```
8415-01-536-3809-Size 30 X-Short.
 8415-01-536-3794-Size 30 Short.
  8415-01-536-3791-Size 28 X-Long.
 8415–01–536–3927—Size 38 Regular.
8415–01–536–3777—Size 28 Long.
  8415-01-536-3774-Size 28 Regular.
 8415–01–536–3759—Size 28 Short.
8415–01–536–4071—Size 40 Regular.
 8415-01-536-3758-Size 28 X-Short.
  8415-01-536-4109-Size 44 Long.
  8415-01-536-4081-Size 42 Regular.
Product/NSNs: Trousers, Airman's Battle
   Uniform, Women's, (ABU)
  8410-01-536-2748-Size 12 Short.
  8410-01-536-2746—Size 12 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2744-Size 10 Long.
 8410-01-536-2740-Size 10 Short.
  8410-01-536-2739-Size 10 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2736-Size 8 Long.
 8410-01-536-2725—Size 8 Short.
  8410-01-536-2723-Size 8 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2721-Size 6 Long.
 8410-01-536-2720—Size 6 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2719-Size 6 Short.
  8410-01-536-2718-Size 6 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2715-Size 4 Regular.
 8410-01-536-2714-Size 4 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2711—Size 2 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2709-Size 2 Short.
 8410-01-536-2734-Size 8 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2742-Size 10 Regular.
 8410-01-536-2749—Size 12 Regular.
 8410–01–536–2785—Size 22 Regular.
 8410-01-536-2783-Size 20 Long.
  8410-01-536-2780-Size 20 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2778-Size 18 Long.
 8410-01-536-2774—Size 18 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2773-Size 18 Short.
  8410-01-536-2771-Size 16 Long.
 8410-01-536-2770-Size 16 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2766-Size 16 Short.
  8410–01–536–2765—Size 16 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2761-Size 14 Long.
 8410-01-536-2760—Size 14 Regular.
  8410-01-536-2756-Size 14 Short.
  8410-01-536-2801-Size 4 Short.
 8410-01-536-2754—Size 14 X-Short.
  8410-01-536-2752-Size 12 Long.
```

NPA: Goodwill Industries of South Florida, Inc., Miami, FL.

NPA: CASCO Area Workshop, Inc., Harrisonville, MO.

Coverage: The requirement being proposed for addition to the Procurement List is a quantity of no more than 200,000 units of any combination of the above NSNs for Trousers, Airman's Battle Uniform, Men's or Trousers, Airman's Battle Uniform, Women's.

Contracting Activity: Defense Supply Center Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

Service

Service Type/Location: Grounds Maintenance, Naval Operations Support Center, 800 Dan Street, Akron, OH.

Service Type/Location: Grounds
Maintenance/Refuse Removal/Snow
Removal, Naval Operations Support
Center, 7221 Second Street, Columbus,
OH.

Service Type/Location: Custodial/Grounds Maintenance, Naval Operations Support Center, 28828 Glenwood Road, Perrysburg, OH. NPA: VGS, Inc., Cleveland, OH.
Contracting Activity: Naval Facilities
Engineering Field Activity Midwest,
Great Lakes, IL.

Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.
[FR Doc. E6–13163 Filed 8–10–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Notice

DATE AND TIME: Friday, August 18, 2006, 9:30 a.m., Commission Meeting.

PLACE: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Rm. 540, Washington, DC 20425.

STATUS:

Agenda

- I. Approval of Agenda
- II. Approval of Minutes of July 28, Meeting
- III. Announcements
- IV. Staff Director's Report
- V. Program Planning
 - Record Items for the Briefing on Benefits of Diversity in Elementary and Secondary Education.
 - Outline and Discovery Plan for FY 2007 Statutory Enforcement Report on Elementary and Secondary School Desegregation.
 - Anti-Semitism Brochure.
- VI. Management and Operations
 - Strategic Plan Performance Measures.
 - Memorandum of Understanding With Thurgood Marshall Library.
- VII. State Advisory Committee Issues
 - Acting Chair for Maine State Advisory Committee.
 - Re-Charter Package for California State Advisory Committee.
 - Re-Charter Package for Georgia State Advisory Committee.

VIII. Closed Meeting To Discuss Personnel Matters

IX. Future Agenda Items

X. Adjourn

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Audrey Wright, Office of the Staff Director, (202) 376–7700.

David P. Blackwood,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 06–6891 Filed 8–9–06; 11:36 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Data Physics Corporation, Data Physics China, Sri Welaratna, Bill Chen; Correction

In the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, May 23, 2006, the Bureau of Industry and Security published an Order at 29613. This notice is being published to correct the name and add an additional address of one of the respondents listed in the caption and text in that order. The correct name and address are as follows: Sri Ramya Welaratna, 767 Sunshine Dr., Los Altos, CA 94024.

