–135LR, –145, –145ER, –145MR, –145LR, –145XR, –145MP, and –145EP airplanes, certificated in any category.

#### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by a report of a fractured axle of the trailing arm of the main landing gear (MLG) due to corrosion of the axle. We are issuing this AD to prevent a broken trailing arm and consequent failure of the MLG, which could lead to loss of control and damage to the airplane during takeoff or landing.

#### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### **Part Number Verification**

(f) Within 600 flight hours or 180 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, inspect the left and right MLG to determine whether cardan assembly part number (P/N) 2309–2041–003 is installed. A review of airplane maintenance records is acceptable in lieu of this inspection if the P/N of the cardan assembly can be conclusively determined from that review. If cardan P/N 2309–2041–003 is not installed in the MLG, no further action is required for that MLG, except as provided by paragraph (i) of this AD. If cardan P/N 2309–2041–003 is installed in the MLG, continue with paragraph (g) of this AD.

#### Inspection

(g) Within 600 flight hours or 180 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, perform a detailed inspection for surface bruising of the MLG trailing arms and integrity of the MLG pivot axle sealant; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–32–0091, Change 01, dated July 1, 2004. If no sign of sealant failure or bruising of the trailing arm is found, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5,500 flight hours or 24 months, whichever occurs first, until paragraph (h) of this AD has been accomplished.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is: "An intensive examination of a specific item, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at an intensity deemed appropriate. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be necessary. Surface cleaning and elaborate procedures may be required."

#### **Corrective/Terminating Actions**

(h) If any sign of sealant failure or bruising of either trailing arm surface is found, prior to further flight, do paragraphs (h)(1), (h)(2), and (h)(3) of this AD. Do the actions in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–32–0091, Change 01, dated July 1, 2004. Accomplishment of paragraph (h) of this AD for any MLG ends the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (g) of this AD for that MLG.

- (1) Repair any bruising of the trailing arm surface.
- (2) Replace the MLG cardan with a new, improved cardan having P/N 2309–2041–401.
- (3) Perform a detailed inspection for corrosion of the internal surface of the trailing arm pivot axle.
- (i) If no corrosion is found, prior to further flight, apply protective paint and corrosion inhibitors
- (ii) If corrosion is found, prior to further flight, replace the pivot axle with a new pivot axle and apply corrosion inhibitors.

Note 2: EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–32–0091, Change 01, dated July 1, 2004, refers to Embraer Liebherr Equipamentos do Brasil S.A. (ELEB) Service Bulletin 2309–2002–32–04, Revision 01, dated May 24, 2004, as an additional source of service information for the inspection and repair of the MLG components. The ELEB service bulletin is included within the EMBRAER service bulletin.

# Actions Accomplished According to Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(i) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD according to EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–32–0091, dated February 19, 2004, are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding actions specified in this AD.

## **Parts Installation**

(j) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install an MLG having a cardan assembly, part number 2309–2041–003, on any affected airplane, unless the requirements of paragraphs (f), (g), and (h) of this AD, as applicable, have been accomplished.

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(k) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

## Related Information

(l) Brazilian airworthiness directive 2004–08–02, dated September 3, 2004, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 3, 2005.

# Kevin M. Mullin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 05–15880 Filed 8–10–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-22062; Directorate Identifier 2003-NM-219-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-81 (MD-81), DC-9-82 (MD-82), DC-9-83 (MD-83), DC-9-87 (MD-87), MD-88, and MD-90-30 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all McDonnell Douglas airplanes identified above. This proposed AD would require a one-time inspection of the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link to determine the part number, and further investigative action and replacement of the assembly with a new or serviceable assembly, if necessary. This proposed AD results from a determination that the holes of certain aft attach fitting assemblies of the spoiler link were not cold-worked during production. We are proposing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of the aft attach fitting of the spoiler link and consequent failure of the fitting. Failure of the fitting could result in an asymmetrical lift condition and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by September 26, 2005

**ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to http://dms.dot.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- *Mail*: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.
  - By fax: (202) 493–2251.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1–L5A (D800–0024); or Aerotech Engineering, Inc., 19655 Descartes, Foothill Ranch, California 92610; for service information identified in this proposed AD.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roger Durbin, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712–4137; telephone (562) 627–5233; fax (562) 627–5210.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Include the docket number "FAA–2005–22062; Directorate Identifier 2003–NM–219–AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http:// dms.dot.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78), or you may visit http:// dms.dot.gov.

# **Examining the Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <a href="http://dms.dot.gov">http://dms.dot.gov</a>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System receives them.

# Discussion

We have received a report indicating that the holes of certain aft attach fitting assemblies of the spoiler link were not cold-worked during production. The

subject assemblies were manufactured by Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc. (AMI), under the authority of a Parts Manufacturing Approval, before January 8, 2003, and may be installed on any McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-81 (MD-81), DC-9-82 (MD-82), DC-9-83 (MD-83), DC-9-87 (MD-87), MD-88, or MD-90-30 airplanes. Cold-working of the holes increases the resistance of the fitting to fatigue cracking originating at the hole. Fatigue cracking of the aft attach fitting of the spoiler link, if not corrected, could cause the fitting to fail. Failure of the fitting could result in an asymmetrical lift condition and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

### **Relevant Service Information**

We have reviewed Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc., Service Bulletin DC9-27-01-AMI5139, Revision "A," dated June 19, 2003. The service bulletin describes procedures for a onetime inspection of the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link to determine if the assembly was manufactured by AMI. The service bulletin also describes procedures for replacement of any assembly manufactured by AMI with a new or serviceable assembly that has a lot number that is not subject to this proposed AD. Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition.

