SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51388; File No. SR-BSE-2004-58]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change, and Amendments No. 1, 2, 3 and 4 Thereto, by the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Composition of the Board of Directors and Executive Committee of Boston Options Exchange Regulation LLC

March 17, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 9, 2004, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the BSE. On December 13, 2004, the BSE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.3 On December 16, 2004, the BSE filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.4 On March 8, 2005, the BSE filed Amendment No. 3 to the proposed rule change.⁵ On March 10, 2005, the BSE filed Amendment No. 4 to the proposed rule change.⁶ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend certain sections of the By-laws of Boston Options Exchange Regulation LLC ("BOXR") relating to BSE representation on BOXR's Board of Directors and its Executive Committee.

Below is the amended text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new

language is in *italics*; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

Rules of the Boston Stock Exchange Boston Options Exchange Regulation LLC By-Laws

Secs. 1-2 no change.

Sec. 3

Number of Directors

The Board shall consist of no fewer than seven nor more than thirteen Directors, the exact number to be determined by resolution adopted by the BSE Board from time to time. The BSE Board shall appoint directors to the BOXR Board, 50% of whom will serve until the first annual meeting of the BOXR Board, and 50% of whom will serve until the second consecutive annual meeting of the BOXR Board, in accordance with Section 5, below. [In accordance with Section 4, below, the Chairman of the BSE will be considered a member of the Board of Directors for voting purposes, but not for qualification percentage purposes.] The General Counsel of the BSE will not be considered a member of the Board of Directors for voting purposes or qualification percentage purposes.

Sec. 4

Qualifications

Directors need not be Participants of BOX, or members of BSE. Industry Directors must be representatives of the securities industry as provided in Article II of the BSE Constitution. At least fifty percent (50%) of the Directors will be Public Directors. The Board shall include [the Chairman] at least one member of the BSE Board of Governors [, who will not be considered for the purposes of determining the qualification percentages for the Board set forth herein]. The General Counsel of the BSE shall act as an advisor to the Board for all legal and regulatory matters, and shall not be a member or director of the Board. At least twenty percent (20%) of the Directors (but no fewer than two (2) Directors) will be officers or directors of a firm approved as a BOX Option Participant. An officer or director of a facility of the BSE may serve on the Board of Directors. The term of office of a Director shall not be affected by any decrease in the authorized number of Directors.

As soon as practicable, following the annual appointment of Directors, the Board shall elect from its members a Chair and Vice Chair and such other persons having such titles as it shall deem necessary or advisable to serve until the next annual appointment or

until their successors are chosen and qualify. The persons so elected shall have such powers and duties as may be determined from time to time by the Board. The Board, by resolution adopted by a majority of Directors then in office, may remove any such person from such position at any time.

Secs. 5–13 no change.

Sec. 14

Committees

(a)–(c) no change (d) The Board may appoint an Executive Committee, which shall, to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware Law and other applicable law, have and be permitted to exercise all the powers and authority of the Board in the management of the business and affairs of BOXR between meetings of the Board. The Executive Committee shall consist of five Directors, including at least two Public Directors, and at least one Options Participant Director. [The Chairman of the BSE] At least one Governor of the BSE Board who is also a Director of the BOXR Board shall be a member of the Executive Committee. and the General Counsel of the BSE will act in advisory role to the Executive Committee on legal and regulatory matters. Executive Committee members shall hold office for a term of one year. At all meetings of the Executive Committee, a quorum for the transaction

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

of business shall consist of a majority of

least fifty percent of the Public Directors

the Executive Committee, including at

and at least one Options Participant

In its filing with the Commission, the BSE included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change, as amended, and discussed any comments it received on the proposal. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The BSE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Director.

The purpose of the proposed rule change, as amended, is to amend certain sections of BOXR's By-Laws concerning the requirement that the Exchange's

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange revised the proposed rule text. Amendment No. 1 replaced the BSE's original filing in its entirety.