Dated: July 14, 2006

Darryl W. Jackson,

Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement. [FR Doc. 06–6853 Filed 8–10–06: 8:45am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-588-046

Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Finding in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 2006. SUMMARY: On June 30, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request on behalf of the petitioner, DuPont Performance Elastomers L.L.C. (DuPont)¹ for a changed circumstances review and a request to revoke, in part, the antidumping duty (AD) finding on certain polychloroprene rubber products from Japan. In its June 30, 2006, submission, DuPont stated that it no longer has any interest in antidumping relief from imports of such polychloroprene rubber with respect to the subject merchandise defined in the "Scope of the Finding" section below. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor or Mark Manning, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

¹ DuPont is the sole petitioner in this antidumping proceeding. See Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Finding, 69 FR 64276 (November 4, 2004).

Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–5831 and (202) 482–5253, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 6, 1973, the Department of Treasury published in the Federal Register (38 FR 33593) the antidumping finding on polychloroprene rubber from Japan. On June 30, 2006, DuPont requested revocation in part of the AD finding pursuant to sections 751(b)(1) and 782(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), with respect to (1) aqueous dispersions of polychloroprenes that are dipolymers of chloroprene and methacrylic acid, where the dispersion has a pH of 8 or lower (this category is limited to aqueous dispersions of these polymers and does not include aqueous dispersions of these polychloroprenes that contain comonomers other than methacrylic acid); (2) aqueous dispersions of polychloroprenes that are dipolymers of chloroprene and 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3 modified with xanthogen disulfides, where the dispersion has a solids content of greater than 59 percent (this category is limited to aqueous dispersions of these polymers and does not include aqueous dispersions of polychloroprenes that contain comonomers other than 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3); and (3) solid polychloroprenes that are dipolymers of chloroprene and 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3 having a 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3 content of 15 percent or greater (this category is limited to polychloroprenes in solid form and does *not* include aqueous dispersions).

Scope of the Finding

Imports covered by this finding are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.41.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The Department's written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent to Revoke Finding in Part

In this case, the Department finds that the information submitted by DuPont provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review. In accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 751(d)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 (b), based on the information provided

by DuPont, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review of polychloroprene rubber from Japan to determine whether partial revocation of the AD finding is warranted with respect to the aforementioned certain polychloroprene rubber products from Japan. Section 782(h)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i) provide that the Department may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have no further interest in the order, in whole or in part. DuPont is the sole petitioner and U.S. producer of polychloroprene rubber and accounts for all of the production of the domestic like product to which the finding pertains.2 See DuPont's June 30, 2006, submission at page 2. In addition, in the event the Department determines that expedited action is warranted, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

In accordance with sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(l)(i), we are conducting this changed circumstances review because the sole petitioner and domestic producer of polychloroprene rubber has expressed a lack of interest in applying the AD finding to the specific polychloroprene rubber from Japan covered by this request. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii), we have determined that expedited action is warranted. Our decision to expedite this review stems from the fact that the sole petitioner and domestic producer of the subject merchandise, DuPont, requested expedited action.

Based on the expression of no interest by the sole domestic producer, we have preliminarily determined that producers accounting for substantially all of the domestic like product have no interest in the continued application of the AD finding on polychloroprene rubber that is subject to this request. Therefore, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke, in part, the AD finding as it relates to imports of certain polychloroprene rubber products from Japan.

Therefore, we intend to amend the scope of the finding on polychloroprene rubber from Japan to read as follows: Imports covered by this review are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene

or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.41.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purpose. The Department's written description of the scope remains dispositive.

In addition, the following types of polychloroprene rubber are excluded from the scope of the finding: (1) aqueous dispersions of polychloroprenes that are dipolymers of chloroprene and methacrylic acid, where the dispersion has a pH of 8 or lower (this category is limited to aqueous dispersions of these polymers and does *not* include aqueous dispersions of these polychloroprenes that contain comonomers other than methacrylic acid); (2) aqueous dispersions of polychloroprenes that are dipolymers of chloroprene and 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3 modified with xanthogen disulfides, where the dispersion has a solids content of greater than 59 percent (this category is limited to aqueous dispersions of these polymers and does not include aqueous dispersions of polychloroprenes that contain comonomers other than 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3); and (3) solid polychloroprenes that are dipolymers of chloroprene and 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3 having a 2,3dichlorobutadiene-1,3 content of 15 percent or greater (this category is limited to polychloroprenes in solid form and does not include aqueous dispersions).

Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Written comments may be submitted no later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such comments, may be filed no later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. Also, interested parties may request a hearing within 14 days of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303 and shall be served on all interested parties. The Department will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review, which will include the results of its analysis raised in any such written comments, no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary results. See 19 CFR 351.216(e).

