FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carol Gore, (202) 366–4013, Office of Safety Programs, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 12007(e) of the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act (CMVSA) of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-570) specified four entities authorized to access information from CDLIS. These entities were the Secretary of Transportation, the States, an employer or prospective employer of a person who operates a commercial motor vehicle (CMV), and a person who operates a CMV for an employer that owns or leases a CMV or assigns employees to operate a CMV (49 U.S.C. § 31309(e)). This provision remained in effect until Congress passed TEA-21 (Pub. L. 105-85) and revised the access provision through sections 4004(a) and 4011(d) (5) (codified at 49 U.S.C. 31106(e) and 31309(c), respectively). Sections 4004(a) and 4011(d)(5) of TEA-21 expanded CDLIS access by requiring the Secretary of Transportation to develop a policy on making information available from CDLIS. The policy must conform to existing Federal information laws and regulations.

Privacy Act Applicability

The Privacy Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-579, as amended) regulates Federal information system practices regarding the collection, maintenance, dissemination and use of records by Federal executive branch agencies. CDLIS is not a Federal "system of records," as defined by the Privacy Act because the records in CDLIS are not controlled by FMCSA. Federal agencies obtaining access to CDLIS records may be subject to the Privacy Act, if they establish a system of records with the information obtained from CDLIS. Such agencies may also need to provide a process for review and correction of those records.

Availability of Information From CDLIS

Section 12007(c) of the CMVSA directed the Secretary to establish an information system, now known as CDLIS, to exchange commercial driver licensing information among all the States. CDLIS includes the databases of fifty-one licensing jurisdictions and the CDLIS Central Site, all connected by a telecommunications network.

The CDL program was designed based on these fundamental principles—that no person who operates a commercial motor vehicle shall at any time have more than one driver's license, that one license shall contain that person's complete driving record, and that the licensing State shall be notified of any convictions of violations of any motor vehicle control laws in any other State. CDLIS supports these principles by providing the Central Site, the telecommunications network, and the operating protocols States need to exchange commercial license, conviction and safety information on individual CDL drivers. Drivers who wish to review and, if necessary, correct information about them in CDLIS must contact the State agency that issued their license.

FMCSA Policy on Availability of Information From CDLIS

It is FMCSA's policy that another Federal agency may request access to information in CDLIS by written submission to FMCSA's Chief Safety Officer. In the request, the applicant must state the legal basis and the need for access to CDLIS. A Federal agency will be required to execute a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Department of Transportation and/or FMCSA before access to CDLIS data will be provided.

Issued on: January 7, 2005.

Annette M. Sandberg,

Administrator. [FR Doc. 05–669 Filed 1–12–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Safety Advisory 2005–01; Position of Switches in Non-Signaled Territory

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of safety advisory.

SUMMARY: FRA is issuing Safety Advisory 2005–01 to advise all railroads to review their operating rules and take certain other action necessary to ensure that train crews who operate manual (hand-operated) main track switches in non-signaled territory restore the switches to their normal position after use. FRA intends this advisory to reduce the risk of serious injury or death both to railroad employees and the general public due to not restoring such switches to their normal position after use.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas H. Taylor, Staff Director, Operating Practices Division, Office of Safety Assurance and Compliance, FRA, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., RRS–11, Mail Stop 25, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone (202) 493–6255). SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Factual Background

A review of FRA's accident/incident data shows that, overall, the safety of rail transportation continues to improve. However, FRA has particular concern that recent accidents on Class I railroads in non-signaled territory were caused, or apparently caused, by the failure of railroad employees to return manual (hand-operated) main track switches to their normal position, *i.e.*, lined for the main track, after use. As a result, rather than continuing their intended movement on the main track, trains approaching these switches in a facingpoint direction were unexpectedly diverted from the main track onto the diverging route, and consequently derailed. Most recently:

• On January 8, 2005, a Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) freight train was unexpectedly diverted onto an industrial track in Bieber, California. The BNSF train struck two loaded grain cars, derailing seven locomotives and 14 cars. Two railroad employees were injured. Initial damages to equipment and track are in excess of \$970,000.

• On January 6, 2005, a Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) freight train was apparently unexpectedly diverted from the main track onto an industrial lead in Graniteville, South Carolina. The NS train struck a standing train on the industrial lead, derailing three locomotives and 16 cars. One of the derailed cars that contained chlorine ruptured and released product. As a result, eight citizens and one railroad employee were killed, 5,400 local residents remain evacuated, and 234 people have sought medical treatment. The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) began its investigation immediately and will not make its findings of probable cause for some time. FRA has representatives at the site assisting in the investigation. By stating here its preliminary impression of what may have contributed to this tragic accident, FRA in no way intends to supersede the NTSB's thorough and painstaking efforts that will ultimately lead to its official findings of cause.

