

Beginning date	Ending date	Underpayments (percent)	Overpayments (percent)	Corporate overpayments (Eff. 1–1–99) (percent)
070174	063075	6	6	
070175	013176	9	9	
020176	013178	7	7	
020178	013180	6	6	
020180	013182	12	12	
020182	123182	20	20	
010183	063083	16	16	
070183	123184	11	11	
010185	063085	13	13	
070185	123185	11	11	
010186	063086	10	10	
070186	123186	9	9	
010187	093087	9	8	
100187	123187	10	9	
010188	033188	11	10	
040188	093088	10	9	
100188	033189	11	10	
040189	093089	12	11	
100189	033191	11	10	
040191	123191	10	9	
010192	033192	9	8	
040192	093092	8	7	
100192	063094	7	6	
070194	093094	8	7	
100194	033195	9	8	
040195	063095	10	9	
070195	033196	9	8	
040196	063096	8	7	
070196	033198	9	8	
040198	123198	8	7	
010199	033199	7	7	6
040199	033100	8	8	7
040100	033101	9	9	8
040101	063001	8	8	7
070101	123101	7	7	6
010102	123102	6	6	5
010103	093003	5	5	4
100103	033104	4	4	3
040104	063004	5	5	4
070104	093004	4	4	3
100104	033105	5	5	4
040105	093005	6	6	5
100105	123105	7	7	6

Dated: October 11, 2005.

Robert C. Bonner,

Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection.

[FR Doc. 05–20649 Filed 10–14–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4971–N–53]

Notice of Submission of Proposed Information Collection to OMB; Construction Complaint-Request for Financial Assistance

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of

Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

The information collection is submitted by homeowners and is used by HUD to identify the items of complaint in order to help the homeowner obtain correction. The information is also used to identify builders not conforming to applicable standards and to determine eligibility for financial assistance.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* November 16, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval number (2502–0047) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New

Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, AYO, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Wayne_Eddins@HUD.gov; or Lillian Deitzer at Lillian_L_Deitzer@HUD.gov or telephone (202) 708–2374. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Eddins or Ms Deitzer or from HUD's Web site at <http://hlnnwp031.hud.gov/po/i/icbts/collectionsearch.cfm>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of

the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the

burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Construction Complaint-Request for Financial Assistance.

OMB Approval Number: 2502-0047.

Form Numbers: HUD-92556.

Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use: The information collection is submitted by homeowners and is used by HUD to identify the items of complaint in order to help the homeowner obtain correction. The information is also used to identify builders not conforming to applicable standards and to determine eligibility for financial assistance.

Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

	Number of respondents	×	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting burden	10		1		0.5		5

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 5.

Status: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: October 6, 2005.

Wayne Eddins,

Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-20645 Filed 10-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-72-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Williamson, Jackson, and Union Counties, IL

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service announces that the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is available for Crab Orchard NWR, Illinois.

The CCP/EIS was prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1996, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. Goals and Objectives in the CCP describe how the agency intends to manage the refuge over the next 15 years.

DATES: Comments on the Draft CCP/EIS must be received on or before January 17, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Draft CCP/EIS are available on compact disk or hard copy, you may obtain a copy by writing to: Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Conservation Planning, Bishop Henry Whipple Federal Building, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111 or you may access and download a copy via the planning Web site at <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning/craborchard/index.html>.

All comments should be addressed to Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge, Attention: CCP Comment, 8588 Route 148, Marion, IL 62959, or direct e-mail to r3planning@fws.gov. Comments may also be submitted through the Service's regional Web site at <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Frisk at 618/997-3344.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Located in southern Illinois and consisting of 43,888 acres, Crab Orchard NWR was established in 1947. In late 2000 we asked citizens for their ideas on what the comprehensive conservation plan should include and the issues that should be addressed. We gave citizens the opportunity to comment at open houses and through written comments. In three meetings early in 2001, we asked a diverse group of stakeholders to identify and prioritize issues facing the Refuge.

Five alternative approaches to management, including a Preferred Alternative and a No Action (Current Management) Alternative, were considered for Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge. The five alternatives are described and evaluated in the Draft EIS. All alternatives would achieve the Refuge's purposes of wildlife conservation, agriculture, recreation, and industry. Under all alternatives, group camps and most non-wildlife dependent recreation would remain;

technical rock climbing would be prohibited; a modified recreational fee structure would be implemented; a 14-day camping limit would be instituted; management of sport fish populations would continue; use of prescribed fire would increase; and the agricultural acres would not change by more than 5 percent. All alternatives would maintain food required for wintering Canada geese. Alternative A would continue the present course of management. Alternative B would reduce habitat fragmentation and emphasize wildlife-dependent recreation. A land exchange with Southern Illinois University would be a significant part of this alternative. Alternative C would emphasize management of open lands and consolidate and improve recreation facilities. Alternative D would emphasize management of forest lands and consolidate and improve recreation facilities. Alternative E, the preferred alternative, would reduce habitat fragmentation and consolidate and improve recreation facilities. Conflicts among water users would be addressed by increasing areas designated as no-wake zones and better enforcement of current use zoning regulations. The quality of campgrounds and marinas would be increased by consolidating and improving them. The agricultural program would remain largely as is and its economic effect continues. The industrial program would continue to support the munitions manufacturing industry. By encouraging other industries to locate in nearby industrial parks, the economic effect of the industry would remain in the local economy, and the needs of the industry would be met more efficiently. Increased efforts would be made to talk with and listen to the community.

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System