manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all

applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal

Ğ&K Automotive Conversion, Inc. of Santa Ana, California ("G&K") (Registered Importer 90-007) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 1994 and 1996 LHD and RHD model Jeep Cherokee multipurpose passenger vehicles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which G&K believes are substantially similar are 1994 and 1996 LHD and RHD model Jeep Cherokee multipurpose passenger vehicles that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 1994 & 1996 LHD and RHD model Jeep Cherokee multipurpose passenger vehicles to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.

G&K submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1994 and; 1996 LHD and RHD model Jeep Cherokee multipurpose passenger vehicles as originally manufactured, conform to many FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 1994 and; 1996 LHD and RHD model Jeep Cherokee multipurpose passenger vehicles are identical to their U.S-certified counterparts with respect to compliance

with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect, 103 Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic and Electric Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 107, Reflecting Surfaces, 113 Hood Latch System, 114 Theft Protection, 116 Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids, 118 Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems, 119 New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles Other than Passenger Cars, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 203 Impact Protection for the Driver from Steering Control System, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 211 Wheel Nuts, Wheel Disks, and Hub Caps, 212 Windshield Mounting, 214 Side Impact Protection, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, 301 Fuel System Integrity, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 Controls and Displays: (a) Inscription of the word "brake" on the instrument cluster in place of the international ECE warning symbol; (b) replacement or conversion of the speedometer to read in miles per hour; and (c) inscription of warning symbols and lettering for the seat belt warning telltales that meet the requirements of this standard.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: installation, on vehicles that are not already so equipped, of U.S.-certified model (a) headlamps; (b) front and rear side-mounted marker lamps which incorporate reflex reflectors; and (c) tail lamps assemblies.

Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: installation of a U.S.-certified model passenger side rearview mirror, or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of the passenger side rearview mirror.

Standard No. 115 Vehicle Identification: installation of a vehicle identification plate near the left windshield post to meet the requirements of this standard.

Standard No. 120 Tire Selection and Rims for Motor Vehicles Other than Passenger Cars: installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Inspection of all vehicles and replacement of any non U.S.certified model seat belt components on vehicles that are not already so equipped and; (b) installation of a supplemental seat belt warning buzzer system, to meet the requirements of this standard.

The petitioner states that the occupant restraints used in these vehicles consist of combination lap and shoulder belts at the front and rear outboard seating positions and a lap belt at the rear center seating position.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm]. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: April 20, 2007.

Claude H. Harris,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. E7-7936 Filed 4-25-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Pipeline Safety: Requests for Waivers of Compliance (Special Permits)

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA); DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal pipeline safety laws allow a pipeline operator to request PHMSA to waive compliance with any part of the Federal pipeline safety regulations. We are publishing this notice to provide a list of requests we have received from pipeline operators seeking relief from compliance with certain Federal pipeline safety regulations. This notice seeks public comment on these requests, including comments on any

environmental impacts. In addition, this notice reminds the public that we have changed what we call a decision granting such a request to a special permit. At the conclusion of the comment period, PHMSA will evaluate each request individually to determine whether to grant a special permit or deny the request.

DATES: Submit any comments regarding any of these requests for special permit by May 29, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Comments should reference the docket number for the request and may be submitted in the following ways:

- DOT Web Site: http://dms.dot.gov.
 To submit comments on the DOT
 electronic docket site, click "Comment/
 Submissions," click "Continue," fill in
 the requested information, click
 "Continue," enter your comment, then
 click "Submit."
 - Fax: 1-202-493-2251.
- Mail: Docket Management System: U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand Delivery: DOT Docket Management System; Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- E-Gov Web Site: http:// www.Regulations.gov. This site allows the public to enter comments on any

Federal Register notice issued by any agency.

Instructions: You should identify the docket number for the request you are commenting on at the beginning of your comments. If you submit your comments by mail, you should submit two copies. If you wish to receive confirmation that PHMSA received your comments, you should include a self-addressed stamped postcard. Internet users may submit comments at http://www.regulations.gov, and may access all comments received by DOT at http://dms.dot.gov by performing a simple search for the docket number.

Note: All comments will be posted without changes or edits to *http://dms.dot.gov* including any personal information provided.

Privacy Act Statement: Anyone may search the electronic form of all comments received for any of our dockets. You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477) or you may visit http://dms.dot.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne Lemoi by telephone at (404) 832–1160; or, e-mail at wayne.lemoi@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Change in Nomenclature

The PHMSA changed the name of a decision we make granting a request for waiver of compliance from "decision

granting waiver" to "special permit" to reflect that granting the request will not reduce safety. We commonly add safety conditions to decisions granting waivers to ensure that waiving compliance with an existing pipeline safety standard is consistent with pipeline safety. The change was simply a name change for a decision granting waiver under 49 U.S.C. 60118(c)(1).

Comments Invited on Requests for Waiver

The PHMSA has filed in DOT's Docket Management System (DMS) requests for waiver we have received from pipeline operators seeking relief from compliance with certain pipeline safety regulations. Each request has been assigned a separate docket number in the DMS. We invite interested persons to participate by reviewing these requests and by submitting written comments, data or other views. Please include any comments on environmental impacts granting the requests may have.

Before acting on any request, PHMSA will evaluate all comments received on or before the comment closing date. We will consider comments received after this date if it is possible to do so without incurring additional expense or delay. We may grant or deny these requests based on the comments we receive.

