

Analysis'' to identify and address any major civil rights impacts the rule might have on minorities, women, and persons with disabilities. After a careful review of the rule's intent and provisions, FNS has determined that there is no negative effect on these groups. All data available to FNS indicate that protected individuals have the same opportunity to participate in the CACFP as non-protected individuals. Regulations at 7 CFR 226.6(f)(4)(iv) require that CACFP institutions agree to operate the Program in compliance with applicable Federal civil rights laws, including title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, title IX of the Education amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Department's regulations concerning nondiscrimination (7 CFR part 15, 15a, and 15b). At 7 CFR 226.6(m)(1), State agencies are required to monitor CACFP institution compliance with these laws and regulations.

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chap. 35, see 5 CFR 1320) requires that OMB approve all collections of information by a Federal agency from the public before they can be implemented. Respondents are not required to respond to any collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number. Information collections in this final rule have been previously submitted to OMB for approval under OMB #0584-0055. A 60-day notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2004, which provided an opportunity for the public to submit comments on the reduction to the information collection burden resulting from the changes in the CACFP made by this final rule. This burden change has not yet been approved by OMB. FNS will publish a document in the **Federal Register** once these requirements have been approved.

#### *Government Paperwork Elimination Act*

FNS is committed to compliance with the Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA), which requires Government agencies to provide the public the option of submitting information or transacting business electronically to the maximum extent possible. This rule does not require the submission of additional information.

#### *Public Participation*

This action is being finalized without prior notice or public comment under authority of 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A) and (B). This rule implements through amendments to current program regulations a nondiscretionary provision

mandated by the Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004 (Pub. L. 108-265). Thus, the Department has determined in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(b) that Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Opportunity for Public Comments is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest and, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(d), finds that good cause exists for making this action effective without prior public comment.

#### **List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 226**

Accounting, Aged, Day care, Food and Nutrition Service, Food Assistance programs, Grant programs, Grant programs—health, American Indians, Individuals with disabilities, Infants and children, Intergovernmental relations, Loan programs, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Surplus agricultural commodities.

■ Accordingly, 7 CFR part 226 is amended as follows:

#### **PART 226—CHILD AND ADULT CARE FOOD PROGRAM**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 226 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 9, 11, 14, 16, and 17, Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1758, 1759a, 1762a, 1765, and 1766).

##### **§ 226.6 [Amended]**

■ 2. In § 226.6, amend the fifth sentence in paragraph (f)(1)(iii) by removing the words "three years" and adding in their place the words "five years".

##### **§ 226.15 [Amended]**

■ 3. In § 226.15, amend the tenth sentence in paragraph (f) by removing the words "three years" and adding in their place the words "five years".

Dated: February 15, 2005.

**George A. Braley,**

*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 05-3267 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-30-P**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Rural Housing Service**

#### **7 CFR Part 3560**

#### **RIN 0575-AC13**

#### **Reinvention of the Sections 514, 515, 516 and 521 Multi-Family Housing Programs**

**AGENCY:** Rural Housing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule; delay of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Housing Service is delaying implementation of selected sections of the interim final rule published on November 26, 2004 (69 FR 69032-69176). The interim final rule contains requirements regarding citizenship eligibility about which the Agency received several comments. The comments suggested that Agency procedures unnecessarily imposed more requirements than those required under the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) procedures for similar programs. As a result the Agency has decided to delay implementation of the sections listed below in order to harmonize its procedures with HUD under 42 U.S.C. 1436a.

**DATES:** The effective date for §§ 3560.152(a)(1), 3560.154(a)(7), 3560.156(c)(12), and 3560.254(c)(3) are delayed indefinitely from February 24, 2005, until the Agency publishes an effective date in a future **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie White, Director, Multi-Family Housing Portfolio Management Division, Rural Housing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 1265, South Building, Stop 0782, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0782, telephone (202) 720-1615.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Delay of Implementation**

■ In the interim final rule published November 26, 2004 (69 FR 69032-69176), implementation of the specific words in quotes in the following specific sections will be delayed indefinitely:

#### **PART 3560—[AMENDED]**

##### **§ 3560.152 [Amended]**

■ On page 69133, second column, § 3560.152 (a) (1) "Be a United States citizen or qualified alien, and";

##### **§ 3560.154 [Amended]**

■ On page 69134, third column, § 3560.154 (a) (7) "\* \* \* and a certification that the applicant is a U.S. citizen or a qualified alien as defined in § 3560.11 \* \* \*";

##### **§ 3560.156 [Amended]**

■ On page 69136, third column, § 3560.156 (c) (12) "\* \* \* their citizenship status, \* \* \*"; and

##### **§ 3560.254 [Amended]**

■ On page 69144, first column, § 3560.254 (c) (3) "Whose head of the household is a U.S. citizen or a legal alien as defined in § 3560.11."

