

Education Programs at the July 30, 2005 deadline.

**Michael McDonald,**

*Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-15175 Filed 8-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), and as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the National Science Foundation (NSF) is inviting the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this proposed continuing information collection. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the **Federal Register** at 70 FR 20937 and one comment was received. NSF is forwarding the proposed submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice.

**DATES:** Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received by OMB within 30 days of publication in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NSF, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of NSF's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or

send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Copies of the submission may be obtained by calling (703) 292-7556.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Suzanne H. Plimpton, NSF Reports Clearance Officer at (703) 292-7556 or send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Comment:* On April 22, 2005, we published in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 20937) a 60-day notice of our intent to request renewal of this information collection authority from OMB. In that notice, we solicited public comments for 60 days ending June 21, 2005. One comment was received from the public notice. The comment came from B. Sachau of Floram Park, NJ., via e-mail on April 30, 2005. Ms. Sachau had no specific suggestions for altering the data collection, other than to express a desire for it to end.

*Response:* NSF believes that because the comment does not pertain to the collection of information or the required forms for which NSF is seeking OMB approval, NSF is proceeding with the clearance request.

*Title of Collection:* Cross-Project Evaluation of The National Science Foundation's Local Systemic Change Through Teacher Enhancement Program (LSC).

*OMB Approval Number:* 3145-0161.

*Abstract:* The National Science Foundation (NSF) requests a three-year extension for evaluation and data collection (e.g., surveys and interviews) from participants in projects funded by the Local Systemic Change (LSC) through Teacher Enhancement (TE) program. This recurring study or "Cross-Project Evaluation" was most recently approved through July 2005 (OMB 345-0161). The LSC program is a large-scale effort to modify the nature of teacher in-service training (also called professional development) provided to science and mathematics teachers in a large number of school districts across the United States. NSF provided each individual project with a grant(s) of up to \$6 million.

Data collection from the NSF-funded LSC projects has been going on for a long number of years. The surveys and interview protocols are part of a

longitudinal data collection used for program-wide monitoring and evaluation of the remaining LSC projects. The universe of LSC projects the last time this collection was renewed was 72. The current universe for this study of LSC projects is 15. NSF does not anticipate making new project awards under the LSC program. As in the past each of the projects will administer teacher and principal questionnaires (surveys) at appropriate times during the school year based on each the evaluation's design.

Horizon Research, Inc. maintains survey responses in a database designed to provide information and reports on LSC projects for individual project accountability and for overall assessment to help NSF judge program effectiveness. Horizon's data analysis and reports are useful both to the projects themselves for self-assessments and to the NSF in order to help to measure the LSC program's performance. In particular, NSF uses these data to respond to requests from Committees of Visitors, Congress and the Office of Management and Budget, particularly as related to the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the Program Effectiveness Rating Tool (PART).

Horizon's reports to NSF deal with the characteristics and performance of the LSC program and include tables and charts generated from the database. The LSC study's broad questions addressed by data analysis include (but are not limited to):

What is the impact of the LSC projects on science and mathematics curriculum, instruction, and assessment? How do participant reports of instructional practice change over the course of the LSC projects? How do participant reports of assessment practice change over the course of the projects? How do teacher and principal beliefs about effective science and mathematics instruction change over the course of the NSF-funding for the projects? What is the overall quality of the professional development activities? How do participants rate various aspects of professional development experiences provided by the projects? What is the extent of teacher involvement in these projects?

*Respondents:* Individuals or households, and not-for-profit institutions.

*Number of Respondents:* 5650.

*Burden on the Public:* 1870 hours.

Dated: July 27, 2005.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 05-15225 Filed 8-1-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

### **Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 396, "Certification of Medical Examination by Facility Licensee".

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0024.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Upon application for an initial operator license, every six years for the renewal of operator or senior operator license, and upon notices of disability.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Facility licensees who are tasked with certifying the medical fitness of an applicant or licensee.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 137.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 758 (288 hours for reporting (.25 hours per response) and 470 hours for recordkeeping (3.4 hours per recordkeeper)).

7. *Abstract:* NRC Form 396 is used to transmit information to the NRC regarding the medical condition of applicants for initial operator licenses or renewal of operator licenses and for the maintenance of medical records for all licensed operators. The information is used to determine whether the physical condition and general health of applicants for operator licensees is such that the applicant would not be expected to cause operational errors and endanger public health and safety.

Submit, by October 3, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo Shelton (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail to [infocollects@nrc.gov](mailto:infocollects@nrc.gov).

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of July 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda Jo Shelton,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.*

[FR Doc. E5-4104 Filed 8-1-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]**

### **Exelon Generation Company, LLC, PSEG Nuclear LLC, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3; Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Facility Operating Licenses and Conforming Amendments and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an order under title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), section 50.80 approving the transfer of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, to the extent currently held by PSEG Nuclear LLC with respect to its ownership interests in the plants to Exelon

Generation Company, LLC. Exelon Generation Company, LLC, is the licensed operator of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. PSEG Nuclear LLC and Exelon Generation Company, LLC, currently each own 50 percent of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. The transfer of PSEG Nuclear's ownership interests to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, is part of the proposed merger of PSEG Nuclear LLC's indirect parent corporation, Public Service Enterprise Group into Exelon Corporation, the indirect parent company of Exelon Generation Company, LLC. The Commission is also considering amending the licenses for administrative purposes to reflect the proposed transfer.

According to an application for approval filed by Exelon Generation Company, LLC, on behalf of itself and PSEG Nuclear LLC, Exelon Generation Company, LLC, would own 100 percent of the facility following approval of the proposed license transfers. There would be no change with regard to Exelon Generation Company, LLC's, operation of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. No physical changes to the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, facility or operational changes are being proposed in the application.

The proposed amendments would replace references to PSEG Nuclear LLC in the license with references to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, as necessary, to reflect the proposed transfer.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. The Commission will approve an application for the transfer of a license, if the Commission determines that the proposed transferee is qualified to hold the license, and that the transfer is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission pursuant thereto.

Before issuance of the proposed conforming license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

As provided in 10 CFR 2.1315, unless otherwise determined by the Commission with regard to a specific application, the Commission has determined that any amendment to the license of a utilization facility which does no more than conform the license to reflect the transfer action involves no