evidence of strong on-site administrative capabilities with specific discussion of how logistical arrangements will be undertaken. Proposals that demonstrate knowledge of the educational systems in the proposed countries as well as an institutional record of successful implementation of exchange programs will receive preference. Proposals should demonstrate an institutional record of responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau grants as determined by Bureau Grants Staff.

- 5. Follow-on Activities: Proposals should include a plan for other follow-on activity (without Bureau support) ensuring that Bureau supported programs are not isolated events.
- 6. Project Evaluation: Proposals should include a plan to evaluate the institutes' successes, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program. A draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives are recommended. The selected grantee organization will be expected to submit quarterly or intermediate reports after each project component is concluded, whichever is less frequent.
- 7. Cost-effectiveness and cost sharing: The overhead and administrative components of the proposal, including salaries and honoraria, should be kept as low as possible. All other items should be necessary and appropriate. Proposals should maximize cost sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.
- 8. Value to U.S.-Partner Country Relations: Proposed projects should receive positive assessments by the U.S. Department of State's geographic area desks and overseas officers of program need, potential impact, and significance in the partner countries.

## VI. Award Administration Information

# VI.1a Award Notices

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures. Successful applicants will receive an Assistance Award Document (AAD) from the Bureau's Grants Office. The AAD and the original grant proposal with subsequent modifications (if applicable) shall be the only binding authorizing document between the recipient and the U.S. Government. The AAD will be signed by an authorized Grants Officer, and mailed to the

recipient's responsible officer identified in the application.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification of the results of the application review from the ECA program office coordinating this competition.

VI.2 Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following:

Office of Management and Budget Circular A–122, "Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations."

Office of Management and Budget Circular A–21, "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions."

Educational Institutions."
OMB Circular A–87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments."

OMB Circular No. A–110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

ÖMB Circular No. A–102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.

OMB Circular No. A–133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-profit Organizations.

Please reference the following Web sites for additional information: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants, http://exchanges.state.gov/education/grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI.

## VI.3 Reporting Requirements

You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus one copy of the following reports: Quarterly financial reports; program reports at the end of each program activity; and final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award.

Grantees will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. (Please refer to IV. Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3.d.3) above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request

Åll reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

# VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this announcement, contact: Rozina

Damanwala, Office of Global Educational Programs, ECA/A/S/X, Room 349, U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547, telephone: 202– 619–6589, fax 202–401–1433, DamanwalaRR@state.gov.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the above title and number ECA/A/S/X–06–06.

Please read the complete Federal Register announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

#### VIII. Other Information

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

Dated: April 6, 2006.

### C. Miller Crouch,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 06–3591 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–05–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision (ROD) and a Written Reevaluation for the Evaluation of New Information Regarding Departure Procedures at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Atlanta, GA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of a ROD and a Written Reevaluation for the evaluation of new information regarding departure procedures at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Atlanta, Georgia.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is making

available a ROD and a Written Reevaluation for new information concerning departure procedures at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Atlanta, Georgia.

Point of Contact: Mr. Steve Kelley, Acting Manager, FAA Eastern Terminal Service, Airspace and Procedures, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, NY 11434– 4809, (718) 553–4558.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA is making available a ROD and a Written Reevaluation of new information concerning departure procedures at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Atlanta, Georgia. The purpose of the ROD and Written Reevaluation was to evaluate potential environmental impacts arising from the implementation of departure procedures that deviate from those used in the original environmental study conducted for the 9,000-foot Fifth Runway and Associated Projects Final Environmental Impact Statement.

These documents will be available during normal business hours at the following locations: FAA Eastern Terminal Service Area, Airspace and Procedures Office, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, NY 11434; and at the Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, 6000 N. Terminal Parkway, Atrium 4th Floor, Atlanta, GA 30320.

Due to current security requirements, arrangements must be made with the point of contact prior to visiting these offices.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 5, 2006. Carolyn Blum,

Regional Administrator, FAA, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 06–3581 Filed 4–12–06; 11:08 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Aviation Administration [Policy Statement No. ANM-111-05-004]

# **Lightning Direct Effects Compliance**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of final policy.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of final policy on Lightning Direct Effects Compliance.

**DATES:** The final policy was issued by the Transport Airplane Directorate on April 4, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregg Dunn, FAA, Airplane and Flight Crew Interface Branch, ANM–111, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2799; facsimile (425) 227–1320.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Discussion of Comments**

A notice of proposed policy was published in the **Federal Register** on January 10, 2006 (71 FR 1582). One (1) commenter responded to the request for comments, and that commenter agreed with the proposed policy.

## **Background**

The final policy recognizes SAE International Aerospace Recommended Practice 5577, Aircraft Lightning Direct Effects Certification, as an acceptable method of compliance to the lightning direct effects requirements of § 25.581. That document is a recognized reference for the certification of part 25 transport category airplane Lightning Direct Effects requirements.

The final policy is available on the Internet at the following address: http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl. If you do not have access to the Internet, you can obtain a copy of the policy by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Renton, Washington on April 4, 2006.

# Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 06-3557 Filed 4-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

## Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Delays in Processing of Special Permits Applications

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** List of application delayed more than 180 days.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(c), PHMSA is publishing the following list of special permit applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Delmer Billings, Office of Hazardous Materials Special Permits and Approvals, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001, (202) 366–4535.

# Key to "Reason for Delay"

- 1. Awaiting additional information from applicant.
- 2. Extensive public comment under review.
- 3. Application is technically complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.
- 4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of special permit applications.

# **Meaning of Application Number Suffixes**

N—New application.M—Modification request.PM—Party to application with modification request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 10, 2006

## R. Ryan Posten,

Chief, Special Permits Program, Office of Hazardous Materials, Special Permits & Approvals.

•••			
Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
MODIFICATION TO EXEMPTIONS			
10915–M	Luxfer Gas Cylinders (Composite Cylinder Division), Riverside, CA	1	05-31-2006
7280-M	Department of Defense, Ft. Eustis, VA	4	05-31-2006
11579-M	Dyno Nobel, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT	4	06-30-2006
13488-M	FABER INDUSTRIES SPA (U.S. Agent: Kaplan Industries, Maple Shade, NJ)	4	05-31-2006
13327-M	Hawk FRP LLC, Ardmore, OK	1	06-30-2006
12929-M	Matheson Tri-Gas. East Rutherford, NJ	4	05-31-2006