

intersections of Hudson Industrial Drive, Green Valley Road and Futral Road, Griffin (Spalding County);

*Proposed Site 8*—190 acres at the I-75 Industrial Park, located at the intersection of Wallace Road and Jackson Road, Griffin (Spalding County);

*Proposed Site 9*—321 acres at the Hamilton Mill Business Center, located at the intersection of Hamilton Mill Road and Interstate 985, Buford (Gwinnett County); and,

*Proposed Site 10*—212 acres at the ProLogis Park Greenwood, located just west of Interstate 75 at the Georgia State Highway 155 “diamond” interchange, McDonough (Henry County).

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at one of the addresses below:

1. *Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. *Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is November 29, 2004. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to December 14, 2004).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board’s Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 285 Peachtree Center Avenue NE., Suite 900, Atlanta, GA 30303-1229.

Dated: September 22, 2004.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04-21842 Filed 9-28-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-867

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People’s Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 29, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on automotive replacement glass windshields from the People’s Republic of China. This review covers the period September 19, 2001, through March 31, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Bolling or Jon Freed, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3434 and (202) 482-3818, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 7, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ARG windshields from the PRC. *See Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 25545 (May 7, 2004). On August 9, 2004, the Department published a notice extending the time limit for the final results of this administrative review by thirty days until no later than October 4, 2004. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People’s Republic of China*, 69 FR 48197 (August 9, 2004).

##### Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60

days. Completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable for the following reasons: This review involves certain complex issues which were raised in the briefs after the preliminary results of review including the valuation of water as a separate component of normal value and the appropriate liquidation instruction for Shenzhen CSG Automotive Glass Company, Limited (“Shenzhen CSG”), considering that the Department determined in a changed-circumstances review that Shenzhen CSG is entitled to the same antidumping treatment as Shenzhen Benxun AutoGlass Co., Ltd. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields From the People’s Republic of China*, 69 FR 43388 (July 20, 2004).

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by an additional ten days until no later than October 14, 2004.

Dated: September 23, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-21841 Filed 9-28-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-427-818]

#### Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Low Enriched Uranium From France

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On August 3, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium (LEU) from France for the period July 13, 2001, through January 31, 2003. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Low Enriched Uranium from France*, 69 FR 46501 (August 3, 2004). On August 2, 2004, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), we received a timely filed ministerial error allegation from respondent Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Générale Des Matières

Nucléaires, S.A. and COGEMA, Inc. (collectively, COGEMA/Eurodif). On August 9, 2004, we received rebuttal comments from the petitioners.<sup>1</sup> Based on our analysis of parties' comments, the Department has revised the antidumping duty margin for COGEMA/Eurodif. Accordingly, we are amending our final results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 29, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Constance Handley or James Kemp, at (202) 482-0631 or (202) 482-5346, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Scope of the Order**

The product covered by this order is all low enriched uranium (LEU). LEU is enriched uranium hexafluoride (UF<sub>6</sub>) with a U<sup>235</sup> product assay of less than 20 percent that has not been converted into another chemical form, such as UO<sub>2</sub>, or fabricated into nuclear fuel assemblies, regardless of the means by which the LEU is produced (including LEU produced through the down-blending of highly enriched uranium).

Certain merchandise is outside the scope of this order. Specifically, this order does not cover enriched uranium hexafluoride with a U<sup>235</sup> assay of 20 percent or greater, also known as highly enriched uranium. In addition, fabricated LEU is not covered by the scope of this order. For purposes of this order, fabricated uranium is defined as enriched uranium dioxide (UO<sub>2</sub>), whether or not contained in nuclear fuel rods or assemblies. Natural uranium concentrates (U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) with a U<sup>235</sup> concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent and natural uranium concentrates converted into uranium hexafluoride with a U<sup>235</sup> concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent are not covered by the scope of this order.

Also excluded from this order is LEU owned by a foreign utility end-user and imported into the United States by or for such end-user solely for purposes of conversion by a U.S. fabricator into uranium dioxide (UO<sub>2</sub>) and/or fabrication into fuel assemblies so long as the uranium dioxide and/or fuel assemblies deemed to incorporate such imported LEU (i) remain in the possession and control of the U.S. fabricator, the foreign end-user, or their designed transporter(s) while in U.S.

customs territory, and (ii) are re-exported within eighteen (18) months of entry of the LEU for consumption by the end-user in a nuclear reactor outside the United States. Such entries must be accompanied by the certifications of the importer and end-user.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2844.20.0020. Subject merchandise may also enter under 2844.20.0030, 2844.20.0050, and 2844.40.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

**Amended Final Results of Review**

On August 2, 2004, COGEMA/Eurodif timely filed, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), an allegation that the Department made one ministerial error in its final results, in that the constructed value (CV) profit rate was applied to an adjusted cost of production, although the financial statements from which the CV profit rate was derived had not been similarly adjusted. In their August 9, 2004, rebuttal, the petitioners contend the error was not ministerial because the Department's analysis memorandum lacked specificity in that it did not define which adjustments the Department intended to make when calculating CV profit. We agree with COGEMA/Eurodif that its allegation constitutes a ministerial error. For a detailed discussion of this ministerial error, as well as the Department's analysis, see Memorandum from Constance Handley, Program Manager, Office 1 to Jeffrey A. May, Deputy Assistant Secretary re: Ministerial Error Allegation, dated September 21, 2004.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we have amended the final results of the first antidumping duty administrative review of LEU from France, as noted above. As a result of this correction and as stated below, COGEMA/Eurodif's weighted-average margin decreased from 5.43 percent to 4.56 percent.

Producer	Weighted-average margin (percentage)
COGEMA/Eurodif .....	4.56

The Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will calculate an importer-specific ad valorem assessment rate for

merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales made during the period of review to the total customs value of the sales used to calculate those duties. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise by that importer. This rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries of that particular importer made during the period July 13, 2001, through January 31, 2003. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of review. The amended cash deposit requirement is effective for all shipments of subject merchandise manufactured by COGEMA/Eurodif entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

These amended final results are issued and published in accordance with section 751(h) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.224.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2404 Filed 9-28-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**(A-583-837)**

**Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Taiwan: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Correction to the Final Results of Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 29, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Martin or Zev Primor at (202) 482-3936 and (202) 482-4114, respectively; Office of AD/CVD Enforcement Office IV, Import Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners in this case are USEC Inc. and United States Enrichment Corporation.