

if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of the administrative review of folding metal tables and chairs from the PRC within this time limit because of the need for additional information prior to mandatory verifications. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is further extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review by 30 days until June 30, 2007. Because June 30, 2007, falls on a Saturday, the preliminary results will due on July 2, 2007, the next business day.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 30, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-8587 Filed 5-3-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-357-812

#### Honey from Argentina: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not to Revoke In Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 29, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. *See Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent Not to Revoke in Part*, 71 FR 78397 (December 29, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). This administrative review covers three<sup>1</sup> firms, one of which, Seylinco, S.A. (Seylinco) was selected as a mandatory respondent. The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2004 to November 30, 2005. Based on our analysis of comments received, the margins for the final results do not differ from the

preliminary results. *See Preliminary Results*.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 4, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Maryanne Burke or Robert James, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone; (202) 482-5604 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On December 29, 2006, the Department published its *Preliminary Results* of this antidumping duty administrative review of honey from Argentina for the period December 1, 2004, to November 30, 2005. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners) and Seylinco filed their case briefs on January 29, 2007. Seylinco and petitioners submitted their rebuttal briefs on February 5, 2007. In addition, the Department met separately with representatives for Seylinco, petitioners and the Embassy of Argentina to discuss the *Preliminary Results*. *See Ex Parte Memoranda to the File*, from Maryanne Burke dated March 6, 2007 and March 19, 2007, on file in CRU in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is honey from Argentina. The products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form. The merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

#### Determination Not to Revoke in Part

As discussed in the *Preliminary Results* at 78399, Seylinco requested that the Department revoke the order in regard to Seylinco pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222 based on three consecutive zero

margins. We preliminarily determined not to revoke the order with respect to Seylinco because it did not ship in commercial quantities during each of the three years forming the basis of its request. *See id.* For these final results, the Department has relied upon Seylinco's sales activity during the 2002-2003, 2003-2004, and 2004-2005 PORs in making its decision with respect to Seylinco's revocation request. Although Seylinco had three consecutive years of sales at not less than normal value (NV), Seylinco did not sell subject merchandise in commercial quantities in each of these three years forming the basis of the request for revocation. Thus, Seylinco is not eligible for consideration for revocation pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(d)(1). Accordingly, we have determined not to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to Seylinco. *See Comment 2 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (Issues and Decision Memorandum) accompanying this notice.*

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of issues addressed in the Decision Memorandum is appended to this notice. The Decision Memorandum is on file in the CRU and can be accessed directly on the web at <http://www.ita.doc.gov/>.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received and findings at verification, we have made the following change in the margin calculation:

- We revised the color characteristic (GRADET/U) in both the U.S. and third-country market sales listings to differentiate amongst the various colors of honey actually shipped, in accordance with our established model-matching criteria.

#### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period December 1, 2004 through November 30, 2005.

Manufacturer / Exporter	Weighted Average Margin (percent-age)
Seylinco .....	0.00
El Mana, S.A. ....	0.00

<sup>1</sup> Mielar S.A. (Mielar) and Compania Apicola Argentina S.A. (CAA) were treated as a single entity in a prior segment of the proceeding. For the purposes of this review we continue to treat Mielar and CAA as a single entity (Mielar/CAA).

Manufacturer / Exporter	Weighted Average Margin (percentage)
Mielar/CAA .....	0.00

### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated a zero margin rate which will be applied uniformly on all Seylinco, El Mana S.A. and Mielar/CAA entries made during the POR. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. We will direct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act): (1) cash deposits for Seylinco, El Mana S.A. and Mielar/CAA will not be required; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous

review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 30.24 percent, which is the "All Others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Honey From Argentina*, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: April 27, 2007.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix – Issues and Decision Memorandum

1. Whether to Apply Adverse Facts Available as a Result of Seylinco's Reported Grade/Color
2. Revocation
3. Adverse Facts Available for Beekeeper 2
4. Beekeeper Feed Costs
5. Beekeeper Drums Costs

[FR Doc. E7-8584 Filed 5-3-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-570-851**

### Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 28, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period of review from February 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007 ("POR"). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 72 FR 14516 (March 28, 2007) ("Initiation Notice"). On April 17, 2007, the review request was withdrawn with respect to all parties who requested the review. Therefore, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of sales of certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC covering the POR.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 4, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karine Gziryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4081.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On February 2, 2007, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC for the POR. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 72 FR 5007 (February 2, 2007). On February 28, 2007, China Processed Food Import and Export Company, COFCO (Zhangzhou) Food Industrial Co. Ltd., China National Cereals, Oils and Foodstuffs Import and Export Corporation, Fujian Yu Xing Fruit and Vegetable Foodstuff Development Co., and Xiamen Jiahua Import and Export Trading Co., Ltd. requested administrative reviews of their sales of certain preserved mushrooms to the United States during the POR. Pursuant to this request, the Department initiated an administrative review of the