classified as a mild or slight irritant by the EPA classification system (Toxicity Category IV) and as a nonirritant by the EU classification system at a dose of 0.5 mL. The dermal sensitization study in Guinea pigs showed that it is not a sensitizer. No incidents of hypersensitivity have been reported by researchers, manufacturers, or users of Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS or Sonata ASO. The intentionally added inert ingredients together comprise less than 3% of the Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS or Sonata ASO formulations. Their individual amounts range from 0.1% to 0.9%. Obviously, any specific toxicological property of any or all of these inert ingredients had no effect upon the overall toxicity of Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS compared with that of the QST 2808 Technical. Sonata ASO is simply an all-organic formulation version of Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS. The inert ingredients in Sonata ASO are all from EPA's list 4 and thus are considered even more benign than those in Sonata AS. Therefore, the registration data requirements for Sonata ASO will be fulfilled by bridging to the toxicity study results for Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS, per the Data Matrix submitted with the registration application for Sonata ASO. Copies of the Material Safety Data Sheets for the added inert ingredients for Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS were provided in MRID No. 45257201, and for Sonata ASO in MRID No. 46029501.

# D. Aggregate Exposure

Sonata ASO is proposed for use to control various plant diseases on agricultural crops.

1. Dietary exposure. Dietary exposure is not expected from the use of this microbial pesticide as proposed. The lack of acute oral toxicity/pathogenicity and the ubiquitous nature of the organism support the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for this

active ingredient.

- i. Food. Dietary exposure from use of Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808, as proposed, is minimal. Residues of Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808 are not expected on agricultural commodities. In a study conducted to determine the longevity of *Bacillus pumilus* residues on pepper leaf surfaces under field conditions, the results showed that the number of colony forming units of Bacillus pumilus decreased significantly over time in the first 5 days. In addition, the microbial pesticide can be removed from food by peeling, washing, cooking, and processing.
- ii. *Drinking water*. Exposure to humans from residues of *Bacillus pumilus* strain QST 2808 in consumed drinking water would be unlikely.

Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808 is a naturally occurring microorganism known to exist in terrestrial habitats. Although, it may be found in water, it is not known to thrive in aquatic environments.

2. Non-dietary exposure. The potential for non-dietary exposure to the general population, including infants and children, is unlikely as the proposed use sites are agricultural settings. In addition, non-dietary exposures would not be expected to pose any quantifiable risk due to a lack of residues of toxicological concern. Personal protective equipment (PPE) mitigates the potential for exposure to applicators and handlers of the proposed products, when used in agricultural settings.

# E. Cumulative Exposure

There is no indication of mammalian toxicity of *Bacillus pumilus* and no information to indicate that toxic effects would be cumulative. Therefore, consideration of a common mode of action is not appropriate. In addition, it is not expected that, when used as proposed, Sonata ASO would result in residues that would remain in human food items.

### F. Safety Determination

- 1. *U.S. population. Bacillus pumilus* strain QST 2808 is not pathogenic or infective to mammals. There have been no reports of toxins associated with the organism, and acute toxicity/pathogenicity studies have shown that *Bacillus pumilus* strain QST 2808 is non-toxic, non-pathogenic, and non-irritating. Residues of *Bacillus pumilus* strain QST 2808 are not expected on agricultural commodities, and therefore, exposure to the general U.S. population, from the proposed uses, is not anticipated.
- 2. Infants and children. As mentioned above, residues of Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808 are not expected on agricultural commodities. There is a reasonable certainty of no harm for infants and children from exposure to Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808 from the proposed uses.

# G. Effects on the Immune and Endocrine Systems

Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808 is a naturally occurring, non-pathogenic microorganism. There is no evidence to suggest that Bacillus pumilus strain QST 2808 functions in a manner similar to any known hormone, or that it acts as an endocrine disrupter.

# H. Existing Tolerances

On June 18, 2003, EPA granted a temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for *Bacillus pumilus* strain QST 2808 in or on all agricultural commodities in conjunction with the issuance of an Experimental Use Permit for Sonata<sup>TM</sup> AS (EPA Reg. No. 69592-EUP-1). This exemption will expire June 30, 2006.

