

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB for Reinstatement, Without Change, of a Previously Approved Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Credit Union
Administration (NCUA).

ACTION: Request for comment.

SUMMARY: The NCUA intends to submit the following information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This information collection is published to obtain comments from the public.

DATES: Comments will be accepted until July 26, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to NCUA Clearance Officer listed below:

Clearance Officer: Mr. Neil McNamara, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428, Fax No. 703–518–6669, E-mail: mcnamara@ncua.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or a copy of the information collection request should be directed to Tracy Sumpter at the National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428, or at (703) 518–6444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposal for the following collection of information:

Title: Charter Conversions.

OMB Number: 3133–0153.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Description: Part 708 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations directs credit unions to provide members with information to evaluate a charter conversion proposal. NCUA needs the information to fulfill its statutory duty to administer the membership vote.

Respondents: Federally insured credit unions.

Estimated No. of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 10.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 20 hours.

Frequency of Response: Reporting, Third Party Disclosure. Other, one time only.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 200.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0.

By the National Credit Union
Administration Board on June 17, 2004.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 04–14414 Filed 6–24–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of
information collection and solicitation
of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 11—Criteria and Procedures for Determining Eligibility for Access to or Control Over Special Nuclear Material.

3. *The form number if applicable:* None.

4. *How often the collection is required:* New applications, certifications, and amendments may be submitted at any time. Applications for renewal are submitted every 5 years.

5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Employees (including applicants for employment), contractors and consultants of NRC licensees and contractors whose activities involve access to or control over special nuclear material at either fixed sites or in transportation activities.

6. *An estimate of the number of responses:* 5.

7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 5 NRC licensees.

8. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* Approximately 0.25 hours annually per response, for an industry total of 1.25 hours annually.

9. *An indication of whether section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies:* Not applicable.

10. *Abstract:* NRC regulations in 10 CFR part 11 establish requirements for access to special nuclear material, and the criteria and procedures for resolving questions concerning the eligibility of individuals to receive special nuclear material access authorization. Personal history information which is submitted on applicants for relevant jobs is provided to OPM, which conducts investigations. NRC reviews the results of these investigations and makes determinations of the eligibility of the applicants for access authorization.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by July 26, 2004. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

OMB Desk Officer, Office of
Information and Regulatory Affairs
(3150–0062), NEOB–10202, Office of
Management and Budget, Washington,
DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of June, 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief
Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 04–14429 Filed 6–24–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–247 and 50–286; License
Nos. DPR–26 and DPR–64]

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has issued a Director's Decision with regard to a Petition dated September 8, 2003, filed by the Union of Concerned Scientists and

Riverkeeper, Inc., hereinafter referred to as the "Petitioners." The Petition was supplemented on September 22 and October 29, 2003. The Petition concerns the operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (IP2 and 3).

The Petition requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC): (1) take immediate enforcement action against Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy), the licensee for IP2 and 3, by issuing an Order requiring Entergy to immediately shut down IP2 and 3 and maintain the reactors shutdown until the containment sumps are modified to resolve Generic Safety Issue 191 (GSI-191), and (2) as an alternative, should the NRC deny the request to require IP2 and 3 to shut down immediately, issue an Order to prevent plant restart following each plant's next refueling outage until such time that the containment sumps are modified to resolve GSI-191. If this alternative is chosen, the Petitioners further requested a requirement to be included within the Order for Entergy to (a) maintain all equipment needed for monitoring leakage of reactor coolant pressure boundary components within containment fully functional and immediately shut down the affected reactor upon any functional impairment to leakage monitoring equipment, and (b) refrain from any activity under 10 CFR 50.59, 10 CFR 50.90, Section VII.C of the NRC's Enforcement Policy, or Generic Letter 91-18, Revision 1, that increases or could increase the probability of a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA).

As the basis for this request, the Petitioners stated that there is a lack of reasonable assurance that the IP2 and 3 containment sumps will be able to perform their function during a LOCA. The Petitioners, conclusions regarding the containment sumps were based on their analysis of publicly available reports that were prepared for the NRC by the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). The NRC has stated that the potential for sump clogging in pressurized-water reactors is an issue that is currently being evaluated by the NRC through the NRC's Generic Issue Program. In particular, the NRC-sponsored studies that formulate the basis for your requested enforcement actions were performed in support of the NRC staff's review of GSI-191.

On September 24, 2003, the Petitioners met with the staff's Petition Review Board (PRB) to discuss the Petition and provide additional details in support of this request.

The NRC sent a copy of the Proposed Director's Decision to the Petitioners

and to the licensee for comment on February 19, 2004. The Petitioners responded with comments on March 30, 2004, and the licensee had no comments. The Petitioners' comments and the NRC staff's response to them are included with the Director's Decision.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that the request to order the licensee to suspend operations of IP2 and 3 be denied. The reasons for this decision, along with the reasons for decisions regarding the remaining Petitioners' requests, are explained in the Director's Decision pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 (DD 04-02), the complete text of which is available in the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O-1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and from the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>) on the World Wide Web, under the "Public Involvement" icon.

As stated in its letter to the Petitioners on October 22, 2003, the NRC staff told the Petitioners that the request that the NRC issue an Order to immediately shut down IP2 and 3 was denied. Consistent with the generic issue process, the NRC is currently developing guidance to be used by individual plants to evaluate the potential for sump clogging. Although many plants have taken steps to further ensure adequate sump recirculation in the event of a LOCA, an NRC-approved methodology for evaluating each plant's sump performance is intended to (1) ensure that each plant evaluates the potential for debris-clogging in a consistent manner based on state-of-the-art, staff-approved methods and plant-specific information; and (2) provide the NRC with the technical basis for ensuring that any proposed solution adequately addresses the issue. The data reviewed by the staff to date, including the Petition and the Parametric Study, does not support the actions requested by the Petitioners. If, at any time during the resolution of the generic issue, the NRC should determine that unsafe conditions exist at Indian Point or any other plant, immediate actions will be taken to ensure the continued health and safety of the public.

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days

after the date of the decision, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Director's Decision in that time.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of June, 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brian W. Sheron,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04-14428 Filed 6-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

ACTION: Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the Agency is preparing an information collection request for OMB review and approval and to request public review and comment on the submission. OPIC published its first **Federal Register** Notice on this information collection request on April 23, 2004, in Vol. 69, No. 79 FR 22103, at which time a 60-day comment period was announced. This comment period ended June 22, 2004. No comments were received in response to this notice.

This information collection submission has now been submitted to OMB for review. Comments are again being solicited on the need for the information; the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate; the quality, practical utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and on ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form, OMB control number 3420-0001, under review is summarized below.

DATES: Comments must be received within 30 calendar days of this Notice.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency submitting officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the OMB Reviewer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OPIC Agency Submitting Officer:
Bruce I. Campbell, Records Management Officer, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue,