

seeking authorization) is required to establish annually that its Longshore Act obligations are fully secured either through an applicable state guaranty (or analogous) fund, a deposit of security with the Division of Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation (DLHWC), or a combination of both. Similarly, each authorized self-insurer (or employer seeking authorization) is required to fully secure its Longshore Act obligations by depositing security with DLHWC. These requirements are designed to assure the prompt and continued payment of compensation and other benefits by the responsible carrier or self-insurer to injured workers and their survivors.

Forms collect information used for determining appropriate security deposit amounts and insuring compliance with the security deposit requirements are described below.

LS-276, Application for Security Deposit Determination. Each currently authorized carrier and any carrier seeking such authorization must apply annually for a determination of the amount of security it must deposit with DLHWC by completing Form LS-276. DLHWC will use the information collected on Form LS-276 to determine the required security deposit amount for each carrier in light of the applicable state guaranty fund coverage. Regulations establishing this requirement are set forth at 20 CFR 703.2, 703.203, 703.209, 703.210, and 703.212.

LS-275 IC, Agreement and Undertaking (Insurance Carrier); LS-275 SI, Agreement and Undertaking (Self-Insured Employer). After DLHWC determines the amount of the required security deposit, the insurance carrier or self-insured employer executes Form LS-275 IC or LS-275 SI, respectively, to: (1) Report the security it has deposited and grant the Department a security interest in the collateral; (2) agree to abide by the Department's rules; and (3) authorize the Department to bring suit on any deposited indemnity bond, draw upon any deposited letters of credit, or to collect the interest and principal or sell any deposited negotiable securities when it deems it necessary to assure the carrier's or self-insurer's prompt payment of compensation and any other Longshore Act obligations it has. DLHWC reviews the information collected and verifies that the carrier or self-insurer has deposited the correct amount of security. DLHWC uses this information if it takes action on the security deposited to assure that the carrier or self-insurer meets its Longshore Act obligations. Regulations establishing

these requirements are set forth at 20 CFR 703.2, 703.204, 703.205, 703.303 and 703.304.

Darrin A. King,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-9694 Filed 5-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CF-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

YouthBuild; Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA); SGA/DFA-PY 06-08 Amendment No. 1

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

ACTION: Amendment.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration published a document in the **Federal Register** of April 26, 2007, announcing the availability of funds and solicitation for grant applications for YouthBuild Grants to provide disadvantaged youth with the education and employment skills for meaningful work and service to their communities. The document is hereby amended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donna Kelly, Grants Management Specialist, Telephone (202) 693-3934.

Amendment

In the **Federal Register** of April 26, 2007, in FR Volume 72, Number 80:

1. On page 20877, starting in the middle column, the question "Can a National or Regional Organization Apply to Serve Multiple Urban or Rural Communities?" and its answer is now deleted from the solicitation.

The solicitation is amended to add the following question: Can I Apply For Multiple Towns in One Application? If a town is large enough to reasonably support a YouthBuild program, the grant activities should generally be focused on one town. If the applicant determines that the town is not large enough to support a YouthBuild program, it may include additional towns and provide justification for the larger service area. If multiple towns are included together in the application, applicants must limit the total requested grant amount to \$1.1 million.

2. On page 20878, in the middle column, Part III. (A) Eligible Applicants, it states the following: An organization is an eligible applicant for these grants if it is a public or private nonprofit agency or organization (including a consortium of such agencies or

organizations with a designated lead applicant), including:

The solicitation is amended to read: An organization is an eligible applicant for these grants if it is a public or private nonprofit agency or organization (including a consortium of such agencies or organizations with a designated lead applicant), including, but not limited to:

3. On page 20878, in the left column, under Section I.D, "What Are Allowable Uses of Grant Funds"? (13), it states the following: Equipment, and/or supplies related to the YouthBuild activities funded through this grant are an allowable use of funds.

The solicitation is amended to add the following statement: The Department of Labor interprets this to mean that the purchase of construction materials to be used for houses as part of the training for YouthBuild participants would be an allowable use of grant funds.

4. On page 20878, in the right column, Section III.C. Matching Funds and Leveraged Resources. The solicitation is amended to add the following statement: Construction materials that are acquired without grant funds and are used for houses as part of the training for YouthBuild participants may be used in fulfilling the 25 percent match requirement. The match may be cash or in-kind resources and must meet all the requirements in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles.

