

and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center Web site at <http://www.ffiec.gov/nic/>.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 27, 2006.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco** (Tracy Basinger, Director, Regional and Community Bank Group) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-1579:

1. *Canyon Bancorp*, Palm Springs, California; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Canyon National Bank, Palm Springs, California.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 27, 2006.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. E6-2941 Filed 3-1-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 052 3148]

### CardSystems Solutions, Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of Federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair

methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 27, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written comments. Comments should refer to “CardSystems Solutions, File No. 052 3148,” to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room 135-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with Commission Rule 4.9(c). 16 CFR 4.9(c) (2005).<sup>1</sup> The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form as part of or as an attachment to e-mail messages directed to the following e-mail box: [consentagreement@ftc.gov](mailto:consentagreement@ftc.gov).

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at <http://www.ftc.gov>. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC’s privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

<sup>1</sup> The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission’s General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jessica Rich or Alain Sheer, Bureau of Consumer Protection, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-3224.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for February 23, 2006), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2006/02/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

### Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, a consent agreement from CardSystems Solutions Inc. (“CardSystems”) and its successor, Solidus Networks, Inc., doing business as Pay By Touch Solutions (“Pay By Touch”).

The consent agreement has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement’s proposed order.

According to the Commission’s proposed complaint, CardSystems provides merchants with products and services used in “authorization processing”—obtaining approval for credit and debit card purchases from banks that issued the cards. Last year, it processed about 210 million card purchases, totaling more than \$15

billion, for more than 119,000 small and mid-size merchants. In the course of processing these credit and debit card purchases, CardSystems collected and stored personal information about consumers, including card number and expiration date and other information, from magnetic stripes on the cards. Pay By Touch acquired CardSystems' assets on December 9, 2005, at which time CardSystems ceased doing business. Pay By Touch uses CardSystems' former employees, equipment, and technology to process transactions for the same merchants CardSystems served.

The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that CardSystems stored personal information on computers on its computer network and failed to employ reasonable and appropriate security measures to protect the information. The complaint alleges that this failure was an unfair practice because it caused or was likely to cause substantial consumer injury that was not reasonably avoidable and was not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition. In particular, CardSystems engaged in a number of practices that, taken together, failed to provide reasonable and appropriate security for personal information stored on its computer network. Among other things, it: (1) Created unnecessary risks to the information by storing it; (2) did not adequately assess the vulnerability of its computer network to commonly known or reasonably foreseeable attacks, including but not limited to "Structured Query Language" injection attacks; (3) did not implement simple, low-cost, and readily available defenses to such attacks; (4) failed to use strong passwords to prevent a hacker from gaining control over computers on its computer network and access to personal information stored on the network; (5) did not use readily available security measures to limit access between computers on its network and between such computers and the Internet; and (6) failed to employ sufficient measures to detect unauthorized access to personal information or to conduct security investigations.

The complaint further alleges that several million dollars in fraudulent purchases were made using counterfeit copies of credit and debit cards that contained the same personal information CardSystems had collected from the magnetic stripes of credit and debit cards and then stored on its computer network. After discovering the fraudulent purchases, banks cancelled and re-issued thousands of these credit and debit cards, and consumers holding

these cards were unable to use them to access credit and their own bank accounts.

The proposed order applies to personal information from or about consumers that CardSystems and Pay By Touch (as CardSystems' successor) collect in connection with authorization processing. The proposed order contains provisions designed to prevent them from engaging in the future in practices similar to those alleged in the complaint.

Part I of the proposed order requires CardSystems and Pay By Touch to establish and maintain a comprehensive information security program in writing that is reasonably designed to protect the security, confidentiality, and integrity of personal information they collect from or about consumers. The security program must contain administrative, technical, and physical safeguards appropriate to their size and complexity, the nature and scope of their activities, and the sensitivity of the personal information collected. Specifically, the order requires CardSystems and Pay By Touch to:

- Designate an employee or employees to coordinate and be accountable for the information security program.
- Identify material internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of consumer information that could result in unauthorized disclosure, misuse, loss, alteration, destruction, or other compromise of such information, and assess the sufficiency of any safeguards in place to control these risks.
- Design and implement reasonable safeguards to control the risks identified through risk assessment, and regularly test or monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures.
- Evaluate and adjust their information security program in light of the results of testing and monitoring, any material changes to their operations or business arrangements, or any other circumstances that they know or have to reason to know may have a material impact on the effectiveness of their information security program.

Part II of the proposed order requires that CardSystems and Pay By Touch obtain within 180 days, and on a biennial basis thereafter, an assessment and report from a qualified, objective, independent third-party professional, certifying, among other things, that: (1) They have in place a security program that provides protections that meet or exceed the protections required by Part I of the proposed order, and (2) their security program is operating with

sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable assurance that the security, confidentiality, and integrity of consumers' personal information has been protected.

Parts III through VII of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part III requires CardSystems and Pay By Touch to retain documents relating to their compliance with the order. Part IV requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part V requires them to notify the Commission of changes in their corporate status. Part VI mandates that CardSystems and Pay By Touch submit compliance reports to the FTC. Part VII is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

This case is similar to the recent FTC cases against BJ's Wholesale Club and DSW Inc., which also involved alleged failures to secure credit and debit card information. As in those cases, CardSystems faces potential liability in the millions of dollars under bank procedures and in private litigation for losses related to the breach.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed order or to modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission, with Commissioner Harbour recused.

**Donald S. Clark,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-2934 Filed 3-1-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

---

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090-0228]

### Office of Civil Rights; Information Collection; Nondiscrimination in Federal Financial Assistance Programs

**AGENCY:** Office of Civil Rights, GSA.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments regarding a renewal to an existing OMB clearance.

---

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the General Services Administration will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a renewal of a currently approved information collection requirement regarding nondiscrimination in Federal financial assistance programs. The