

telephone: 202-482-3534 and 202-482-0182, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 1, 2007, the Department published its notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar ("SSB") from Germany, in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 72 FR 4689 (February 1, 2007).

The Department received the Notice of Intent to Participate from Carpenter Technology Corp.; North American Stainless; Crucible Specialty Metals Division of Crucible Materials Corp.; Electralloy; Outokumpu Stainless Bar, Inc.; Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc.; and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively "the domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic-like product in the United States.

We received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received a response from respondent interested parties in Germany; BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lippendorf GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lugau GmbH, and BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH (collectively "BGH" or "the respondent interested parties"). We found this response to be adequate because BGH accounted for more than 50 percent of the exports of subject merchandise from Germany to the United States during the sunset review period (January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2006). *See Memorandum to Susan H. Kuhbach* entitled, "Adequacy Determination in Antidumping Duty Sunset Review of Stainless Steel Bar from Germany," (March 23, 2007). Therefore, we are conducting a full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on SSB from Germany as provided for at section 751(c)(5)(A) of the Act, and at 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i).

##### Scope of the Order

For the purposes of this order, the term "stainless steel bar" includes articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals,

rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. Stainless steel bar includes cold-finished stainless steel bars that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), products that have been cut from stainless steel sheet, strip or plate, wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections.

The stainless steel bar subject to this review is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.19.00.50, 7222.20.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Bar from Germany; Preliminary Results" ("Decision Memo") from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 22, 2007, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the antidumping duty order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memo, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Department Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at

<http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading "May 2007." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

##### Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on SSB from Germany is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/Producers/Exporters	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
BGH Edelstahl Seigen GmbH / BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH .....	*COM041*0.73
Edelstahl Witten-Krefeld GmbH .....	10.82, as amended
Krupp Edelstahlprofile ..	31.25, as amended
All Others .....	15.16, as amended

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than 5 days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after rebuttal briefs are due, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1). The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such briefs, no later than September 29, 2007.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 22, 2007.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-10367 Filed 5-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### U.S. Electronic Education Fairs for China and India

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** U.S. accredited colleges and universities are invited to sponsor the U.S. Electronic Education Fairs for

China and India by purchasing space on the corresponding internet landing pages.

**DATES:** Applications will be accepted from May 31, 2007 until 3 p.m. EDT August 27, 2007. The initiative is scheduled to commence on or around September 15, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:**

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jennifer Moll, U.S. Department of Commerce. Tel: (248) 508 8404; Keith Roth, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1104. Tel: (202) 482 5012; David Long, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1104. Tel: (202) 482 3575.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The U.S. Electronic Education Fairs for China and India are part of a joint initiative between the U.S. Department of Commerce and the U.S. Department of State. The purpose of the initiative is to inform Chinese and Indian students who are interested in studying outside of their home countries about the breadth and depth of the higher education opportunities available in the United States. The initiative utilizes a three-pronged multimedia approach through the Internet, on-ground activities, and television, including two, twenty-three minute TV programs and a series of short, 1–2 minute programs airing on local cable and national satellite TV stations throughout China and India. All programming directs viewers to the corresponding Internet landing page. DVDs distributed through education trade fairs and EducationUSA advising centers throughout China and India will further this message.

Accredited U.S. educational institutions are invited to sponsor the China and India Internet landing pages. Sponsorships for China OR India will be available in Gold and Silver categories. Institutions that purchase Gold Sponsorship, priced at \$8,000, will receive a banner-sized ad with their school's logo and name which will link to their institution's Web site. Institutions that purchase Silver Sponsorship, priced at \$3,000, will have their name listed on the site with a link to their institution's Web site. If an institution would like to sponsor and purchase space on both the China and India Internet landing pages, they will receive a 50 percent discount for the second sponsorship, for a total of \$12,000 for Gold and \$4,500 for Silver.

Applications by qualifying institutions will be selected on a rolling basis, capacity permitting.

Dated: May 24, 2007.

**David F. Long,**

*Director, Office of Service Industries.*

[FR Doc. E7–10396 Filed 5–29–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Consent Motion To Terminate Panel Review**

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate Panel Review of the Final Results of the 2nd Antidumping Duty Administrative Review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2006–1904–01).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the case participants, the panel review is terminated as of May 22, 2007. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review*, this panel review is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement (“Agreement”) establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* (“Rules”). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this

matter was requested and terminated pursuant to these Rules.

Dated: May 23, 2007.

**Caratina L. Alston,**

*United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.*

[FR Doc. E7–10283 Filed 5–29–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Consent Motion To Terminate Panel Review**

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate Panel Review of the Final Results of the 2nd Countervailing Duty Administrative Review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2006–1904–02).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the case participants, the panel review is terminated as of May 22, 2007. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review*, this panel review is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement (“Agreement”) establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* (“Rules”). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994