Manufacturer / Exporter	Weighted Average Margin (percentage)
Asociacion de Cooperativas Argentinas Seylinco S.A	2.95 0

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales made during the POR to the total customs value of the sales used to calculate those duties. The Department will issue appropriate ad valorem assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review. We will direct CBP to assess the resulting assessment rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the importer's entries during the POR.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the allothers rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act):

- (1) the cash deposit for all companies reviewed will be the rates established in the final results of review;
- (2) for any previously reviewed or investigated company not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company–specific rate published in the most recent period;

- (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and
- (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation (30.24 percent). See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Honey From Argentina, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: April 27, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix: Issues and Decision Memorandum

- 1. Warranty Expense Methodology
- 2. Testing Expenses

[FR Doc. E6–6758 Filed 5–3–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-806, A-351-806]

Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China and Brazil: Final Results of the Expedited Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 3, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Brazil, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, ("the Act"). See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 71 FR 91 (January 3, 2006) ("Initiation Notice"). On the basis of the notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, and no responses from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Reviews."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 4, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Nunno, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published an antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC on June 10, 1991, and from Brazil on July 31, 1991. See Antidumping Duty Order: Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China, 56 FR 26649; see also Antidumping Duty Order: Silicon Metal from Brazil, 56 FR 36135. On January 3, 2006, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Silicon Metal from the PRC and Brazil pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation Notice. The Department received a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, Globe Metallurgical Inc. ("Globe"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. Globe claimed interested party status pursuant to section

771(9)(C) of the Act as a U.S. producer of the domestic like product. We received a submission from the domestic interested party within the 30-day deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. However, we did not receive submissions from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of these orders.

Scope of the Orders

PRC

The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this antidumping order is silicon metal containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTSUS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Brazil

The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this antidumping order is silicon metal containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTSUS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience

and for customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Scope Clarifications

PRC

There has been one scope clarification in this proceeding. See Scope Rulings, 58 FR 27542 (May 10, 1993). In a response to a request by domestic interested parties for clarification of the scope of the antidumping duty order, the Department determined that silicon metal containing between 89.00 percent and 99.00 percent silicon by weight, but which contains a higher aluminum content than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent, but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight, is the same class or kind of merchandise as the silicon metal described in the original order. Therefore, such material is within the scope of the order on silicon metal from the PRC.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these cases are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated April 27, 2006 ("Issues and Decision Memorandum"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these sunset reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B–099 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on our Web site at http://ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on Silicon Metal from the PRC and Brazil would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted—average margins:

Manufacturers/Export-	Weighted-Average
ers/Producers	Margin (Percent)
PRC. PRC-wide Rate Brazil ¹ .	139.49

Manufacturers/Export- ers/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Camargo Correa Metais, S.A. ("CCM") Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio	93.20
("CBCC")	Revoked
S.A. ("RIMA") All Others	Revoked 91.06

¹We will notify the ITC that Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio ("CBCC") and RIMA Eletrometalurgica S.A. ("RIMA") are no longer subject to the order. See Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998); see also Silicon Metal From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation of Order revoked as to CBCC) and Silicon Metal from Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation of Order in Part, 67 FR 77225 (December 17, 2002) (order revoked as to RIMA).

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act

Dated: April 27, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–6760 Filed 5–3–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-449-804]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia: Extension of the Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shane Subler at (202) 482–0189, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import