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 2023.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Overlay ramp north of terminal. Auxiliary generator.

Airfield signage.

Snow removal equipment. Aircraft rescue and firefighting

equipment.

Runway 13/31 rehabilitation. Airport master plan update. Foreign object debris sweeper.

Taxiways A, B, C, and D rehabilitation.

PFC application and administration fees.

Decision Date: May 18, 2007. For Further Information Contact: Jeffrey Deitering, Central Region Airports Division, (816) 329–2637.

*Public Agency:* City and Borough of Sitka, Alaska.

*Application Number:* 07–01–C–00–SIT.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$1,100,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: July 1, 2007.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: June 1, 2012

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use: Rehabilitate terminal building.

Decision Date: May 21, 2007.

For Further Information Contact: John Lovett, Alaska Region Airports Division, (907) 271–5446.

Public Agency: Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority, Buffalo, New York.

*Application Number:* 07–06–C–00–BUF.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$75,389,056.

Charge Effective Date for \$3.00 Collections: October 1, 2005.

Earliest Charge Effective Date for \$4.50 Collections: August 1, 2007. Estimated Charge Expiration Date: August 1, 2011.

*Člass of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's:* Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Buffalo Niagara International Airport (BUF).

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at BUF and Use at BUF at a \$4.50 PFC Level:

Purchase safety equipment—aircraft rescue and firefighting/emergency response vehicles.

Design and construction, extension and rehabilitation of runway 5/23.

Design and construction, extension and rehabilitation of taxiway A.

Automatic baggage system.

Design and construction of a water quality treatment and improvement system.

Design and implement noise mitigation measures.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at BUF and Use at BUF at a \$3.00 PFC Level:

Relocation of security checkpoints. Runway 14/32 safety improvements and relocate remote fuel dispensing facility.

Passenger movement equipment.

Upgrade security badging system. PFC planning and program administration.

Series 1999 debt service—east concourse terminal extension and apron expansion, and east access improvements.

Design and construction, extension of runway 14/32.

Design and construction, extension, widening, and rehabilitation of taxiway D.

Design and construction, overhead canopies for pedestrian walkways. Purchase of surface friction testing equipment.

Internal perimeter road extension. PFC planning and program

administration.

Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Collection at BUF and Use at BUF at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Procurement of security equipment vehicles.

Determination: Two K-9 vehicles and a "captain's vehicle" were found to be ineligible because the public agency did not provide documentation that the Transportation Security Administration had concurred that these vehicles were a part of the minimum amount of equipment needed to meet the approved security plan.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at BUF and Use at BUF and at Niagara Falls International Airport at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Purchase snow removal equipment.

Brief Description of Disapproved Project: PFC planning and program administration.

Determination: The public agency included this project as a part of an amendment request. However, this project was not included in the original decision and a public agency cannot add a new project by amendment.

Decision Date: May 25, 2007. For Further Information Contact: Larry A'Hearn, New York Airports District Office, (516) 227–3810.

### **Amendment to PFC Approvals**

Amendment No. City, state	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PFC revenue	Original estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
01–08–C–02–CMX					
Hancock, MI	04/12/07	\$254,644	\$268,191	10/01/05	10/01/05
04-03-C-01-HGR					
Hagerstown, MD	04/25/07	415,188	108,124	12/01/07	12/01/07
*03-05-C-01-MBS	0.4/0.0/07	4 070 704	4 070 704	00/04/00	0.4/0.4/0.0
Saginaw, MI	04/30/07	1,378,794	1,378,794	08/01/09	04/01/08
99-03-C-01-CIC	05/08/07	89,300	25,000	02/01/01	02/01/01
04-08-C-02-RNO	03/00/01	00,000	25,000	02/01/01	02/01/01
Reno, NV	05/08/07	21,749,000	26,712,865	08/01/07	08/01/07
95-01-C-02-EAU			, ,		
Eau Claire, WI	05/08/07	757,028	708,253	09/01/05	01/01/06
05-05-C-02-SJU					
San Juan, PR	05/15/07	334,635,482	352,632,482	05/01/27	11/01/28
96–01–C–02–TRI	05/16/07	5.859.025	E 070 070	11/01/05	11/01/05
Bristol, TN	05/16/07	5,659,025	5,273,873	1 1/0 1/05	11/01/05
Madison, WI	05/17/07	2.305.000	2.340.000	12/01/99	12/01/99
98–04–C–02–JST	00/11/01	2,000,000	2,010,000	12/01/00	12/01/00
Johnstown, PA	05/18/07	628,121	496,121	10/01/06	01/01/07
97-03-C-06-DFW					
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	05/18/07	121,412,427	114,679,598	04/01/01	04/01/01
*99-02-C-01-TRI					,_,
Bristo, TN	05/24/07	5,829,873	5,247,633	08/01/13	03/01/12

**Note:** The amendments denoted by an asterisk (\*) include a change to the PFC level charged from \$3.00 per enplaned passenger to \$4.50 per enplaned passenger. For Saginaw, MI and Bristol, TN, this change is effective on July 1, 2007.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 4, 2007. **Joe Hebert**,

Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch.

[FR Doc. 07-2833 Filed 6-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Highway Administration**

# Environmental Impact Statement; Maui County, HI

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared to evaluate alternatives that would improve the roadway capacity, safety, and reliability of Honoapiilani Highway between Maalaea and Launiupoko on the west side of the island of Maui. This section of highway

is the main travel way for people and goods between West Maui and the rest of the island.

The EIS will be prepared in accordance with regulations implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as well as provisions of the recently enacted Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy of Users (SAFETEA-LU). The purpose of this Notice of intent is to alert interested parties regarding the plan to prepare the EIS, to provide information on the nature of the proposed project, to invite participation in the EIS process, including comments on the scope of the EIS proposed in this notice, and to announce that a public scoping meeting will be conducted.