5. YouthBuild "Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)" will be posted on the Department of Labor, Employment & Training Administration, Youth Services Web site and may be accessed at http://www.doleta.gov/youth_services/YouthBuild.cfm. The FAQs may be updated during the life of the competition.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of May, 2007.

Eric Luetkenhaus,

Grant Officer, Employment & Training Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-9654 Filed 5-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FT-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Meeting

Time and Date: 10 a.m., Thursday, May 24, 2007.

Place: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

Status: Open.

Matters to be Considered:

1. Proposed Rule: Section 701.2 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Federal Credit Union Bylaws.

2. Proposed Rule: Interpretive Ruling and Policy Statement (IRPS) 07-1, Section 701.1 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Amendments to NCUA's Chartering and Field of Membership Policies.

3. Final Rule: Part 701 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Technical Amendments.

Recess: 11:15 a.m.

Time and Date: 11:30 a.m., Thursday, May 24, 2007.

Place: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

Status: Closed.

Matters to be Considered:

1. Action under Section 205 of the Federal Credit Union Act. Closed pursuant to Exemptions (6), (7), and (8).

For Further Information Contact:

Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703-518-6304.

Mary Rupp,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 07-2548 Filed 5-17-07; 2:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Meetings of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: The National Endowment for the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of Humanities Panels will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Heather C. Gottry, Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information

given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* June 1, 2007.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for The IMLS/NEH Digital Partnership Advancing Knowledge I, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access, at the March 27, 2007 deadline.

2. *Date:* June 12, 2007.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for The IMLS/NEH Digital Partnership Advancing Knowledge II, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access, at the March 27, 2007 deadline.

Heather C. Gottry,

Acting Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-9717 Filed 5-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-346, 50-440, 50-334, and 50-412; License Nos. NPF-3, NPF-58, DPR-66, NPF-73; EA 07-123]

In the Matter of First Energy Nuclear Operating Company—Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Plant, Perry Nuclear Power Plant, and Beaver Valley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2; Demand for Information

I

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC or licensee) is the holder of four NRC Facility Operating Licenses issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR part 50, which authorizes the operation of the specifically named facilities in accordance with the conditions specified in each license. License No. NPF-3 was issued on April 22, 1977, to

operate the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station (Davis-Besse). License No. NPF-58 was issued on November 13, 1986, to operate the Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Licenses No. DPR-66 and NPF-73 to operate the Beaver Valley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 were issued on July 2, 1976, and August 14, 1987, respectively. The facilities are located on the licensee's properties near Toledo and Painesville, Ohio, for the Davis-Besse and Perry Plants, respectively, and near McCandless, Pennsylvania, for the Beaver Valley Nuclear Plant.

II

On March 8, 2004, the NRC issued a Confirmatory Order to FENOC and approved restart of the Davis-Besse Plant following substantial licensee action to evaluate and develop appropriate corrective actions for the technical and programmatic issues that were associated with the 2002 reactor pressure vessel head degradation event.

On April 21, 2005, the NRC issued a Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties in the amount of 5,450,000 dollars involving violations associated with the 2002 Davis-Besse reactor pressure vessel head degradation event and the root causes for the event. On September 14, 2005, FENOC responded to the Notice of Violation, paid the proposed civil penalty and addressed each of the violations cited. Its response also addressed FENOC's assessment of the root cause for each violation. On January 23, 2006, FENOC provided a supplemental reply to the Notice of Violation.

FENOC obtained a report from its contractor, Exponent Failure Analysis Associates and Altran Solutions Corporations (Exponent), dated December 2006, prepared in connection with its claim against Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), which included an updated analysis of the timeline and root cause for the 2002 Davis-Besse reactor pressure vessel head degradation event. A significant conclusion of this analysis was a determination by Exponent that the time period between the beginning of substantial leakage from the reactor pressure vessel head nozzle causing the development of the large cavity next to the nozzle may have been as short as four months. Previously, FENOC had conducted its own technical and programmatic root cause evaluations of the event and concluded that the reactor pressure vessel head cavity was the result of ongoing nozzle leakage which had gone undetected for more than four years. FENOC also obtained a second report, dated in December 2006, from