# $FAA's\ Determination\ and\ Requirements$ of the Proposed AD

We have evaluated all pertinent information and identified an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of this same type design. For this reason, we are proposing this AD, which would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under "Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Information."

# Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Information

The service information specifies that the inspection of the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link must be accomplished "at the heavy maintenance visit whereby inspection of the spoiler system can be accomplished or before 10,000 flight hours are accumulated \* \* \*." We find that this compliance time is not sufficiently precise to ensure that all affected assemblies will be inspected, and replaced if necessary, in a timely manner. Therefore, this proposed AD specifies a compliance time of prior to

the accumulation of 10,000 total flight hours on the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link, or within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever is later.

Section 1.D., "Description," of the service information describes procedures for inspecting the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link to determine if it is an AMI fitting assembly. This proposed AD would require an inspection to determine the part number and, if necessary, the lot number, of the aft attach fitting assembly. We find that determining the part number, and the lot number, if necessary, is the most conclusive way to determine whether an installed fitting assembly is affected by the requirements of this proposed AD.

#### Clarification of Date of Original Issue of Service Bulletin

Page 1 of Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc., Service Bulletin DC9–27–01– AMI5139, Revision "A," shows that the date of the original issue of that service bulletin is January 29, 2003. However, subsequent pages of Revision "A" of the service bulletin show that the date of the original issue of the service bulletin was March 26, 2002. We have determined that the correct date of the original issue of Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc., Service Bulletin DC9–27–01–AMI5139 is January 29, 2003.

## **Costs of Compliance**

There are about 1,296 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. This proposed AD would affect about 738 airplanes of U.S. registry. The proposed inspection to determine the part number of the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link would take about 1 work hour per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of this proposed inspection for U.S. operators is \$47,970, or \$65 per airplane.

# **Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

# **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

McDonnell Douglas: Docket No. FAA–2005– 22062; Directorate Identifier 2003–NM– 219–AD.

### Comments Due Date

(a) The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive comments on this AD action by September 26, 2005.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to all McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-81 (MD-81), DC-9-82 (MD-82), DC-9-83 (MD-83), DC-9-87 (MD-87), MD-88, and MD-90-30 airplanes, certificated in any category.

#### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by a determination that the holes of certain aft attach fitting assemblies of the spoiler link were not cold-worked during production. We are issuing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of the aft attach fitting of the spoiler link and consequent failure of the fitting. Failure of the fitting could result in an asymmetrical lift condition and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

#### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### Inspection

- (f) Prior to the accumulation of 10,000 total flight hours on the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link, or within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever is later: Inspect the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link to determine the part number, in accordance with Item 1.a). of Section 1.D., "Description," of Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc., Service Bulletin DC9–27–01–AMI5139, Revision "A," dated June 19, 2003.
- (1) If the part number is not AMI3954558–1 or AMI3954558–501: No further action is required by this AD, but the provisions of paragraph (h) of this AD continue to apply.
- (2) If the part number is AMI3954558–1 or AMI3954558–501: Before further flight, inspect the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link to determine the lot number, then determine if the lot number is identified in the "Lot Number" column of the table in Section 1.A.1. of the service bulletin. If the lot number is not identified in the service bulletin, no further action is required by this AD, but the provisions of paragraph (h) of this AD continue to apply.

#### Replacement

(g) If the part number of the aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link is AMI3954558–1 or AMI3954558–501, and the lot number is identified in the "Lot Number" column of the table in Section 1.A.1. of Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc., Service Bulletin DC9–27–01–AMI5139, Revision "A," dated June 19, 2003: Before further flight, replace the assembly with a new or serviceable assembly having a lot number that is not identified in the "Lot Number" column of the table in Section 1.A.1. of the service bulletin, in accordance with Item 1.b). of Section 1.D., "Description," of the service bulletin.

# **Parts Installation**

(h) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install, on any airplane, an aft attach fitting assembly of the spoiler link having part number AMI3954558–1 or AMI3954558–501, and having a lot number

identified in the "Lot Number" column of the table in Section 1.A.1. of Aerotech Manufacturing, Inc., Service Bulletin DC9–27–01–AMI5139, Revision "A," dated June 19, 2003.

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(i) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 3, 2005.

# Kevin M. Mullin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 05–15881 Filed 8–10–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

#### 20 CFR Parts 404 and 416

RIN 0960-AD78

# Revised Medical Criteria for Evaluating Endocrine Disorders

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: We are planning to update and revise the rules we use to evaluate endocrine disorders of adults and children who apply for, or receive, disability benefits under title II and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payments based on disability under title XVI of the Social Security Act (the Act). The rules we plan on revising are sections 9.00 and 109.00 in the Listing of Impairments in appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404 of our regulations (the listings). We invite you to send us comments and suggestions for updating and revising these rules.

After we have considered your comments and suggestions, as well as information about advances in medical knowledge, treatment, and methods of evaluating endocrine disorders, and our program experience, we intend to publish for public comment a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) that will propose specific revisions to the rules.

As part of our long-term planning for the disability programs, we are also interested in your ideas about how we may be able to improve our programs for people who have endocrine disorders, especially those who would like to work.

**DATES:** To be sure your comments are considered, we must receive them by October 11, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You may give us your comments by: using our Internet site