

the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received by June 21, 2005, to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by e-mail to splimpto@nsf.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292-7556 or send e-mail to splimpto@nsf.gov.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Clearance for a Cross-Project Evaluation of the National Science Foundation's Directorate for Education and Human Resources' Local Systemic Change through Teacher Enhancement Program (LSC).

Title of Collection

OMB Control No.: 3145-0161.

Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

Abstract: The National Science Foundation (NSF) requests a three-year extension for evaluation and data collection (e.g., surveys and interviews) from participants in projects funded by the Local Systemic Change (LSC) through Teacher Enhancement (TE) program. This recurring study or "cross-Project Evaluation" was most recently approved through July 2005 (OMB 345-0161). The LSC program is a large-scale effort to modify the nature of teacher in-service training (also called professional development) provided to science and mathematics teachers in a large number of school districts across the United States. NSF provided each individual project with a grant(s) of up to \$6 million.

Data collection from the NSF-funded LSC projects has been going on for a

long number of years. The surveys and interview protocols are part of a longitudinal data collection used for program-wide monitoring and evaluation of the remaining LSC projects. The universe of LSC projects the last time this collection was renewed was 72. The current universe for this study of LSC projects is 15. NSF does not anticipate making new project awards under the LSC program. As in the past each of the projects will administer teacher and principal questionnaires (surveys) at appropriate times during the school year based on each the evaluation's design.

Horizon Research, Inc. maintains survey responses in a database designed to provide information and reports on LSC projects for individual project accountability and for overall assessment to help NSF judge program effectiveness. Horizon's data analysis and reports are useful both to the projects themselves for self-assessments and to the NSF in order to help to measure the LSC program's performance. In particular, NSF uses these data to respond to requests from Committees of Visitors, Congress and the Office of Management and Budget, particularly as related to the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the Program Effectiveness Rating Tool (PART).

Horizon's reports to NSF deal with the characteristics and performance of the LSC program and include tables and charts generated from the database. The LSC study's broad questions addressed by data analysis include (but are not limited to):

What is the impact of the LSC projects on science and mathematics curriculum, instruction, and assessment? How do participant reports of instructional practice change over the course of the LSC projects? How do participant reports of assessment practice change over the course of the projects? How do teacher and principal beliefs about effective science and mathematics instruction change over the course of the NSF-funding for the projects? What is the overall quality of the professional development activities? How do participants rate various aspects of professional development experiences provided by the projects? What is the extent of teacher involvement in these projects?

Respondents: Individuals or households, and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 5,650.

Burden on the Public: 1,870 hours.

Dated: April 18, 2005.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 05-8060 Filed 4-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370]

McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2; Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on April 12, 2005 (70 FR 19110), that corrects Amendment Nos. 227 and 207 for Duke Energy Corporation, Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370, McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James J. Shea, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone (301) 415-1388, e-mail: jjjs@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 19118, in the third column, Amendment Nos.: 227 and 207, should have read Amendment Nos.: 225 and 207.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of April 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Ledyard B. Marsh,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E5-1904 Filed 4-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-305]

Nuclear Management Company, LLC, Notice of Correction to Biweekly Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on April 12, 2005 (70 FR 19122). The correct date of issuance should be "March 24, 2005" instead of "March 17, 2005." Also, the safety evaluation

should be dated "March 24, 2005." This action is necessary to correct an erroneous date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Lyon, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone (301) 415-2296, e-mail: CFL@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 19122, in the second column, in the second paragraph, seventh line, it is corrected to read from "March 17, 2005" to "[March 24, 2005]." Also, on the same page and column, the fifth paragraph down, the third line should read "Safety Evaluation dated March 24, 2005."

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of April, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Carl F. Lyon,

Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E5-1905 Filed 4-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; April 21, 2005 Public Hearing

OPIC's Sunshine Act notice of its Public Hearing in Conjunction with each board meeting was published in the **Federal Register** (Volume 70, Number 65, Page 17482) on April 6, 2005. No requests were received to provide testimony or submit written statements for the record; therefore, OPIC's public hearing in conjunction with OPIC's April 28, 2005 Board of Directors meeting scheduled for 10 a.m. on April 28, 2005 has been cancelled.

CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:

Information on the hearing cancellation may be obtained from Connie M. Downs at (202) 336-8438, via facsimile at (202) 218-0136, or via e-mail at cdown@opic.gov.

Dated: April 20, 2005.

Connie M. Downs,

OPIC Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-8182 Filed 4-20-05; 10:47 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: RI 38-45

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of a revised information collection. RI 38-45, We Need the Social Security Number of the Person Named Below, is used by the Civil Service Retirement System and the Federal Employees Retirement System to identify the records of individuals with similar or the same names. It is also needed to report payments to the Internal Revenue Service.

Approximately 3,000 RI 38-45 forms are completed annually. Each form requires approximately 5 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 250 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, fax (202) 418-3251 or via e-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Pamela S. Israel, Chief, Operations Support Group, Retirement Services Programs, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415; and Joseph F. Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Publications Team, RIS Support Services/Support Group, (202) 606-0623.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Dan G. Blair,

Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 05-8053 Filed 4-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51567; File No. SR-AMEX-2003-66]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 Thereto by the American Stock Exchange LLC Relating to the Listing and Trading of Trust Issued Receipts Based on a Single Issuer

April 18, 2005.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act" or "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 26, 2003 the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On January 30, 2004, the Commission received Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ On May 10, 2004, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁴ On August 16, 2004, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 3 to the proposed rule change.⁵ On November 8,

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See letter from Jeffrey P. Burns, Associate General Counsel, Amex, to Florence Harmon, Senior Special Counsel, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated January 28, 2004 ("Amendment No. 1"). Amendment No. 1 revised the original proposal to require the underlying securities in Single TIRs (as defined herein) to meet the market capitalization requirements for equity linked term notes in Rule 107B(d) of the Amex Company Guide ("Company Guide"), modified maintenance listing standards for Single TIRs to increase the minimum amount of receipts required to be outstanding, revised the proposed rule text to allow odd lot trading in Single TIRs, provided a more detailed explanation of how Single TIRs would function, clarified that either Susquehanna Investment Group or an affiliate would be the initial depositor for the Single TIR, and rescinded its earlier request for relief from Commission Rule 10a-1.

⁴ See letter from Jeffrey P. Burns, Associate General Counsel, Amex, to Nancy J. Sanow, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated May 7, 2004 ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, Amex revised the proposed rule text to require Single TIRs to comply with requirements imposed on equity linked term notes in Rule 107B(e) and (f) of the Company Guide, added rule text requiring a firewall around affected personnel in the event that a broker-dealer selects the underlying security of a Single TIR, added rule text requiring the Exchange to consider distributing guidance to member firms regarding compliance responsibilities for a Single TIR before its issue, and added a representation in the discussion that Single TIRs are exempt from Commission Rule 10A-3.

⁵ See letter from Jeffrey P. Burns, Associate General Counsel, Amex, to Nancy J. Sanow,

Continued