rights with respect to certain other claims.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *Industrial Excess Landfill, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. # 90–11–3–247/2.

Each Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Ohio, 801 West Superior Avenue, Suite 400, Cleveland, Ohio 44113, and the Region Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604. During the public comment period, each Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html.

A copy of each Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or emailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood, tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov, Fax No. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree library, please specify whether requesting the PPG Consent Decree, the Morgan Consent Decree, or both, and please enclose a check payable to the U.S. Treasury in the amount of \$5.50 for the PPG Consent Decree, \$6.25 for the Morgan Consent Decree, or \$11.75 for both Consent Decrees (for reproduction costs of 25 cents per page).

#### William D. Brighton,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 06–5191 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Jerome Purze, et al.,* Case No. 04 C 7697, was lodged with the United States District Court for the northern District of Illinois on May 31, 2006. This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against the Defendants pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. 1311(a),

to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for filling wetlands without a permit.

The proposed Consent Decree requires the defendants to pay a civil penalty, donate funds to a wetland restoration fund, and restore the impacted wetland. The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to Kurt Lindland, Assistant United States Attorney, United States Attorney's Office, 5th Floor, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604 and refer to United States v. Jerome Purze, et al. Case No. 04 C 7697, including the USAO #2004V01553.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed on the World Wide Web at <a href="http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html">http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html</a>.

#### Kurt N. Lindland,

Assistant United States Attorney
[FR Doc. 06–5190 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,052]

# Array-Hartland, Hartland, WI; Notice of Termination of Certification

On April 19, 2006, the Department issued a Notice of Intent to Terminate the Certification of Eligibility For Workers of Array-Hartland, Hartland, Wisconsin, to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance issued in accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (26 U.S.C. 2813). The notice of the intent to terminate the certification was published in the **Federal Register** on May 5, 2006 (71 FR 26563–26564).

The Department's notice requested that any persons showing a substantial interest in the termination of the certification to submit comments by May 15, 2006.

No comments were received. Accordingly, this certification is hereby terminated. Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of May, 2006.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–8770 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,948]

# Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, NC; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 19, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 27, 2006 and published in the **Federal Register** on April 17, 2006 (71 FR 19755).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, North Carolina engaged in production of woven textile fabrics was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The survey revealed no imports of woven textile fabrics during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import woven textile fabrics nor did it shift production to a foreign country during the relevant period.

The petitioner states that the affected workers lost their jobs as a result of the negative impact of increased imports of gloves on U.S. glove manufacturing. The

petitioner alleges that the major declining customer of the subject firm which manufactures gloves decreased purchases of the woven textile fabrics from Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, North Carolina because the customer has been importing the finished glove products from abroad. The petitioner states that the sales and production of woven textile fabrics at the subject firm have been negatively impacted by increasing presence of foreign imports of gloves on the market, thus workers of the subject firm should be eligible for TAA.

In order to establish import impact, the Department must consider imports that are like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm. Imports of gloves cannot be considered like or directly competitive with woven textile fabrics produced by Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, North Carolina and imports of gloves are not relevant in this investigation.

The petitioner also alleges that production of woven textile fabrics has been negatively impacted by "problems with yarn sourcing", a component in the manufacturing process of woven fabrics. The petitioner provided the names of the yarn suppliers who were negatively impacted either by the shift in production of yarn abroad or increased imports of yarn.

The fact that subject firm's suppliers shifted their production abroad or were import impacted is relevant to this investigation if determining whether workers of the subject firm are eligible for TAA based on the secondary downstream producer of trade certified primary firm impact. For certification on the basis of the workers' firm being a secondary downstream producer, the subject firm must purchase articles for further production from a trade certified firm which in its turn has been impacted by shift in production to/ increase in imports from Canada or Mexico.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm had only one supplier of yarn who was under TAA certification during the relevant time period. However this supplier accounted for less than one percent of subject firm's total purchases of yarn and a loss of business with this company did not contribute importantly to determine a negative trade impact on the subject firm. The rest of the companies which supplied yarn to the subject firm are not certified for TAA. Therefore, the subject firm workers are not eligible under secondary impact as a downstream producer.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of May, 2006.

### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–8777 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,265]

### Corinthian Inc., Sewing Department, Boonesville, MS; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 24, 2006, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of Corinthian Inc., Sewing Department, Boonesville, Mississippi.

The worker group is covered by a current certification. The certification for TA–W–58,644, Corinthian, Inc., Sewing Department, Corinth, Mississippi, was amended on May 5, 2006, to include workers of Corinthian, Inc., Sewing Department, Boonesville, Mississippi. The workers were not separately identifiable between plants.

Consequently, further investigation in this petition would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of May 2006.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–8774 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,390]

# Eaton Corporation; Phelps, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 12, 2006 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Eaton Corporation, Phelps, New York.

The petitioners have requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of May 2006.

#### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–8773 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,367]

# Forney Corporation, a Division of United Technologies Corp., Carrollton, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 10, 2006 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Forney Corporation, A Division of United Technologies Corporation, Carrollton, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of May 2006.

## Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E6–8771 Filed 6–6–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,055]

### New England Confectionery Company (NECCO), Stark Candy Company, Thibodaux, Louisiana; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

By letter dated April 13, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration in combination with a letter dated April 18, 2006 from the Louisiana Work, Department of Labor regarding Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers of the subject firm. The