FRA's regulations (49 CFR part 217) require each railroad to instruct its employees on the meaning and application of its code of operating rules, and to periodically test its employees to determine their level of compliance. Railroad operating rules provide that the normal position for a main track switch is lined and locked for movement on the main track. Another related rule provides that, where trains or engines are required to report clear of the main track, such a report must not be made until the switch and derail, if any, have been secured in the normal position. Where no signal or other system is in service that indicates, through wayside or cab signals, or both, the possibility that a main track switch may be in other than its normal position, compliance with these railroad operating rules is the critical element in ensuring route integrity for main track movements.

Failure to comply with these important operating rules is the result of various causes. Difficulties may be especially likely to arise where a train crew has exclusive authority to occupy a specific track segment until they release it for other movements, but due to inattention to duty, their train does not return to a main track switch that they may have inadvertently left lined for movement to a secondary track before going off duty. Some railroads have very recently amended their operating rules to address this issue. Two recent examples are:

• On October 1, 2004, Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) adopted a requirement that before reporting clear of the limits of a track warrant, the crewmember releasing the track warrant must first advise the train dispatcher that main track switches have been restored to their normal position. The train dispatching system prompts the dispatcher to request this information if it has not been provided by the crew. The change was made because of a collision that occurred at Thomaston, Texas, on September 29, 2004. A Texas Mexican Railway Company (TM) crew released their main track authority, in this case a track warrant, without verifying that the north siding switch was properly lined for the main track. A southbound UP train entered the siding and collided with the unattended TM train. The change was issued by System General Order and was a change to General Code of Operating Rules, Rule 14.7, Reporting Clear of Limits.

• On October 31, 2004, BNSF adopted a requirement that the train crew report to the train dispatcher the position of the switch that the train is using to clear the main track when releasing the limits of their track warrant. The dispatching system will not allow a track warrant to be cleared until the dispatcher confirms the switch position through a job briefing with the crew. The change was not made because of any specific incident, but rather as the result of a recommendation from BNSF's Northern California Division Safety Team. The BNSF Team had some concerns on a particular subdivision involving crews forgetting to line back main track switches and asked BNSF's System Rules Department to adopt a rule change to eliminate the potential for this oversight. The Rules Department then issued this change across BNSF's system.

Recommended Actions

The recent accidents have convinced FRA that, on an industry-wide basis, railroad operating rules need to be strengthened, clarified and reemphasized so as to ensure that all main track switches are returned to their normal position after use, irrespective of whether or not the crew releases (clears) the track warrant at that time. Furthermore, it is essential that all crewmembers communicate to each other the fact that all main track switches have been properly restored after their use. Since this is strictly an issue of ensuring that employees remember to perform a simple but crucially important duty, FRA believes that additional procedures that serve as reminders of that duty may be of great value.

Accordingly, FRA strongly urges all railroads to immediately:

1. Ensure that their operating rules contain a provision, similar to that established on BNSF and UP, as described above, that clearly requires train crews who operate manual (handoperated) main track switches in nonsignaled territory to report to the dispatcher that the main track switches have been restored to normal position, before reporting clear of the limits of main track authority, such as a track warrant.

2. Require the conductor of a train crew operating in non-signaled territory to complete and sign a Switch Position Awareness Form (Form). FRA recommends that the Form be completed in ink and contain the train symbol, date, subdivision, conductor's and engineer's names, and a listing by name and location of each main track switch operated by any member of the crew. The listing should contain the switch location and name, the time the switch was reversed, the time the switch was returned to the normal position, and the initials of the conductor and the engineer. Entries made with respect to a specific main track switch must be completed by the conductor as soon as possible after the switch is reversed and as soon as possible after the switch is returned to its normal position. The engineer's initials on the Form are intended to serve as a cross-check measure to reflect that the engineer has been advised, through a job briefing

with the conductor, that the main track switch or switches have been restored to their normal position. The engineer's initials should be affixed to the Form as soon as practicable after the main track switch has been restored to its normal position. All initials required on the Form must be entered before any member of the crew reports clear of the limits of the main track authority.

3. Require that, at the completion of each trip or tour of duty, the original Form be submitted to the designated railroad official(s) as directed.

4. Require that railroad officers review the completed Forms for accuracy. The results of these reviews should be incorporated into the railroad's operational tests and inspections program as required by 49 CFR 217.9.

5. Ensure immediate dissemination of guidance on these revised rules and procedures and of the necessary Forms to all affected operating personnel.

FRA is considering the need for any additional action to address this situation, such as regulatory action or additional advisories. We are considering the form that any additional action might take, its specific content, and any necessary variations based on differing types of operations. FRA's operating practices inspectors will determine the extent to which railroads have taken action in accordance with the measures recommended in this advisory. These findings will be one important factor in determining FRA's future course of action. We are committed to taking whatever action appears necessary to prevent any further death or serious injury that might arise from additional failures to comply with the basic operating rules concerning the proper positioning of main track switches.

In the meantime, all railroads are strongly urged to immediately adopt and comply with the measures recommended in this advisory.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 10, 2005.

Robert D. Jamison,

Acting Administrator, Federal Railroad Administration. [FR Doc. 05–834 Filed 1–11–05; 2:37 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P