### I. International Tolerances

There is no Codex alimentarius commission maximum residue level for *Bacillus pumilus* strain QST 2808. [FR Doc. 04–10102 Filed 5–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–5

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2004-0106; FRL-7355-1]

Imidacloprid; Notice of Filing a Pesticide Petition to Establish a Tolerance for a Certain Pesticide Chemical in or on Food

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the initial filing of a pesticide petition proposing the establishment of regulations for residues of a certain pesticide chemical in or on various food commodities.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket ID number OPP–2004–0106, must be received on or before June 4, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dani Daniel, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 305–5409; e-mail address: daniel.dani@epa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS 111)
- Animal production (NAICS 112)

Food manufacturing (NAICS 311)
 Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS 32532)

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

# B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2004-0106. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. Electronic access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets.

Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA's electronic public docket.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

# C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket ID number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are

submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments. If you wish to submit CBI or information that is otherwise protected by statute, please follow the instructions in Unit I.D. Do not use EPA Dockets or e-mail to submit CBI or information protected by statute.

1. Electronically. If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed in this unit, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification. EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. EPA Dockets. Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in docket ID number OPP-2004-0106. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. E-mail. Comments may be sent by e-mail to opp-docket@epa.gov,
Attention: Docket ID Number OPP2004-0106. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and

made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

- iii. *Disk or CD ROM*. You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Unit I.C.2. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.
- 2. By mail. Send your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB) (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP–2004–0106.
- 3. By hand delivery or courier. Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP–2004–0106. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit I.B.1.

# D. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- 6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this notice.
- 7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

### II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

EPA has received a pesticide petition as follows proposing the establishment and/or amendment of regulations for residues of a certain pesticide chemical in or on various food commodities under section 408 of FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a. EPA has determined that this petition contains data or information regarding the elements set forth in FFDCA section 408(d)(2); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the petition. Additional data may be needed before EPA rules on the petition.

# List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Agricultural commodities, Feed additives, Food additives, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: April 26, 2004.

# Betty Shackleford,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

# **Summary of Petition**

The petitioner summary of the pesticide petition is printed below as required by FFDCA section 408(d)(3). The summary of the petition was prepared by the petitioner and represents the view of the petitioner. The petition summary announces the availability of a description of the analytical methods available to EPA for the detection and measurement of the pesticide chemical residues or an explanation of why no such method is needed.

#### **Gustafson LLC**

4F6825

EPA has received a pesticide petition (4F6825) from Gustafson LLC, 1400 Preston Road, Suite 400, Plano, TX 75093 proposing, pursuant to section 408(d) of FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), to amend 40 CFR part 180 by establishing a tolerance for residues of imidacloprid, 1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-Nnitro-2-imidazolidinimine in or on the raw agricultural commodity soybean, seed at 1.0 parts per million (ppm) and the processed commodity soybean, meal at 2.5 ppm. EPA has determined that the petition contains data or information regarding the elements set forth in section 408(d)(2) of the FFDCA; however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the petition. Additional data may be needed before EPA rules on the petition.

# A. Residue Chemistry

1. Plant metabolism. The nature of the imidacloprid residue in plants and livestock is adequately understood. The residues of concern are combined residues of imidacloprid and its metabolites containing the 6-chloropyridinyl moiety, all calculated as imidacloprid.

2. Analytical method. The analytical method is a common moiety method for imidacloprid and its metabolites containing the 6-chloropyridinyl moiety using a permanganate oxidation, silyl derivatization, and capillary gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS) selective ion monitoring. This method has successfully passed a petition method validation in EPA labs. There is a confirmatory method specifically for imidacloprid and several metabolites utilizing GC/MS and high performance liquid chromotography/ ultraviolet (HPLC-UV) which has been validated by EPA as well. Imidacloprid and its metabolites are stable for at least 24 months in the commodities when

3. Magnitude of residues. Gustafson conducted three residue crop field trials to evaluate the quantity of imidacloprid expected in soybeans from application of Gaucho. Trials were conducted in three states. Imidacloprid residues in soybean seed were quantitated by gas chromatography using a mass selective detector. The limit of quantitation (LOQ) was 0.05 ppm. The average field result was 0.277 ppm. In a 10x processing study with soybean, the average residue in soybean meal was 0.947 ppm. The concentration factor for soybean meal is 2.2x.