Dated: February 14, 2005.

**Gilbert Gonzales,**

*Acting Under Secretary, Rural Development.*

[FR Doc. 05-3226 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NE-59-AD; Amendment 39-13982; AD 2005-04-10]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company CT58 Series and Surplus Military T58 Series Turboshaft Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for General Electric Company (GE) CT58-140-1, CT58-140-2, and surplus military T58-GE-5, -10, -100, and -402 turboshaft engines with certain serial numbers (SNs) of stage 1 compressor disks, part number (P/N) 5001T20P01, installed. This AD requires removing certain stage 1 compressor disks from service before reaching a reduced low-cycle-fatigue (LCF) life limit for those affected disks of 2,100 hours time-since-new (TSN) or by December 31, 2008, whichever occurs first. This AD results from two reports of low blade tip clearances in the compressor. We are issuing this AD to prevent LCF cracking and failure of the stage 1 compressor disk, an uncontained engine failure, and damage to the helicopter.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective March 29, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Contact GE Aircraft Engines Customer Support Center, M/D 285, 1 Neumann Way, Evendale, OH 45215, telephone (513) 552-3272; fax (513) 552-3329, e-mail [GEAE.csc@ae.ge.com](mailto:GEAE.csc@ae.ge.com), for the service information identified in this AD.

You may examine the AD docket at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. You may examine the service information, at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/>

*federal\_register/  
code\_of\_federal\_regulations/  
ibr\_locations.html.*

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman Brown, Senior Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone 781-238-7181; fax 781-238-7199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with a proposed AD. The proposed AD applies to GE CT58-140-1, CT58-140-2, and surplus military T58-GE-5, -10, -100, and -402 series turboshaft engines with certain SNs of stage 1 compressor disks, P/N 5001T20P01, installed. We published the proposed AD in the *Federal Register* on February 26, 2004 (69 FR 8875). That action proposed to require removing certain stage 1 compressor disks from service before reaching a reduced LCF life limit for those affected disks of 2,100 hours TSN or by December 31, 2008, whichever occurs first.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD Docket (including any comments and service information), by appointment, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. See **ADDRESSES** for the location.

#### Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comments received, which are all from GE.

#### Request To Change the Number of U.S. Engines Affected

One commenter, GE, requests that we change the estimated number of affected engines installed on helicopters of U.S. registry from 45 to 30. The commenter states that this number is a more accurate estimate of engines in the U.S. and affects the total cost of disk replacement by one third. GE bases this quantity change on their engine tracking system.

We agree, and have changed that number in the final rule based on GE's estimate of the number of affected engines.

#### Request To Add "Surplus Military" Before References to T58

GE requests that we add "surplus military" before all references to "T58-GE-5", to differentiate those engines from the commercially-designated CT58 engines.

We agree, and have made these changes in the final rule, which

includes surplus military models T58-GE-5, T58-GE-10, -100, and -402.

#### Request To Change the Unsafe Condition Description

GE requests that we change the unsafe condition description of "We are issuing this AD to prevent low cycle fatigue (LCF) cracking and failure of the stage 1 compressor disk, an uncontained engine failure, and damage to the helicopter" to "We are issuing this AD to prevent low-cycle-fatigue (LCF) cracking of the stage 1 compressor disk." GE states that they do not consider the condition to be unsafe based on their investigation and analysis of this condition.

We do not agree. We reviewed GE's investigation and engineering analysis data with GE, and concluded there is an unsafe condition that requires an AD. The basis for the unsafe condition description in the proposed AD completes the potential scenario leading to the unsafe condition, should the disk cracking continue to an uncontained disk failure, resulting in damage to the helicopter. Further, our statement of the unsafe condition does not change the compliance requirements of GE Alert Service Bulletin No. 72-A0196. We have made no changes to the AD based on this comment.

#### Request To Change Wording in the Discussion of the Proposed AD

GE requests that we change some wording in the discussion of the proposed AD from "An investigation by GE revealed that the tangential positioning of the blade dovetail slot resulted in the high-peak stresses." to "An investigation conducted by GE determined that a defined population of stage 1 compressor disks had non-conforming tangential positioning of the blade dovetail slots, which resulted in high-peak stresses at the disk dovetail slot aft acute corner". GE did not indicate any justification or reason for the proposed change.

We evaluated the change and determined it does offer a more detailed description and points out a nonconformance. However, this discussion information only appears in the proposed AD and not in the final rule, so we have made no change to the AD based on this comment.

#### Request To Change Requirements Statement

GE requests that we change the requirements statement from "We are proposing this AD which would require removing certain stage 1 compressor disks from service at or before reaching a reduced LCF life limit of 2,100 hours