modifications would serve the public interest. The conditions adopted by the Commission are reprinted below as set forth in the MO&O but without footnotes.

Reporting

A. Cingular and any other entity that avails itself of the relief afforded by this order must so notify the Commission in its upcoming November 17, 2005, hearing aid compatibility compliance report. In addition to providing notice, such entity must include detailed information in its report that describes and discusses with specificity the status of its efforts to offer dual-band GSM handsets that achieve a rating of U3 or higher in the 850 MHz band in addition to the 1900 MHz band. We note that this condition is consistent with the requirement that compliance reports provide information regarding "any activities related to ANSI C63.19 or other standards work intended to promote compliance with" the Commission's rules and policies.

B. Cingular and any other entity that avails itself of the relief afforded by this order and that so notifies the Commission on or before November 17, 2005, (as referenced in condition 1, above), must include in its May 17, 2006, hearing aid compatibility compliance report detailed information that describes and discusses with specificity the status of its efforts to offer dual-band GSM handsets that achieve a rating of U3 or higher in the 850 MHz band in addition to the 1900 MHz band.

C. Cingular must file an additional report with the Commission no later than February 1, 2006. This report must include detailed information that describes and discusses with specificity the status of its efforts to offer dual-band GSM handsets that achieve a rating of U3 or higher in the 850 MHz band in addition to the 1900 MHz band.

• Consumer Outreach

A. Cingular and any other entity that avails itself of the relief afforded by this order must ensure a thirty-day trial period or otherwise adopt an acceptable, flexible return policy for consumers seeking to obtain hearing aid-compatible GSM digital wireless handsets. In addition, such entity must include detailed information in its November 17, 2005, and May 17, 2006, hearing aid compatibility compliance reports that describes and discusses with specificity efforts to ensure a thirty-day trial period or otherwise flexible return policy for consumers seeking to obtain hearing aid-compatible GSM digital wireless handsets. We note that this condition makes mandatory one of the outreach efforts described by the Commission in

the Hearing Aid Compatibility Order and further discussed in the Hearing Aid Compatibility Reconsideration Order. Also, this condition is consistent with the requirement that compliance reports provide information regarding "outreach efforts."

B. Cingular and any other entity that avails itself of the relief afforded by this order must take reasonable efforts to make available current technical and anecdotal information for access by the public regarding the hearing aid compatibility of specific GSM digital wireless handsets. In addition, such entity must include detailed information in its November 17, 2005, and May 17, 2006, hearing aid compatibility compliance reports that describes and discusses with specificity efforts to comply with this condition. We note that this condition is consistent with the outreach efforts described by the Commission in the Hearing Aid Compatibility Order, as well as the requirement that compliance reports provide information regarding ''outreach efforts.''

#### I. Procedural Matters

#### A. Paperwork Reduction Act Analysis

11. This document does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law No. 104–13. Therefore, it does not contain any new or modified "information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

## B. Congressional Review Act

12. The Commission will not send a copy of this MO&O pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (CRA), see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), because this MO&O does not amend rules as defined in the CRA, 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

## **II. Ordering Clauses**

13. The Commission, acting pursuant to Sections 1 and 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), and section 1.925 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.925, grants, to the extent set forth in the MO&O, the waiver relief requested in the Letter from Thomas Goode, counsel to the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions, and in the Presentation of the HAC Incubator Working Group 9, filed on August 1, 2005. Second, the Commission grants, to the extent set forth in the MO&O, the petition for

waiver of section 20.19(c)(3)(i)(A) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 20.19(c)(3)(i)(A), filed by Cingular Wireless LLC on August 5, 2005.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–22231 Filed 11–8–05; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### 48 CFR Part 239

[DFARS Case 2003-D054]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Information Technology Equipment—Screening of Government Inventory

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to delete obsolete procedures for screening of Government inventory before authorizing a contractor to purchase information technology equipment. This rule is a result of a transformation initiative undertaken by DoD to dramatically change the purpose and content of the DFARS.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 9, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Gabrielle Ward, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP (DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–2022; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2003–D054.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

DFARS Transformation is a major DoD initiative to dramatically change the purpose and content of the DFARS. The objective is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the acquisition process, while allowing the acquisition workforce the flexibility to innovate. The transformed DFARS will contain only requirements of law, DoDwide policies, delegations of FAR authorities, deviations from FAR requirements, and policies/procedures that have a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of DoD or a significant cost or administrative impact on contractors or offerors. Additional information on the DFARS Transformation initiative is available at http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/ dfars/transformation/index.htm.

This final rule is a result of the DFARS Transformation initiative. The rule deletes obsolete procedures for screening of Government inventory before authorizing a contractor to purchase information technology equipment. DoD now manages information technology equipment in the same manner as other Government property, in accordance with FAR Part 45 and DFARS Part 245.

DoD published a proposed rule at 69 FR 67884 on November 22, 2004. DoD received no comments on the proposed rule. Therefore, DoD has adopted the proposed rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

## B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the DFARS changes in this rule are limited to the deletion of obsolete procedures for screening the Government's inventory of information technology equipment.

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

# List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 239

Government procurement.

## Michele P. Peterson,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

■ Therefore, 48 CFR part 239 is amended as follows:

# PART 239—ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 239 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

# Subpart 239.73—[Removed and Reserved]

■ 2. Subpart 239.73 is removed and reserved.

[FR Doc. 05–22110 Filed 11–8–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### 48 CFR Part 239

[DFARS Case 2003-D055]

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Acquisition of Telecommunications Services

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to update text pertaining to the acquisition of telecommunications services. This rule is a result of a transformation initiative undertaken by DoD to dramatically change the purpose and content of the DFARS.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 9, 2005.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Gabrielle Ward, Defense Acquisition Regulations System,

OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–2022; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2003–D055.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

DFARS Transformation is a major DoD initiative to dramatically change the purpose and content of the DFARS. The objective is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the acquisition process, while allowing the acquisition workforce the flexibility to innovate. The transformed DFARS will contain only requirements of law, DoDwide policies, delegations of FAR authorities, deviations from FAR requirements, and policies/procedures that have a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of DoD or a significant cost or administrative impact on contractors or offerors. Additional information on the DFARS Transformation initiative is available at http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/ dfars/transformation/index.htm.

This final rule is a result of the DFARS Transformation initiative. The rule—

- Amends DFARS 239.7401 to update terminology for consistency with the terminology used in the clause at DFARS 252.239–7016; and
- Revises DFARS 239.7405 to delete obsolete text and to add text addressing DoD's authority to enter into contracts for telecommunications services.

DoD published a proposed rule at 70 FR 8564 on February 22, 2005. DoD received no comments on the proposed rule. Therefore, DoD has adopted the

proposed rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

# **B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule makes no significant change to DoD policy for the acquisition of telecommunications services.

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

#### List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 239

Government procurement.

#### Michele P. Peterson,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

■ Therefore, 48 CFR part 239 is amended as follows:

# PART 239—ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 239 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

#### 239.7401 [Amended]

- 2. Section 239.7401 is amended in paragraph (e) by removing "Security," and adding in its place "Securing,".
- 3. Section 239.7405 is revised to read as follows:

# 239.7405 Delegated authority for telecommunications resources.

The contracting officer may enter into a telecommunications service contract on a month-to-month basis or for any longer period or series of periods, not to exceed a total of 10 years. See PGI 239.7405 for documents relating to this contracting authority, which the General Services Administration has delegated to DoD.

[FR Doc. 05–22111 Filed 11–8–05; 8:45 am]