

public and, more specifically, low-income workers, women, small business owners, minorities, youth, and older workers;

3. Success stories and model programs that have used effective communication techniques to educate low-income workers, women, minority groups, youth, and older workers about the need to save and steps that should be taken to save for retirement;

4. Measurement techniques used to assess the effectiveness of public outreach and media efforts regarding retirement savings; and

5. Ideas for creating new partnerships among public and private sector organizations to enhance existing programs for encouraging retirement savings.

Submitted comments may address any or all of the aforementioned categories of information and need not be limited to those categories. In submitting comments, please refer to the pertinent topic addressed by the comment by number. Comments must be received by July 5, 2005 to be considered in conjunction with developing the agenda for the 2006 National Summit.

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1143; Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1-87, 52 FR 13139.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of June, 2005.

**Bradford P. Campbell,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Secretary Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05-11438 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,053]

#### Armstrong Wood Products, Flooring Division, Nashville, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 26, 2005 in response to a petition filed by the AFL-CIO on behalf of workers at Armstrong Wood Products, Flooring Division, Nashville, Tennessee.

The petitioners have requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of May 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2951 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,299, TA-W-56,299A, TA-W-56,299B, TA-W-56,299C]

#### Atlas Textile Company, Inc., Commerce, CA, Including Employees of Atlas Textile Company, Inc. Commerce, CA, Located in: Sunlakes, AZ; Irving TX; Westwood, NJ; Amended Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on February 8, 2005, applicable to workers of Atlas Textile Company, Inc., Commerce, California. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 9, 2005 (70 FR 11704).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations have occurred involving employees of the Commerce, California facility of Atlas Textile Company, Inc. located in Sunlakes, Arizona, Irving, Texas and Westwood, New Jersey. These employees provided sales support services for the production of coordinated bed sheet sets, comforter sets, kitchen and bath towel sets and displays at the Commerce, California location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of the Commerce, California facility of Atlas Textile Company, Inc. located in Sunlakes, Arizona, Irving, Texas and Westwood, New Jersey.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Atlas Textile Company, Inc., Commerce, California who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-56,299 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Atlas Textile Company, Inc., Commerce, California (TA-W-56,299), including employees of Atlas Textile Company, Inc., Commerce, California, located in Sunlakes, Arizona (TA-W-56,299A), Irving, Texas (TA-W-56,299B), and Westwood, New Jersey (TA-W-56,299C), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 4, 2004, through February 8, 2007, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of May 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2945 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,061]

#### Copland Fabrics, Inc. Burlington, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 27, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Copland Fabrics, Inc., Burlington, North Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of May 2005.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2952 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,030]

#### Dorby Frocks, New York, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 22, 2005 in response to a petition filed by New York, New York, DOL Workforce Development and Training Division on behalf of workers at Dorby Frock, New York, New York.

The Department issued negative determinations (TA-W-56,599, 56,240

and 55,863) applicable to the petitioning group of workers on March 29, 2005, January 21, 2005 and November 18, 2004, respectively. No new information or change in circumstances is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determinations. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 20th day of May 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2950 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,969]

#### ESCO Integrated Manufacturing, a Division of ESCO Corporation, Tempe, AZ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 14, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at ESCO Integrated Manufacturing, a division of ESCO Corporation, Tempe, Arizona.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 25th day of May 2005.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2948 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,479]

#### Hoffmaster, Subsidiary of Solo Cup Company, Green Bay, WI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of May 5, 2005, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment

Assistance (ATAA). The denial notice was signed on April 1, 2005 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22710).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Hoffmaster, Subsidiary of Solo Company, Green Bay, Wisconsin engaged in production of napkins, placemats, and table covers was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's declining customers. The survey of customers was irrelevant in this case as the investigation revealed that sales of napkins, placemats and tablecovers increased at the subject firm during the relevant time period. Nevertheless, the survey was conducted in the initial investigation. The survey revealed an insignificant amount of imports. The subject firm did not import napkins, placemats and tablecovers in the relevant period, nor did it shift production to a foreign country.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleges that the layoffs at the subject firm are attributable to a shift in production to a foreign country. Specifically, the petitioner mentions several locations where the subject firm has plants and which might be foreign locations, such as El Cajon, Glen Falls, Goshen and St. Albans.

A company official was contacted regarding the above allegations. The company official confirmed what was revealed during the initial investigation. In particular, the official stated that all the products which were produced at the subject facility are now produced at other domestic facilities. He further clarified that all locations mentioned by the petitioner are domestic facilities—El Cajon in California, Glen Falls in New York, Goshen in Indiana and St. Albans in Vermont.

## Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of May, 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2946 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,009]

#### New Age Intimates, Inc., Long Island City, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 19, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at New Age Intimates, Inc., Long Island City, New York.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of May, 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2949 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,663]

#### Sohnen Enterprises, Inc., Santa Fe Springs, CA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Sohn Enterprises, Inc., Santa Fe Springs, California. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's