PHMSA has received the following requests for waivers of compliance with pipeline safety regulations:

Docket No.	Requester	Regulation(s)	Nature of waiver
PHMSA-2007- 27607.	Southeast Supply Header,	49 CFR 192.111. 49 CFR 192.201 49 CFR 192.619	To authorize operation of a 269-mile gas transmission pipeline from Delhi, LA to Coden, AL at a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 80% of the specified minimum yield strength (SMYS).
PHMSA-2007- 27842.	Midcontinent Express Pipeline, LLC.	49 CFR 192.111. 49 CFR 192.201	To authorize operation of a 500-mile gas transmission pipeline from Bryan County, OK to Choctaw County, AL at a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 80% of the specified minimum yield strength (SMYS).
PHMSA-2007- 27647.	Great Lakes Gas Transmission	49 CFR 192.611.	To authorize operation of 720 feet of a gas transmission pipeline in Emmet County, MI without reducing operating pressure as a result of a change from a Class 2 to Class 3 location.
PHMSA-2007- 27646.	Cameron LNG, LLC	49 CFR 193.2301.	To authorize the use of automatic ultrasonic testing (AUT) to inspect LNG tank welds.
PHMSA-2007- 27608.	West Virginia Oil Gathering Corporation.	49 CFR 195.8	To authorize the insertion of polyethylene lined, glass fiber reinforced pipe into an existing steel pipeline to transport crude oil across the Ohio River near Belmont, WV.

PHMSA is correcting the **Federal Register** notice of February 8, 2007, as follows:

PHMSA-2006- 26617.	TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP.	49 CFR 195.106. 49 CFR 195.406	To authorize operation of a 1,369-mile crude oil pipeline from the Canadian border near Cavalier County, ND to Payne County, OK and from Jefferson County, NE to Marion County IL at a MAOP of 80% of SMYS.
-----------------------	------------------------------------	---	---

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 60118(c)(1) and 49 CFR 1.53.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 19, 2007.

Joy Kadnar,

Director, Office of Engineering and Emergency Support.

[FR Doc. E7–7938 Filed 4–25–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 35011]

Wisconsin & Southern Railroad Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Soo Line Railroad Company d/b/a Canadian Pacific Railway

Pursuant to a written trackage rights agreement, Soo Line Railroad Company d/b/a Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) has agreed to grant nonexclusive overhead trackage rights to Wisconsin & Southern Railroad Company (WSOR) over a line of railroad between the connection of WSOR with CPR's Watertown Subdivision at milepost 93.20 in North Milwaukee, WI, and the connection of CPR and the Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad Corporation ¹ on CPR's C&M Subdivision at milepost 32.3 at Rondout, IL.²

The transaction is scheduled to be consummated on or after the May 10, 2007 effective date of the exemption (30 days after the exemption was filed).³

The purpose of the trackage rights is to enable WSOR to handle more efficiently existing movements of specific traffic between Chicago, IL, and North Milwaukee by reducing trip mileage, time, crew costs, fuel consumption, and equipment costs.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in

Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Stay petitions must be filed by May 3, 2007 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 35011, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on John D. Heffner, John D. Heffner, PLLC, 1920 N Street, NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: April 20, 2007.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

County, MI

[FR Doc. E7–8010 Filed 4–25–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board [STB Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 633X)]

CSX Transportation, Inc.—
Abandonment Exemption—in Genesee

On April 6, 2007, CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) filed with the Board a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 to abandon a 1.78-mile line of railroad in its Northern Region, Chicago Division, Saginaw Subdivision, extending from Valuation Station 9195+50 to Valuation Station 9289+36, known as the Old Mainline in Atwood Yard, in the cities of Flint and Burton, in Genesee County, MI. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 48503 and 48529, and includes no stations.

In addition to an exemption from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10903, CSXT seeks exemption from 49 U.S.C. 10904 [offer of financial assistance (OFA) procedures]. In support, CSXT states that it intends to reclassify the track as excepted track and sell or lease it to Clean Harbors

Environmental Services, formerly known as Safety Kleen Industries, the only shipper on the line. According to CSXT, the line is no longer needed for common carrier service, and the shipper wants to acquire a portion of the line to switch and store its cars within its facility without incurring a common carrier obligation. This request will be addressed in the final decision.

The line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in CSXT's possession will be made available promptly to those requesting it.

The interest of railroad employees will be protected by the conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

By issuing this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by July 25, 2007.

Any OFA under 49 CFK 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each OFA must be accompanied by a \$1,300 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

All interested persons should be aware that, following abandonment of rail service and salvage of the line, the line may be suitable for other public use, including interim trail use. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.28 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than May 16, 2007. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a \$200 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket No. AB–55 (Sub-No. 633X), and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001; and (2) Steven C. Armbrust, 500 Water Street—J150, Jacksonville, FL 32202. Replies to the petition are due on or before May 16, 2007.

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Services at (202) 245–0230 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR part 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) at (202) 245–0305. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.]

An environmental assessment (EA) (or environmental impact statement (EIS), if necessary) prepared by SEA will be served upon all parties of record and upon any agencies or other persons who

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\,\rm In$ its pleadings, WSOR refers to this entity at METRA.

² With its verified notice of exemption, WSOR filed a redacted version of its trackage rights agreement with CPR. As required by 49 CFR 1180.6(a)(7)(ii), WSOR concurrently filed an unredacted version of the agreement, along with a motion for protective order to protect the confidential document. A protective order in this proceeding was served on April 20, 2007.

³ In the verified notice, although WSOR proposes to consummate on or about May 9, 2007, WSOR clearly states its intent to consummate "after the conclusion of the 30[-]day waiting period required by the Board's trackage rights exemption regulations."