⁴ In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange withdrew its request that the proposed rule change become immediately effective and requested that the proposed rule change become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.

⁵ In Amendment No. 3, the Exchange revised the purpose section of the proposed rule change as well as the proposed rule text. Amendment No. 3 replaced Amendment No. 1, as amended by Amendment No. 2, in its entirety.

⁶ In Amendment No. 4, the Exchange amended its filing to reflect that Amendment No. 3 was incorrectly filed pursuant to Rule 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and should have been filed pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.

Chairman be a member of the BOXR Board of Directors and Executive Committee.

The BSE's Constitution permits, but does not mandate, that the Exchange's Chairman and chief executive officer ("CEO") roles be separated so as to provide for a separation of the Exchange's regulatory and business functions.7 Presently, BOXR's By-Laws require that the Exchange's Chairman be a Director on the BOXR Board. BOXR is, as set forth in Chapter XXXVI of the Exchange's rules, in the Plan of Delegation of Functions and Authority by the BSE to Boston Options Exchange Regulation, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the BSE. The Exchange has delegated certain functions to BOXR, so that BOXR is responsible for the regulatory oversight of the Boston Options Exchange, a facility of the BSE.

If the Exchange's Board of Governors deems it prudent to separate the Exchange's Chairman and CEO positions, so that the Chairman would be responsible for only the regulatory functions of the Exchange, then the mandate that the Exchange's Chairman be a member of the BOXR Board would be in congruence with BOXR's regulatory mandate. If, however, the Exchange's Board of Governors did not separate the Chairman and CEO roles, then the Exchange's Chairman would not be responsible for only the regulatory functions of the BSE, but, as CEO, for the business functions as well.

Accordingly, the Exchange seeks to make BOXR's By-Laws more flexible to reflect the corresponding flexibility in the Exchange's Constitution regarding the separation of the Chairman and CEO roles. Rather than mandating that the Exchange's Chairman be a member of the BOXR Board, the BSE would change certain provisions of BOXR's By-Laws to provide that at least one Governor of the BSE Board of Governors be a member of the BOXR Board. Also, the Exchange is seeking to mandate that at least one Governor of the BSE Board of Governors, who is also a member of the BOXR Board, be a member of the BOXR Executive Committee. In this way, the Exchange is assuring adequate and informed representation on its subsidiary's Board and Executive Committee, while not being constrained to limit its representation on the BOXR Board and its Executive Committee to strictly the Exchange's Chairman. The Exchange believes that this approach ensures not only proper representation

on the BOXR Board and its Executive Committee, but also serves to provide the Exchange a mechanism by which it can maintain an adequate separation of its business and regulatory functions, regardless of the status of the BSE's Chairman and CEO positions.

The Exchange is also seeking to eliminate language in both Sections 3 (Number of Directors) and 4 (Qualifications) of BOXR's By-laws, which explains that the BSE Chairman would not be considered a member of the BOXR Board for "qualification purposes." The referenced qualification purposes are set forth in Section 4, which establishes the percentage of the BOXR Board that must be constituted by Industry Directors, Public Directors and Directors who represent BOX Options Participants. Pursuant to the existing rule, the BSE Chairman is not considered to be qualified as an Industry, Public or BOX Participant representative, and thus does not serve to fill either percentage requirement as set forth, although the Chairman is a voting member of the BOXR Board. The BSE is seeking to eliminate the language regarding qualification percentages as they relate to the BSE Chairman because by replacing the BSE's Chairman on the BOXR Board with a member of the BSE Board, the member of the BSE Board who is also a member of the BOXR Board would be considered for the purposes of determining the qualification percentages of the BOXR Board. Thus, for example, if the member of the BSE Board who also served on the BOXR Board was an Industry Director, he or she would be considered as such in determining the percentage of Industry Directors on the BOXR Board.

