

the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at www.regulations.gov, as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to www.regulations.gov.

Title: NESHAP for the Printing and Publishing Industry (Renewal).

ICR Numbers: EPA ICR Number 1739.05, OMB Control Number 2060-0335.

ICR Status: This ICR is scheduled to expire on January 31, 2007. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: The Maximum Achievable Control Technology (NESHAP) for the Printing and Publishing Industry were promulgated on May 30, 1996 (61 FR 27131). These standards apply to the following facilities in 40 CFR part 63, subpart KK: publication rotogravure, product and packaging rotogravure, and wide-web flexographic printing presses at major sources. The effective date was May 30, 1999, for sources existing on May 30, 1996. For new sources or reconstructed sources after May 30, 1996, the effective date of startup is May 30, 1996, whichever is later.

These standards of performance for this category of major sources and area sources of hazardous air pollutants are required by section 112 of the Clean Air Act. Facilities may meet the standards by materials substitution, by installing control devices, or by a combination of both. The information that is required to be submitted to the Agency or kept at the facility is needed to insure compliance with the regulation. These include initial one time notifications, performance test plans and reports and records of maintenance and shutdown,

startup, and malfunctions. The required notifications are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the standard. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated, leaks are being detected and repaired and the standard is being met. Performance test reports are needed as these are the Agency's records of a source's initial capability to comply with the emission standard, and serve as a record of the operating conditions under which compliance was achieved. For facilities that install continuous monitoring systems (CMS) there are performance test and maintenance reports. Excess emissions reports are submitted semiannually. Responses to the information collection are mandatory under Clean Air Act section 112 and 40 CFR part 63, subpart KK. The responses are not anticipated to be kept confidential due to the nature of the information collected; however, any information submitted to the Agency for which claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policy set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information are estimated to average 100 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Owners or operators of publication rotogravure, product and packaging rotogravure, or wide-web flexographic printing presses.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 352.

Frequency of Response: Initially, on occasion, and semiannually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 50,796.

Estimated Total Capital and Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Annual Costs: \$412,000, which includes

\$7,000 annualized capital/startup costs and \$405,000 annual O&M costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is no change in the labor hours or cost in this ICR compared to the previous ICR. This is due to two considerations. First, the regulations have not changed over the past three years and are not anticipated to change over the next three years. Secondly, the growth rate for the industry is very low, negative or non-existent, so there is no significant change in the overall burden.

Since there are no changes in the regulatory requirements and there is no significant industry growth, the labor hours and cost figures in the previous ICR are used in this ICR and there is no change in burden to industry.

Dated: January 8, 2007.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. E7-698 Filed 1-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6683-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060402, ERP No. D-NOA-K39102-CA, Cordell Bank, Gulf of the Farallones and Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuaries, Proposes a Series of Regulatory Changes, Offshore of Northern/Central, CA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060461, ERP No. D-USN-E11061-FL, Boca Chica Field, Restoration of Clear Zones and Stormwater Drainage Systems, Implementation, Naval Air Station (NAS) Key West, Monroe County, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetlands and mangrove forest impacts. EPA recommended that the final document include an analysis of the

comprehensive vegetative control maintenance program and a thorough discussion of the overall monitoring and adaptive management plan. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060465, ERP No. D-FRC-H03002-00, Rockies Express Western Phase Project, Construction and Operation for the Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities: Rockies Express (CP06-354-000), TransColorado (CP06-401-000) and Overthrust (CP06-423-000), CO, WY, NE, KS, MO and NM.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts to air and water quality, and requested that additional information be provided to disclose cumulative impacts of new gas-fueled compression stations, and to evaluate specific hydrostatic test water acquisition and discharge considerations. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060472, ERP No. D-MMS-A02245-00, Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sales: 2007-2012 Western Planning Area Sales 204, 207, 210, 215, and 218; Central Planning Area Sales 205, 206, 208, 213, 216, and 222, TX, LA, MS, AL and FL.

Summary: While EPA had no objections to the proposed action, EPA did request clarification in the areas of hypoxia research, National Estuarine Research Reserve, National Estuary Program, air/water quality impacts, cumulative impacts and mitigation. Rating LO.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20060454, ERP No. F-SFW-K99035-CA, Orange County Southern Subregion Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), Implementation, Application for and Incidental Take Permit, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA's previous concerns about air and water quality impacts have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20060463, ERP No. F-CGD-G11047-00, Beacon Port Deepwater Port License Application, Construction and Operation, Deepwater Port and Offshore Pipeline, U.S. COE Section 404 and 10 Permits, Gulf of Mexico, San Patricio County, TX.

