**ACTION:** Invitation for public comments on a requested administrative waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws for the vessel MARIANA QUEEN.

**SUMMARY:** As authorized by Pub. L. 105– 383 and Pub. L. 107–295, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below. The complete application is given in DOT docket 2004-17814 at http://dms.dot.gov. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines. in accordance with Pub. L. 105-383 and MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388 (68 FR 23084; April 30, 2003), that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter's interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in § 388.4 of MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 21, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to docket number MARAD 2004-17814. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk. U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, Department of Transportation, 400 7th St., SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at http:// dmses.dot.gov/submit/. All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at http://dms.dot.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Hokana, U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, MAR–830 Room 7201, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202–366–0760.

 $\mbox{\sc supplementary information:}\ \mbox{\sc As}$  described by the applicant the intended

service of the vessel MARIANA QUEEN is:

Intended Use: "Charter cruises." Geographic Region: "California."

Dated: May 17, 2004.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

#### Joel C. Richard,

Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 04–11418 Filed 5–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Maritime Administration**

[Docket Number: 2004 17812]

# Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws

**AGENCY:** Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Invitation for public comments on a requested administrative waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws for the vessel TALIESIN.

SUMMARY: As authorized by Pub. L. 105-383 and Pub. L. 107-295, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below. The complete application is given in DOT docket 2004-17812 at http://dms.dot.gov. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with Pub. L. 105-383 and MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388 (68 FR 23084; April 30, 2003), that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter's interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in § 388.4 of MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 21, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to docket number MARAD 2004–17812. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL–401, Department of Transportation, 400 7th

St., SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at http://dmses.dot.gov/submit/. All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at http://dms.dot.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Hokana, U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, MAR–830 Room 7201, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202–366–0760.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** As described by the applicant the intended service of the vessel TALIESIN is:

Intended Use: "Oceanographic research and testing of marine electronics designed primarily for small recreational vessels."

Geographic Region: "Northeast coastal waters-Cape Cod to Cape May."

Dated: May 17, 2004.

By order of the Maritime Administrator. **Ioel C. Richard.** 

Secretary, Maritime Administration.
[FR Doc. 04–11421 Filed 5–19–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2004-17766]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2002– 2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 2002–2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) passenger cars are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 2002–2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United

States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is June 21, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590 (Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.). Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or you may visit http://dms.dot.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Automobile Concepts of North Miami, Florida (Registered Importer 01–278) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 2002–2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles that Automobile Concepts believes are substantially similar are 2002–2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) passenger cars that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it compared non-U.S. certified 2002–2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Automobile Concepts submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2002–2004 Mercedes Benz S-Class (220) passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2002-2004 Mercedes Ben S-Class (220) passenger cars are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 116 Brake Fluid, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 135 Passenger Car Brake Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 212 Windshield Mounting, 214 Side Impact Protection, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, 225 Child Restraint Anchorage Systems, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

In addition, the petitioner claims that the vehicles comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR Part 581.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* (a) inscription of the word "brake" on the instrument cluster in place of the international ECE warning symbol or installation of a U.S.-model instrument cluster; (b) modification of the speedometer to read in miles per hour by downloading U.S. version software information or replacement of

the speedometer through the installation of a U.S.-model instrument cluster.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: installation of the following components on vehicles that are not already so equipped: (a) U.S.-model headlamps; (b) U.S.-model front sidemarker lamps that incorporate reflex reflectors; (c) U.S.-model taillamp assemblies that incorporate rear sidemarker lamps and reflex reflectors.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims:* installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: inscription of the required warning statement on the passenger side rearview mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* reprogramming of the vehicle's computers to the U.S.-mode to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 118 Power-Operated Window Partition, and Roof Panel Systems: reprogramming of the vehicle's computers to the U.S.-mode to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) reprogramming of the vehicle's computers to the U.S.-mode to activate the seatbelt warning buzzer; (b) inspection of all vehicles and installation of U.S.-model components, as necessary, to ensure compliance with the standard. The petitioner states that the vehicles are equipped with dual front air bags and knee bolsters, and with combination lap and shoulder belts at the outboard front and rear seating positions that are self-tensioning and capable of being released by means of a single red push button.