# B. Toxicological Profile

1. Acute toxicity. The acute oral lethal dose (LD)50 values for imidacloprid technical ranged from 424 milligrams/ kilogram (mg/kg) in the male rat and >450 mg/kg in the female rat. The acute dermal  $LD_{50}$  was >5,000 mg/kg in the rat. The 4-hour rat inhalation lethal concentration (LC)<sub>50</sub> was greater 5.33 mg/L. Imidacloprid was not irritating to rabbit skin or eyes. Imidacloprid did not cause skin sensitization in guinea pigs. In an acute neurotoxicity study the LOEL = 42 milligrams/kilogram body weight/day (mg/kg bwt/day).

2. Genotoxicty. Mutagenicity studies as shown below have demonstrated that imidacloprid is non-mutagenic both in

vivo and in vitro.

3. Reproductive and developmental toxicity. In a developmental toxicity study with Sprague-Dawley rats, groups of pregnant animals (25/group) received oral administration of imidacloprid (94.2%) at 0, 10, 30, or 100 mg/kg bwt/ day during gestation days 6 through 16. Maternal toxicity was manifested as decreased body weight gain at all dose levels and reduced food consumption at 100 mg/kg bwt/day. No treatmentrelated effects were seen in any of the reproductive parameters (i.e., Cesarean section evaluation). At 100 mg/kg bwt/ day, developmental toxicity manifested as wavy ribs (fetus =7/149 in treated vs. 2/158 in controls and litters, 4/25 vs. 1/ 25). For maternal toxicity, the lowest observed effect level (LOEL) was 10 mg/ kg bwt/day (LDT) based on decreased body weight gain; a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) was not established. For developmental toxicity, the NOAEL was 30 mg/kg bwt/day and the LOEL was 100 mg/kg bwt/day based on increased wavy ribs (MRID No. 42256338)

In a developmental toxicity study with Chinchilla rabbits, groups of 16 pregnant does were given oral doses of imidacloprid (94.2%) at 0, 8, 24, or 72 mg/kg bwt/day during gestation days 6 through 18. For maternal toxicity, the NOAEL was 24 mg/kg bwt/day and the LOEL was 72 mg/kg bwt/day based on mortality, decreased body weight gain, increased resorptions, and increased abortions. For developmental toxicity, the NOAEL was 24 mg/kg bwt/day and the LOEL was 72 mg/kg bwt/day based on decreased fetal body weight, increased resorptions, and increased skeletal abnormalities (MRID No.

In a 2-generation reproductive toxicity study, imidacloprid (95.3%) was administered to Wistar/Han rats at dietary levels of 0, 100, 250, or 700 ppm (0, 7.3, 18.3, or 52.0 mg/kg bwt/day for

males and 0, 8.0, 20.5, or 57.4 mg/kg bwt/day for females) (MRID No. 42256340, Doc. No. 010537). For parental/systemic/reproductive toxicity, the NOAEL was 250 ppm (18.3 mg/kg bwt/day) and the LOEL was 750 ppm (52 mg/kg bwt/day), based on decreases in body weight in both sexes in both generations. Based on these factors, the EPA/OPP/HED Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee (HIARC) recommended that the Data Evaluation Record should be revised to indicate the parental/systemic/reproductive NOAEL and LOEL to be 250 and 700 ppm, respectively, based upon the body weight decrements observed in both sexes in both generations.

4. Subchronic toxicity. In a dermal toxicity study, groups of five male and five female New Zealand White rabbits received repeated dermal applications of imidacloprid (95%) at 1,000 milligrams/kilogram (mg/kg) body weight/day (bwt/day) (Limit Dose), 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 3 weeks. No dermal or systemic toxicity was seen. For systemic and dermal toxicity, the NOAEL was greater 1,000 mg/kg bwt/ day; a LOEL was not established (MRID

No. 42256329).

In an oral toxicity study, groups of Fischer 344 rats (12/sex/dose) were fed diets containing imidacloprid (98.8%) at 0, 150, 1,000, or 3,000 ppm (0, 9.3, 63.3, or 196 mg/kg bwt/day in males and 0, 10.5, 69.3 or 213 mg/kg bwt/day in females, respectively) for 90 days. No treatment-related effects were seen at 150 ppm. Treatment-related effects included decreases in body weight gain during the first 4 weeks of the study at 1,000 ppm (22% in males and 18% in females) and 3,000 ppm (50% in males and 25% in females) with an associated decrease in forelimb grip strength especially in males. The NOAEL was 150 ppm (9.3 and 10.5 mg/kg bwt/day in males and females, respectively) and the LOEL was 1,000 ppm (63.3 and 69.3 mg/kg bwt/day in males and females, respectively) (MRID No. 43286401).