Summary: Should the applicant decide to re-apply, EPA will work with USCG and MARAD to resolve any continuing issues about the proposed use of an open rack vaporization re-gasification system.

EIS No. 20060474, ERP No. F-BLM-G65099-NM, Kasha-Katuwe Tent Rocks National Monument Resource Management Plan, Implementation,

Rio Puerco Field Office, Sandoval County, NM.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20060476, ERP No. F-SFW-K64022-CA, Aquatic Habitat Conservation Plan and Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurances to Conserve Habitat for and Mitigate Impacts on Six Aquatic Species, USFWS Enhancement of Survival Permit and an USNMF Incidental Take Permit Issuance, Humboldt and Del Norte Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about temperature effects from temporary reductions in canopy cover and recommended additional prescriptions for areas showing temperature impacts under pre-project conditions.

EIS No. 20060499, ERP No. F-COE-G36156-AR, Bayou Meto Basin, Arkansas General Reevaluation Project, Address Waterfowl Management, Water Supply and Flood Control Strategies, Located in Portions of Lonoke, Prairie, Jefferson, Arkansas and Pulaski Counties, AR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20060534, ERP No. F-NOA-E91017-00, Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Total Allowable Catch and Reduce Bycatch in the Gulf of Mexico Directed and Shrimp Trawl Fisheries, To Evaluate Alternatives, Gulf of Mexico.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: January 16, 2007.

Clifford Rader,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7-697 Filed 1-18-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6683-2]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 01/08/2007 through 01/12/2007 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20070007, Draft EIS, NPS, NM, Bandelier National Monument,

Ecological Restoration Plan, Reestablish Healthy, Sustainable Vegetative Conditions within the Pinon-Juniper Woodland, Los Alamos and Sandoval Counties, NM, **Comment Period Ends:** 03/19/2007, **Contact:** John Mack 505-672-3861-Ext 568.

EIS No. 20070008, Draft EIS, AFS, 00, Great Basin Creek South Rangeland Management Projects, Management of 12 Livestock Grazing Allotments, Bridgeport Ranger District, Lyon and Mineral Counties, NV and Mono County, CA, **Comment Period Ends:** 03/05/2007, **Contact:** David Loomis 775-884-8132.

EIS No. 20070009, Final EIS, AFS, 00, Antelope-Pardee 500kV Transmission Project, Construct, Operate and Maintain a New 25.6 mile 500kV Transmission Line, Right-of-Way Permit and Special Use Authorization, Angeles National Forest, Los Angeles County, CA, **Wait Period Ends:** 02/20/2007, **Contact:** John Boccio 415-703-2641.

EIS No. 20070010, Final EIS, FHW, LA, East-West Corridor Highway Component, from I-130 to Louis Armstrong International Airport, to Central Business District (CBD), Jefferson, Orleans and St. Charles Parishes, LA, **Wait Period Ends:** 02/20/2007, **Contact:** Robert Mahoney 225-757-7624.

EIS No. 20070011, Draft EIS, FHW, MI, I-94 Jackson Freeway Modernization Project, Improvements between Michigan State Route-60 (MI-60) and Sargent Road, Funding and NPDES and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Jackson County, MI, **Comment Period Ends:** 02/20/2007, **Contact:** David Calabrese 517-702-1825.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20060471, Draft EIS, FHW, IL, Prairie Parkway Study, Transportation System Improvement between Interstate 80 (I-80) and Interstate 88 (I-88) Grundy, Kendall and Kane Counties, IL, **Comment Period Ends:** 02/28/2007, **Contact:** Matt Fuller 217-492-4625. Revision of FR Notice Published 11/17/2006: Extending Comment Period from 01/16/2007 to 02/28/2007.

EIS No. 20060490, Draft EIS, OSM, 00, Black Mesa Project, Revisions to the Life-of-Mine Operation and Reclamation for the Kayenta and Black Mesa Surface-Coal Mining Operations, Right-of-Way Grant, Mohave, Navajo, Coconino and Yavapai Counties, AZ and Clark County, NV, **Comment Period Ends:** 02/06/2007, **Contact:** Peter A.