

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-PCX-2005-27 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-PCX-2005-27. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted

without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-PCX-2005-27 and should be submitted on or before May 25, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁰

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-2170 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 5021]

Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs; Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (Committee Renewal)

Summary: On March 30, 2005, the Department of State renewed the Charter of the Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) for an additional two years.

Effective Date: Upon Publication.

For Further Information Contact:

David F. Hogan, IATTC GAC Designated Federal Official, Office of Marine Conservation, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, U.S. Department of State, Washington DC 20520, Phone: 202-647-2335.

Supplementary Information: The IATTC was established pursuant to the Convention for the Establishment of an Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, signed in 1949. The purpose of the Commission is to conserve and manage the fisheries and associated resources of the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. The United States is represented to the IATTC by the U.S. Section, which includes four Presidentially-appointed Commissioners and a Department of State representative.

The General Advisory Committee to the United States Section of the IATTC was established pursuant to Section 4 of the Tuna Conventions Act of 1950 (16 U.S.C. 953, as amended), the implementing statute for the IATTC Convention. The goal of the Advisory Committee is to serve the U.S. Section to the IATTC, the Department of State, and other agencies of the U.S.

¹⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Government as advisors on matters relating to international conservation and management of stocks of tuna and dolphins in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean, and in particular on the development of U.S. policy and positions associated with such matters.

The Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section of the IATTC may be terminated only by law. In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), a new Charter must be issued on a biennial basis from the date the current Charter was approved and filed with Congress and the Library of Congress. The current Charter expired in 2004 due to staff changes.

The Committee is composed of representatives of the major U.S. tuna harvesting, processing, and marketing sectors. Additionally, Committee membership includes representatives of recreational fishing interests and environmental interests formulating specific U.S. policy recommendations and positions.

The Advisory Committee will continue to follow the procedure prescribed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). Meetings will continue to be open to the public unless a determination is made in accordance with Section 10 of the FACA, 5 U.S.C. Secs. 552b(c)(1) and (4), that a meeting or a portion of the meeting should be closed to the public. Notice of each meeting continues to be provided for publication in the **Federal Register** as far in advance as possible prior to the meeting.

Dated: April 11, 2005.

David A. Balton,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Oceans and Fisheries, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 05-8877 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed the Week Ending April 22, 2005

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

Docket Number: OST-2005-21049.

Date Filed: April 20, 2005.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject:

PTC3 0860 dated 22 April 2005.

Mail Vote 446—Resolution 010p—TC3 Special Passenger.
Amending Resolution between Japan and China excluding Hong Kong SAR and Macao SAR r1–r9.
Intended effective date: 25 April 2005.

Renee V. Wright,

*Acting Program Manager, Docket Operations,
Alternate Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 05–8868 Filed 5–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad
Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Third notice and request for
comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Requirements (ICRs) abstracted below have been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICRs describes the nature of the information collections and their expected burdens. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on September 22, 2004 (69 FR 56819). An earlier **Federal Register** notice with a 30-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on December 2, 2004 (69 FR 70167).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 3, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS–21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6292), or Mr. Victor Angelo, Office of Support Systems, RAD–20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6470). (These telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13, § 2, 109 Stat. 163 (1995) (codified as revised at 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR Part 1320, require Federal agencies to issue

two notices seeking public comment on information collection activities before OMB may approve paperwork packages. 44 U.S.C. 3506, 3507; 5 CFR 1320.5, 1320.8(d)(1), 1320.12. On September 22, 2004, FRA published a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comment on ICRs that the agency was seeking OMB approval. 69 FR 56819. FRA received two comments after issuing this notice. On December 2, 2004, FRA published a first 30-day notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comment on ICRs that the agency was seeking OMB approval. 69 FR 70167. FRA received no comments in response to this notice. Because of delays in providing information regarding the methodology of the proposed collection of information to the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) and to the Office of the Chief Information Officer (CIO) in U.S. Department of Transportation, FRA is publishing this second 30-day notice to provide another opportunity for timely comment.

In response to the 60-day notice, the first comment (letter) came from The Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen (BLET), which represents both locomotive engineers and trainmen. BLET expressed its wholehearted support for the proposed study. In his letter, Don M. Hahs, the President of BLET, observed:

BLET, and others, believe the collection of this data will provide greater insight into the probability of safety related injury associated with these [critical incident] events. Given the fact that the frequency of these events may result in locomotive engineers experiencing several of them in their careers, the FRA and industry can be benefited in understanding the scope of this concern. The proposed data collection and purpose for which it is being collected can provide non-regulatory and preemptive approaches that may mitigate the negative effects to safety and health associated with Post-Traumatic Stress.

Mr. M. Hahs further remarked:

The identification of “best practices” for Critical Incident Stress Debriefing programs, as proposed in the study, will allow the transportation community to learn a great deal. The eventual publication of the study has the potential to add to the body of research of this recognized problem and will add value for the scientific community with no burden to the society at large. Therefore, the BLET encourages FRA to move forward with the proposed study and seek approval from the Office of Management and Budget as soon as possible.

BLET did address the paperwork burden for this proposed collection of information. Mr. Hahs noted: “BLET considers the estimates of the burden of information collection activities, its

methodologies, and assumptions to be valid.”

The Union Pacific Railroad also expressed its support for the project. In his comment (letter), Dr. Dennis W. Holland, Director, Occupational Health Psychology, Union Pacific Safety Department, stated the following:

The study is timely and of significant interest to the rail industry. UPRR is a pioneer in the development and implementation of Peer Support programs for employees involved in critical incidents. We believe the proposed study will benefit both the railroads and railroad labor by providing information on how best to respond to critical incidents. In addition, the information provided by the proposed study will enable railroad professionals to best use resources to assist employees dealing with tragic events.

There is no cost for materials to study participants, and the total burden hours are minimal. It should also be noted that FRA and its contractor, University of Denver, have been in contact with representatives of the Association of American Railroads (AAR), the BLET, and the United Transportation Union (UTU) from the beginning concerning the need for this study and the proposed procedures. These representatives have made several useful suggestions, which have been incorporated into the design of this study. Several useful suggestions were also provided, and used, by members of the CISD resource group—an entity established to assist in the development of this study. This resource group consists of representatives from the AAR, BLE, UTU, and several Class I and short line carriers. Finally, a team of epidemiologists and statisticians from reputable universities and establishments, including Yale University, the University of California at San Francisco, Colorado State University (Fort Collins), the University of Denver, the Denver VA Medical Center, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and individuals from the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, reviewed the sampling plan of the proposed study and offered useful recommendations and feedback.

Before OMB decides whether to approve this proposed collection of information, it must provide 30 days for public comment. 44 U.S.C. 3507(b); 5 CFR 1320.12(d). Federal law requires OMB to approve or disapprove paperwork packages between 30 and 60 days after the 30 day notice is published. 44 U.S.C. 3507 (b)–(c); 5 CFR 1320.12(d); *see also* 60 FR 44978, 44983, Aug. 29, 1995. OMB believes that the 30 day notice informs the regulated