sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 1, 2005.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–4258 Filed 8–5–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [C-475-827]

#### Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Italy: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On January 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain cut-to-length carbonquality steel plate from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, as well as inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

## **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 8, 2005. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Tipten Troidl or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1767 or (202) 482–4136, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On January 3, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on certain cut—to-length carbon—quality steel plate from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). The Department

received a Notice of Intent to Participate from the following domestic interested parties: Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"), Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc. ("Mittal") (formerly International Steel Group Inc.), IPSCO Steel Inc. ("IPSCO"), and United States Steel Corporation ("U.S. Steel") (collectively, "domestic interested parties") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act. Moreover, the Department received one complete collective substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).

The Department also received responses from: ILVA S.p.A. ("ILVA"), the European Commission ("EC"), and the Government of Italy ("GOI") (collectively, "respondent interested parties"). The Department found that ILVA's imports did not fulfill the 50percent threshold that the Department considers to be an adequate response under 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A). Therefore, on March 23, 2005, the Department issued a memorandum finding the respondent's response inadequate. See March 23, 2005, Memorandum for Ronald K. Lorentzen through Kelly Parkhill from Hilary E. Sadler, Subject: Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Italy: Determination of Adequacy of Response ("Adequacy Response Memorandum"). Because the Department found that the respondent interested parties' responses were inadequate, the Department conducted an expedited review of this CVD order, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

The Department determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Act, that the sunset review of the CVD order on certain cut—to-length carbon—quality steel plate from Italy is extraordinarily complicated. Therefore, on April 25, 2005, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this review until not later than August 1, 2005.<sup>1</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the CVD order is certain hot–rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal mill plates (i.e., flat–rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a nominal or actual

thickness of not less than 4 mm, which are cut-to-length (not in coils) and without patterns in relief), of iron or non-alloy-quality steel; and (2) flatrolled products, hot-rolled, of a nominal or actual thickness of 4.75 mm or more and of a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness, and which are cut-to-length (not in coils). Steel products to be included in the scope of this order are of rectangular, square, circular or other shape and of rectangular or nonrectangular cross-section where such non-rectangular cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been "worked after rolling")--for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Steel products that meet the noted physical characteristics that are painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances are included within this scope. Also, specifically included in this scope are high strength, low alloy ("HSLA") steels. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with microalloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, titanium, vanadium, and molybdenum.

Steel products to be included in this scope, regardless of Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") definitions, are products in which: (1) iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements, (2) the carbon content is two percent or less, by weight, and (3) none of the elements listed below is equal to or exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, or 1.50 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.41 percent of titanium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent zirconium. All products that meet the written physical description, and in which the chemistry quantities do not equal or exceed any one of the levels listed above, are within the scope of these investigations unless otherwise specifically excluded. The following products are specifically excluded from these investigations: (1) products clad, plated, or coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances; (2) SAE grades (formerly AISI grades) of series 2300 and above; (3) products made to ASTM A710 and A736 or their proprietary equivalents; (4) abrasion- resistant steels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan and Korea; Extension of Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 70 FR 22843 (May 3, 2005).

(i.e., USS AR 400, USS AR 500); (5) products made to ASTM A202, A225, A514 grade S, A517 grade S, or their proprietary equivalents; (6) ball bearing steels; (7) tool steels; and (8) silicon manganese steel or silicon electric steel. The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable in the HTSUS under subheadings: 7208.40.3030, 7208.40.3060, 7208.51.0030, 7208.51.0045, 7208.51.0060, 7208.52.0000, 7208.53.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.13.0000, 7211.14.0030, 7211.14.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7225.40.3050, 7225.40.7000, 7225.50.6000, 7225.99.0090, 7226.91.5000, 7226.91.7000, 7226.91.8000, 7226.99.0000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this order.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum'') from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 1, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Producers/Exporters	Net Countervailable Subsidy (percent)
ILVA S.p.A	2.38 De minimis 2.38

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO")

of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 1, 2005.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–4259 Filed 8–5–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[Docket No. 041029298-5209-04; I.D. 052004A]

RIN 0648-AS38

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fishing Capacity Reduction Program; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; California, Washington, and Oregon Fisheries for Coastal Dungeness Crab and Pink Shrimp

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of fee effective date.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues this establishing the effective date of fees for repaying the \$35,662,471 reduction loan financing the Pacific Coast groundfish fishing capacity reduction program.

**DATES:** The groundfish program fee payment collection will begin on September 8, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Send questions about this notice to Michael L. Grable, Chief, Financial Services Division, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910–3282.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Grable, (301) 713–2390. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### SOFFELMENTANT IN ORMATION

#### I. Background

Sections 312(b)-(e) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1861a(b) through (e)) is the general authority for fishing capacity reduction programs. In particular, section 312(d) authorizes industry fee systems for repaying reduction loans which finance reduction program costs.

Subpart L of 50 CFR part 600 is the framework rule generally implementing section 312(b)-(e).

Sections 1111 and 1112 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 App. U.S.C. 1279f and 1279g) generally authorizes loans financing reduction programs.

Enacted on February 20, 2003, section 212 of Division B, Title II, of Public Law 108–7 (section 212) specifically authorizes a fishing capacity reduction program for that portion of the limited entry trawl fishery under the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan whose permits, excluding those registered to whiting catcher-processors are endorsed for trawl gear operation. This is the program's reduction fishery.

The groundfish reduction program's objective was to reduce the number of vessels and permits endorsed for the operation of groundfish trawl gear. The program also involved corollary fishing capacity reduction in the California, Oregon, and Washington fisheries for Dungeness crab and pink shrimp. These are the program's fee-share fisheries.

All post-reduction fish landings from the reduction fishery and the six feeshare fisheries are subject to the groundfish program's fee. The object of this notice is to establish the effective date of the fee which fish sellers must pay and fish buyers must collect on all fee fish landed from these seven fisheries.

NMFS implemented the groundfish program by Federal Register notification (rather than by the more usual regulatory method). NMFS proposed the implementing notice on May 28, 2003 (68 FR 31653) and published the final notice on July 18, 2003 (68 FR 42613). Please refer to the final notice for groundfish program details.

NMFS allocated the \$35,662,471 reduction loan to the reduction fishery and to each of the six fee-share fisheries as follows:

- 1. Reduction fishery, \$28,428,719; and
- 2. Fee-share fisheries:
- a. California coastal Dungeness crab fishery, \$2,334,334,
- b. Čalifornia pink shrimp fishery, \$674,202,
- c. Oregon coastal Dungeness crab fishery, \$1,367,545,
- d. Oregon pink shrimp fishery, \$2,228.845.
- e. Washington coastal Dungeness crab fishery, \$369,426, and
- f. Washington pink shrimp fishery, \$259,400.

Each of these allocations became a reduction loan subamount repayable by