

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven R. Pedersen, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2781. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 24, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-8367 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-571]

In the Matter of Certain L-Lysine Feed Products, Their Methods of Production and Genetic Constructs for Production; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 25, 2006, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Ajinomoto Heartland LLC of Chicago, Illinois. An amended complaint was filed on May 12, 2006. Supplements to the Complaint were filed on May 12, 2006, and May 16, 2006. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain L-lysine feed products and genetic constructs for production thereof by reason of infringement of claims 13, 15-19, and 21-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,827,698 and claims 1, 2, 15, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,040,160. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay H. Reiziss, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2579.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2005).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 22, 2006, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain L-lysine feed products or genetic constructs for production thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 13, 15-19, and 21-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,827,698 and claims 1, 2, 15, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,040,160, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Ajinomoto Heartland LLC, 8430 W. Bryn Mawr Avenue, Suite 650, Chicago, IL 60631.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Global Bio-Chem Technology, Group Company Limited, Unit 1104, Admiralty Centre, Tower 1, 18 Harcourt Road, Admiralty, Hong Kong.

Changchun Dacheng Bio-Chem Engineering Development Co., Ltd., No. 886 Xihuangcheng Road, Processing Corn District, Changchun Economic and Technological Development Zone, Jilin Province, China.

Changchun Baocheng Bio-Chem Development Co., Ltd., No. 886 Xihuangcheng Road, Processing Corn District, Changchun Economic and Technological Development Zone, Jilin Province, China.

Changchun Dahe Bio Technology Development Co., Ltd., No. 28 Xihuangcheng Road, Processing Corn District, Changchun Economic and Technological Development Zone, Jilin Province, China.

Bio-Chem Technology (HK) Limited, Unit 1104, Admiralty Centre, Tower, 1, 18 Harcourt Road, Admiralty, Hong Kong.

(c) The Commission Investigative Attorney, party to this investigation, is Jay H. Reiziss, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the

administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondents, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 24, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-8314 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Posting in Final Form of Three Documents Created by Subcommittees of the Interagency ADR Working Group ("IADRWG") Steering Committee ("Steering Committee"), a Group Of Federal Subject Matter Experts

SUMMARY: The first document, "Protecting the Confidentiality of Dispute Resolution Proceedings: A Guide for Federal Workplace ADR Program Administrators" ("Confidentiality Guide"), provides practical guidance to program administrators on the application of the confidentiality provisions of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, 5 U.S.C. 574, to Federal workplace dispute resolution programs. The second document is the "Guide for Federal Employee Mediators" (a supplementation and annotation of the 2005 Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators issued by the American Arbitration Association, American Bar Association, and the Association for Conflict Resolution), which is for use by federal employee mediators. The third document is the "Guide for Federal Employee Ombuds" (a supplementation and annotation of the Standards for the Establishment and Operations of Ombuds Offices issued on February 9, 2004 by the American Bar Association), prepared by the Steering Committee in conjunction with the Coalition for Federal Ombudsmen, for use by federal employee ombuds. Complete copies of each of the three final documents can be found at the IADRWG Web site, <http://www.adr.gov> (click on "Guidance"), or may be requested in hard copy from Hon. Richard C. Walters, Administrative Judge, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Board of Contract Appeals (09), 810 Vermont

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, telephone 202-273-6747.

In a Notice in the 70 FR 67901, Nov. 9, 2005, the Steering Committee invited interested individuals or organizations to submit comments, within 30 days, on the documents for consideration before they were posted in final form. Complete copies of the three draft guides to which the comments were addressed, as well as a summary of the comments received and disposition thereof for each guide, are posted at <http://www.adr.gov> (click on "Library/Archives").

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, 5 U.S.C. 571-584, requires each Federal agency to promote the use of ADR and calls for the establishment of an interagency committee to assist agencies in the use of ADR. Under this Act, a Presidential Memorandum dated May 1, 1998 created the Interagency ADR Working Group, chaired by the Attorney General, to "facilitate, encourage, and provide coordination" for Federal agencies. In the Memorandum, the President charged the Working Group with assisting agencies with training in "how to use alternative means of dispute resolution". The three documents are designed to serve this goal.

Executive Overview of the Confidentiality Guide: This document provides practical guidance to Federal administrators on the application of the confidentiality provisions of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 to Federal workplace dispute resolution programs. It extends the guidance issued by the Federal ADR Council, Report on the Reasonable Expectations of Confidentiality Under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, 5 FR 83085, Dec. 29, 2000 ("the 2000 ADR Guidance"), which also may be found at <http://www.adr.gov> (click on "Guidance"), and is designed to be used in concert with the confidentiality provisions of the ADR Act as well as agency confidentiality policies and guidance. The document describes in practical, non-legal terms the nature and limits of confidentiality in Federal ADR proceedings, and provides suggestions to program administrators on how to ensure appropriate confidentiality is maintained when ADR is used in workplace programs. The topics addressed by the Guide include confidentiality during the various stages of an alternative dispute resolution proceeding, confidentiality agreements, record-keeping, program evaluation,

access requests, and non-party participants.

Executive Overview of the Guide for Federal Employee Mediators: This document builds upon the 2005 Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators ("Model Standards") issued by a joint committee of three major nationwide dispute resolution organizations (American Arbitration Association, American Bar Association, and Association for Conflict Resolution). The Guide sets forth the Model Standards in their entirety and provides further explication through Federal Guidance Notes for Federal employee mediators for mediations they undertake for the Federal government. The Federal Guidance Notes include discussion of impartiality, conflicts of interest, confidentiality, and advertising and solicitation.

Executive Overview of the Guide for Federal Employee Ombuds: This document builds upon the February 9, 2004 Standards for the Establishment and Operations of Ombuds Offices ("Ombuds Standards") issued by the American Bar Association. The Guide sets forth the Ombuds Standards in their entirety and provides supplementation through Federal Guidance Notes for specific areas unique to federal Ombuds practice. The Federal Guidance Notes include discussion of limitations on ombuds' authority, confidentiality, reporting, and record-keeping.

Aloma A. Shaw,

Staff Assistant, Office of Dispute Resolution, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E6-8382 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-EC-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60-day notice of information collection under review: Employee Possessor Questionnaire.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for