The BSE understands that the Commission has recently proposed rules relating to the governance of self-regulatory organizations.⁸ If enacted, the Exchange represents that it is cognizant of the fact that certain of these proposed governance rules could mandate further changes to the BSE Constitution, Rules, and BOXR's By-Laws, beyond the scope of the changes proposed herein.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act,⁹ in general, and, in particular, furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(1) of the Act,¹⁰ in that the proposal is designed so that the

Exchange is organized and has the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Act; Section 6(b)(3) of the Act, 11 in that the proposal is designed so the rules of the Exchange assure a fair representation of its members in the selection of its directors and the administration of its affairs; and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹² in that the proposal is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuer, brokers, or dealers, or to regulate by virtue of any authority conferred by Title I of the Act matters not related to the purposes or Title I of the Act or the administration of the Exchange.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change, as amended, will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received written comments with respect to the proposed rule change, as amended.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, as amended; or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change, as amended, should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49611 (April 12, 2004), 69 FR 23833 (April 30, 2004) (order approving proposed rule change to permit the separation of the rules of Chairman and CEO).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50699 (November 18, 2004), 69 FR 71125 (December 8, 2004).

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

^{11 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(3).

^{12 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–BSE–2004–58 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2004-58. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change, as amended, that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change, as amended, between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the BSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2004-58 and should be submitted on or before April 14, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. E5–1291 Filed 3–23–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51395; File No. SR-NYSE-2005-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Arbitration

March 18, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on February 7, 2005, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed amendment to its arbitration rules as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On March 10, 2005, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons and is approving the proposal on an accelerated basis.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of an extension, until September 30, 2005, of Exchange Rule 600(g), relating to arbitration.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below and is set forth in Sections A, B and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The proposed rule change is intended to extend until September 30, 2005, Exchange Rule 600(g), a pilot program that was most recently extended for a six-month period ending March 31, 2005.³

Exchange Rule 600(g) states:

This paragraph applies to the Ethics Standards for Neutral Arbitrators in Contractual Arbitrations promulgated by the Judicial Council of California (the "California Standards"), which, were they to have effect in connection with arbitrations conducted pursuant to this Code, would conflict with this Code. In light of this conflict, the affected customer(s) or an associated person of a member or member organization who asserts a claim against the member or member organization with which she or he is associated may:

- Request the Director to appoint arbitrators and schedule a hearing outside California, or
- Waive the California Standards and request the Director to appoint arbitrators and schedule a hearing in California. A written waiver by a customer or associated person who asserts a claim against the member or member organization with which he or she is associated on a form provided by the Director of Arbitration under this Code shall also constitute and operate as a waiver for all other parties to the arbitration who are members, allied members, member organizations, and/or associated persons of a member or member organization.

According to the NYSE, Exchange Rule 600(g) was adopted by the Exchange in response to the purported imposition of California state law on arbitrations conducted under the auspices of the Exchange and pursuant to a set of nationally-applied rules approved by the Commission.4 The Exchange states that on July 1, 2002, as a result of the purported application of the Ethics Standards for Neutral **Arbitrators in Contractual Arbitrations** (the "California Standards") to Exchange arbitrations and arbitrators, the Exchange suspended the appointment of arbitrators for cases pending in California. The Exchange and NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc. sought a declaratory judgment that the California Standards are preempted by federal law. On November 12, 2002, Judge Samuel Conti dismissed the action on Eleventh Amendment grounds.⁵ A Notice of Appeal from Judge Conti's decision has been filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.⁶ The Exchange has

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $^{^3\,}See$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50449 (September 24, 2004), 69 FR 58985 (October 1, 2004) (SR-NYSE–2004–50).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46816 (November 12, 2002), 67 FR 69793 (November 19, 2002) (SR-NYSE-2002-56).

⁵ NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc. and New York Stock Exchange, Inc. v. Judicial Council of California, No. C 02 3485 (N.D. Cal.).

⁶ The appeal from Judge Conti's decision in NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc. and New York Stock Exchange, Inc. v. Judicial Council of California is Continued

^{13 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).