concluded that there are no significant short-term or long-term effects to the environment or surrounding populations. After careful and thorough consideration of the facts herein, the MDA finds that the proposed Federal action is consistent with existing national environmental policies and objectives set forth in section 101(a) of NEPA and that it will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment or otherwise include any condition requiring consultation pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of NEPA. Therefore, an EIS for the proposed action is not required.

Dated: July 15, 2004.

#### L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 04–16635 Filed 7–21–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

# List of Institutions of Higher Education Ineligible for Federal Funds

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This document is published to identify institutions of higher education that are ineligible for contracts and grants by reason of a determination by the Secretary of Defense that the institution prohibits or in effect prevents military recruiter access to the campus, students on campus or student directory information. It also implements the requirements set forth in section 983 of title 10, United States Code, and 32 CFR part 216. The institution of higher education so identified is: Vermont Law School, South Royalton, Vermont.

ADDRESSES: Director for Accession Policy, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–4000.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commander Ronda J. Syring, (703) 695–5529.

Dated: July 16, 2004.

### L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 04–16639 Filed 7–21–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Designation of National Interest Electric Transmission Bottlenecks (NIETB)

**AGENCY:** Office of Electric Transmission and Distribution, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of inquiry and opportunity to comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) seeks comments on issues relating to the identification, designation and possible mitigation of National Interest Electric Transmission Bottlenecks (NIETB). This inquiry is DOE's initial step in seeking to identify and designate NIETBs. By publicly identifying and designating NIETBs, DOE will help mitigate transmission bottlenecks that are a significant barrier to the efficient operation of regional electricity markets, threaten the safe and reliable operation of the electric system, and/or impair national security. DOE seeks comments on the questions posed below and welcomes other pertinent comments or proposals.

**DATES:** Written comments are to be filed electronically by e-mailing to: bottleneck.comments@hq.doe.gov no later than 5 p.m. e.d.t. September 20, 2004. Comments can be filed at the address listed below.

ADDRESSES: Office of Electric Transmission and Distribution, TD-1, Attention: Transmission Bottleneck Comments, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 6H050, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585.

Note that U.S. Postal Service mail sent to DOE continues to be delayed by several weeks due to security screening. Electronic submission is therefore encouraged.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  $\ensuremath{Mr}\xspace$  .

David Meyer, Office of Electric Transmission and Distribution, TD–1, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–1411, david.meyer@hq.doe.gov, or Lot Cooke, Office of General Counsel, GC–76, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–0503, lot.cooke@hq.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Nation's electric system includes over 150,000 miles of interconnected high-voltage transmission lines that link generators to load centers. The electric system has been built by electric utilities over a period of 100 years, primarily to serve local customers. Until recent years, electricity trade among electric utilities was modest. With the

advent of wholesale electricity markets, trade has increased exponentially, and utilities now shop for the lowest cost power from suppliers reachable through the transmission network. The increase in regional electricity trade saves electricity consumers billions of dollars, but it places significant additional loads on the transmission facilities over which this trade is conducted. Steady growth in demand for electricity also has contributed to the growth in demand for transmission service.

While transmission service has become more important economically and operationally, investment in new transmission facilities has not kept pace. Over the past 25 years, investment in new transmission facilities has significantly declined. Today, bottlenecks in the transmission system impede economically efficient electricity transactions and potentially threaten the safe and reliable operation of the transmission system. DOE estimates that these bottlenecks cost consumers several billions of dollars per year by forcing wholesale electricity purchasers to buy from higher-cost suppliers. This estimate does not include the reliability costs associated with such bottlenecks.

The National Energy Policy (May 2001), the Department's National Transmission Grid Study (May 2002), and the Transmission Grid Solutions Report (September 2002) issued by the Secretary's Electricity Advisory Board, recommend that the Department initiate a process to determine how to identify and designate transmission bottlenecks of national interest, as a first step toward mitigation of them.

Specifically, the Grid Study states:

Transmission bottlenecks affect national interests by increasing the cost of electricity to consumers and the risk of transmission system reliability problems in various regions throughout the United States. Relieving transmission bottlenecks is a regional issue. DOE will work in partnership with FERC, States, regions, and local communities to designate significant bottlenecks and take actions to ensure that they are addressed.

The report of the Electricity Advisory Board states:

We would urge the Secretary to develop the criteria and process for determining which existing bottlenecks should qualify for special status as "National Interest Transmission Bottlenecks" because the bottlenecks affect the reliability and security of the nation's electric grid. The DOE must work with State, regional and local government officials to encourage proposals from industry participants and to monitor progress toward elimination of designated bottlenecks.

The Electricity Advisory Board goes on to recommend that to be designated

a National Interest Transmission Bottleneck the bottleneck must meet one of three criteria:

1. The bottleneck jeopardizes national

2. The bottleneck creates a risk of widespread grid reliability problems or the likelihood that major customer load centers will be without adequate electricity supplies; or

3. The bottleneck creates the risk of significant consumer cost increases in electricity markets that could have serious consequences on the national or a broad regional economy or risks significant consumer cost increases over an area or region.