If final partial revocation occurs, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to end the suspension of

² DuPont has been the sole U.S. producer of polychloroprene rubber since 1998, when Bayer closed its polychloroprene rubber plant in Houston, Texas. *See* Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan, Inv. No. AA-1921-129 (Second Review), U.S. ITC Pub. 3786, at 4-5 (June 2005).

liquidation for the merchandise covered by the revocation on the effective date of the notice of revocation and to release any cash deposit or bond. See 19 CFR 351.222(g)(4). The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated AD duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This initiation and preliminary results of review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216, 351.221, and 351.222.

Dated: August 7, 2006.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–13168 Filed 8–10–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-821-802

Continuation of Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation: Uranium From the Russian Federation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determination by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") that termination of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation ("Suspension Agreement") would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and the determination by the International Trade Commission ("ITC") that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on uranium from the Russian Federation would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, the Department is publishing this notice of continuation of the Suspension Agreement on uranium from Russia.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sally C. Gannon, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0162.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2005, the ITC instituted, and the Department initiated, a sunset review of the Suspension Agreement, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See ITC Investigation Nos. 731-TA-539-C (Second Review), Uranium from Russia, 70 FR 38212 (July 1, 2005) and Initiation of Five-vear (Sunset) Reviews, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). As a result of its review, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, the Department determined that termination of the Suspension Agreement would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail should the Suspension Agreement be terminated. See Final Results of Five-year Sunset Review of Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation, 71 FR 32517 (June 6, 2006).

On August 7, 2006, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the ITC determined that termination of the suspended investigation on uranium from the Russian Federation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See Uranium from Russia, 71 FR 44707 (August 7, 2006) and USITC Publication 3872 (August 2006), entitled "Uranium From Russia, Investigation No. 731-TA-539-C (Second Review)." Therefore, pursuant to Section 351.218(f)(4) of the Department's regulations, the Department is publishing this notice of the continuation of the Suspension Agreement.

Scope

According to the June 3, 1992, preliminary determination, the suspended investigation of uranium from Russia encompassed one class or kind of merchandise. The merchandise included natural uranium in the form of uranium ores and concentrates; natural uranium metal and natural uranium compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cermets), ceramic products,

and mixtures containing natural uranium or natural uranium compound; uranium enriched in U235 and its compounds; alloys dispersions (including cermets), ceramic products and mixtures containing uranium enriched in U235 or compounds or uranium enriched in U235; and any other forms of uranium within the same class or kind. The uranium subject to this investigation was provided for under subheadings 2612.10.00.00, 2844.10.10.00, 2844.10.20.10, 2844.10.20.25, 2844.10.20.50, 2844.10.20.55, 2844.10.50, 2844.20.00.10, 2844.20.00.20, 2844.20.00.30, and 2844.20.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS").2 In addition, the Department preliminarily determined that highly-enriched uranium ("HEU") (uranium enriched to 20 percent or greater in the isotope uranium-235) is not within the scope of the investigation. On October 30, 1992, the Department issued a suspension of the antidumping duty investigation of uranium from Russia and an amendment of the preliminary determination.3 The notice amended the scope of the investigation to include HEU.4 Imports of uranium ores and concentrates, natural uranium compounds, and all other forms of enriched uranium were classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 2612.10.00, 2844.10.20, 2844.20.00, respectively. Imports of natural uranium metal and forms of natural uranium other than compounds were classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 2844.10.10 and 2844.10.50.5

In addition, Section III of the Suspension Agreement provides that uranium ore from Russia that is milled into U3O8 and/or converted into UF6 in another country prior to direct and/or indirect importation into the United States is considered uranium from Russia and is subject to the terms of the Suspension Agreement, regardless of any subsequent modification or blending.⁶ In addition, Section M.1 of the Suspension Agreement in no way

¹ The Department based its analysis of the comments on class or kind submitted during the proceeding and determined that the product under investigation constitutes a single class or kind of merchandise. The Department based its analysis on the "Diversified" criteria (see Diversified Products Corp. v. United States, 6 CIT 1555 (1983); see also Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan; and Preliminary Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from Armenia, Azerbaijan, Byelarus, Georgia, Moldova and Turkmenistan, 57 FR 23380, 23382 (June 3, 1992).

² See Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan; and Preliminary Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from Armenia, Azerbaijan, Byelarus, Georgia, Moldova and Turkmenistan, 57 FR 23380, 23381 (June 3, 1992).

³ See Antidumping; Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyszstan, Russia, Tajikistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan; Suspension of Investigations and Amendment of Preliminary Determinations, 57 FR 49220 (October 30, 1992).

⁴ See Id. at 49235.

 $^{^5\,}See$ Id.

⁶ See Id. at 49235.