Standard No. 209 Seat Belt Assemblies: inspection of all vehicles and installation of U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages: inspection of all vehicles and installation of U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 301 Fuel System Integrity: inspection of all vehicles and installation of U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped, to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 401 Interior Trunk Release: inspection of all vehicles manufactured on or after September 1, 2001, and installation of U.S.-model components on those vehicles that are not already so equipped to ensure compliance with the standard.

Petitioner states that all vehicles must be inspected to ensure compliance with the Theft Prevention Standard at 49 CFR part 541 and that U.S.-model anti-theft devices will be installed, as necessary, on vehicles that are not already so equipped. The petitioner expressed the belief that the vehicles do in fact comply with this standard.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590 (Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.). It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

#### Claude H. Harris,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 04–11454 Filed 5–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Surface Transportation Board [STB Finance Docket No. 34501]

James Riffin d/b/a The Northern Central Railroad—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—in York County, PA

James Riffin d/b/a The Northern Central Railroad (NCR), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire from the Commissioners of York County, PA, and operate approximately 19 miles of rail line, known as USRA Line 145, between milepost 35.6 (at or near the Maryland/Pennsylvania line) and milepost 54.6 (Hyde), in York County, PA. NCR proposes to interchange with the Genesee and Wyoming Railroad.

NCR certifies that its projected annual revenues as a result of this transaction

will not exceed those that would qualify it as a Class III rail carrier and states that such revenues will not exceed \$5 million annually. NCR intends to commence these activities within 90 days from the date the notice of exemption was filed (April 28, 2004).

This notice is applicant's second attempt to acquire similar authority. In James Riffin d/b/a The Northern Central Railroad-Acquisition and Operation Exemption-in York County, PA and Baltimore County, MD, STB Finance Docket No. 34484 (STB served and published in the **Federal Register** Apr. 7, 2004) (69 FR 18420), applicant sought authorization to acquire two line segments in Baltimore County, MD, in addition to a slightly longer version of the line involved herein. However, in a decision in that proceeding served on April 20, 2004, the Board revoked the exemption stating that issues raised by the State of Maryland could not be answered under the expedited "class exemption" process. NCR was advised that if it sought to pursue the matter it should provide more detailed information in the form of an individual exemption petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 and 49 CFR 1121, or a full application under 49 U.S.C. 10901 and 49 CFR 1150, as those procedures are designed to elicit a more complete record. NCR instead chose to file this notice for the necessary authority to acquire and operate the described line in York County in the event that it is able to reach an agreement with the Commissioners of York County for that acquisition.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34501, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on James Riffin, 1941 Greenspring Drive, Timonium, MD 21093.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: May 13, 2004.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

# Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–11327 Filed 5–19–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

[INTL-29-91]

# Internal Revenue Service Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Regulation Project

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning an existing final regulation, INTL-29-91 (TD 8556), Computation and Characterization of Income and Earnings and Profits Under the Dollar Approximate Separate Transactions Method of Accounting (DASTM) (§ 1.985-3).

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before July 19, 2004 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Glenn Kirkland, Internal Revenue Service, room 6411, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection should be directed to Allan Hopkins, at (202) 622–6665, or at Internal Revenue Service, room 6407, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224, or through the Internet, at *Allan.M.Hopkins@irs.gov.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Computation and Characterization of Income and Earnings and Profits Under the Dollar Approximate Separate Transactions Method of Accounting (DASTM). OMB Number: 1545–1051.

Regulation Project Number: INTL-29-91.

Abstract: This regulation provides that taxpayers operating in hyperinflationary currencies must use the United States dollar as their functional currency and compute income using the dollar approximate separate transactions method (DASTM). Small taxpayers may elect an alternate method by which to compute income or loss. For prior taxable years in which income was computed using the profit and loss method, taxpayers may elect to recompute their income using DASTM.