We note that Title XII of H.R.6, as reported by a joint U.S. Senate-House of Representatives Conference Committee, as well as Title XII of S. 2095, the comprehensive energy legislation now before the U.S. Congress, contain provisions that would require the Secretary of Energy, within one year after enactment into law, and every three years thereafter, to designate "National Interest Transmission Corridors."

The legislation would also give certain Federal "backstop" siting authority to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for facilities to be located within DOE-designated National Interest Transmission Corridors.

This Notice of Inquiry does not ask for comment on any of the National Interest Transmission Corridor and related provisions of pending energy legislation. Should those provisions be enacted into law, the Department will issue such notices and take other actions as may be authorized or directed

by those provisions.

The Department has completed some preliminary scoping studies to support DOE identification of NIETBs. These include a survey of existing models and tools that could support bottleneck assessment by DOE and a survey of bottlenecks reported by regional transmission operators or independent system operators. These studies are available at: http:// www.electricity.doe.gov/bottlenecks.

Additionally, DOE organized a workshop on July 14, 2004, in Salt Lake City, Utah, immediately following the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Summer Meeting. The purpose of this workshop was to learn from stakeholders what they believe to be the major issues associated with the designation of NIETBs, and how they believe the process should be designed to maximize its benefits to the users of the grid and to electricity consumers.

For proceedings from the workshop, please go to http://

www.electricity.doe.gov/bottlenecks.

To assist DOE in developing a procedure for identifying, designating, and addressing NIETBs, we request comments on the three criteria for designation described above, and the following questions:

- 1. Are the Electricity Advisory Board's recommended criteria for designation of National Interest Electric Transmission Bottlenecks appropriate and sufficient? If not, what should they be? For example, should DOE also consider disaster recovery, economic development, and the enhancement of the ability to deal with market and system contingencies in designating NIETBs?
- 2. What should be the role of transmission grid operators, utilities, other market participants, regional entities, States, Federal agencies, Native American tribes and others in the process of identifying, designating, and addressing NIETBs? For example, should a NIETB be designated only if some entity applies to DOE for designation? Should DOE accept applications only from entities from regions that have an extant regional transmission (or resource) plan? Should DOE be able to designate a NIETB even if no entity asks DOE to do so?
- 3. How might DOE identify bottlenecks in regions where much pertinent data are not available, in contrast to regions where transmission expansion plans have been developed and made public?
- 4. What actions should DOE undertake to facilitate and monitor progress towards mitigation of designated NIETBs?

In addition to the above, commenters are encouraged to discuss, comment on, and make suggestions on other transmission bottleneck issues that may be relevant to the development of procedures to designate and address NIETBs. To the greatest extent consistent with law, comments submitted pursuant to this Notice of Inquiry will be deemed public and will not be treated as confidential.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 16, 2004.

### James W. Glotfelty,

Director, Office of Electric Transmission and Distribution.

[FR Doc. 04-16724 Filed 7-21-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### **Federal Energy Regulatory** Commission

[Docket No. ER04-928-000]

## California Independent System **Operator Corporation; Notice of** Availability of Filing Instructions and **Summary Template**

July 15, 2004.

- 1. Pursuant to the Commission's June 17, 2004 Order in the above captioned proceeding, the Commission staff is hereby making available for parties' use instructions for filing information on **Existing Transmission Contracts** (ETCs). This optional template for filing ETC information is available with this notice and on http://www.ferc.gov under "What's New."
- 2. Parties should review the instructions for the template before using it. Summary ETC information should be submitted using the Commission's electronic filing system (eFiling link at http://www.ferc.gov). Parties filing supplemental information should also use the eFiling system, provided the material is not restricted from publication and meets the maximum file number and file size restrictions for electronic filing.
- 3. All submissions are due by 5 p.m. eastern time on July 23, 2004.2

# Magalie R. Salas.

Secretary.

### **Instructions to All Parties for Filing Information on Existing Transmission Contracts Pursuant to the Commission's** June 17, 2004 Order in Docket No. ER04-928-000

These instructions apply to all parties to Existing Transmission Contracts (ETCs) when making summary filings as required by the Commission's June 17 Order. These instructions include procedures for parties to submit: (1) Summary information using the Commission's pro forma template and (2) supplemental information. These instructions should be followed

All persons submitting information not restricted from publication must do so using the Commission's electronic filing system.

 If you do not already have an eRegistration account, you must create one before you can submit an electronic filing. Before you can use the account,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Calfiornia Independent System Operator Corporation, 107 FERC ¶ 61,274 (2004) (June 17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This date was clarified by an Errata Notice issued on July 13, 2004, in this proceeding.