In a rat inhalation study (28–day study in which rats were exposed 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 4 weeks), the NOAEL for imidacloprid was 5.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (MRID No. 42273001).

5. Chronic toxicity. In a chronic toxicity study, groups of beagle dogs (4/ sex/dose) were fed diets containing imidacloprid (94.9%) at 0, 200 or 1,250/ 2,500 ppm (0, 6.1, 15 or 41/72 mg/kg bwt/day, respectively) for 52 weeks. The 1,250 ppm dose was increased to 2,500 ppm from week 17 onwards. The threshold NOAEL was 1,250 ppm (41 mg/kg bwt/day). The LOEL was 2,500 ppm (72 mg/kg bwt/day) based on increased cytochrome-P-450 levels in

both sexes and was considered to be a threshold dose. Due to the lack of toxicity at 1,250 ppm, a LOEL was not established in this study; following the dose increase to the 2,500 ppm level, toxicity was observed, thus making 1,250 ppm the threshold NOAEL and 2,500 ppm the threshold LOEL (MRID No. 42273002).

6. Animal metabolism. The metabolism of NTN 33893 (imidacloprid) in rats was reported in seven studies. These data show that imidacloprid was rapidly absorbed and eliminated in the excreta (90% of the dose within 24 hours), demonstrating no biologically significant differences between sexes, dose levels, or route of administration. Elimination was mainly renal (70%-80% of the dose) and fecal (17%-25%). The major part of the fecal activity originated in the bile. Total body accumulation after 48 hours consisted of 0.5% of the radioactivity with the liver, kidney, lung, skin and plasma being the major sites of accumulation. Therefore, bioaccumulation of imidacloprid is low in rats. Maximum plasma concentration was reached between 1.1 and 2.5 hours. Two major routes of biotransformation were proposed for imidacloprid. The first route included an oxidative cleavage of the parent compound rendering 6-chloronicotinic acid and its glycine conjugate. Dechlorination of this metabolite formed the 6hydroxynicotinic acid and its mercapturic acid derivative. The second route included the hydroxylation followed by elimination of water of the parent compound rendering NTN 35884. A comparison between [methylene-14C]-imidacloprid and [imidazolidine-4,5-14C]-imidacloprid showed that while the rate of excretion was similar, the renal portion was higher with the imidazolidine-labeled compound. In addition, accumulation in tissues was generally higher with the imidazolidine-labeled compound.

A comparison between imidacloprid and one of its metabolites, WAK 3839, showed that the total elimination was the same for both compounds. The proposed metabolic pathways for these two compounds were different. WAK 3839 was formed following pretreatment (repeated dosing) of imidacloprid.

7. Endocrine disruption. The toxicology data base for imidacloprid is current and complete. Studies in this data base include evaluation of the potential effects on reproduction and development, and an evaluation of the pathology of the endocrine organs following short-term or long-term exposure. These studies revealed no

primary endocrine effects due to imidacloprid.

### C. Aggregate Exposure

1. Dietary exposure. Assessments were conducted to evaluate potential risks due to chronic and acute dietary exposure of the U.S. population and selected population subgroups to residues of imidacloprid. These analyses cover all registered crops including rotational crops and soybean uses, and section 18 uses on blueberries, cranberries, table beets, strawberries, turnips. Novigen Sciences, Inc.'s Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM<sup>TM</sup>, Version 7.81), which is licensed to Bayer CropScience, was used to estimate the chronic and acute dietary exposure (Tier 3) on behalf of Gustafson LLC. This software uses the food consumption data from the 1994-1998 USDA Continuing Surveys of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII 1994-1998).

The endpoint for acute dietary risk assessments is based on neurotoxicity characterized by decreases in motor or locomotor activity in female rats at 42 mg/kg bwt/day (LOEL) from an acute neurotoxicity study. Based on an uncertainty factor of 10x for interspecies and 10x for intraspecies the acute reference dose (aRfD) = 0.42 mg/kg bwt/ day. EPA has determined that an additional uncertainty factor (UF) for FQPA (reduced to 3x) applies to all population subgroups for acute risk. Application of the additional 3x safety factor results in an acute population adjusted dose (aPAD) 0.14 mg/kg bwt/ day or a MOE of 300.

For chronic dietary analyses, EPA has established the reference dose (RfD) for imidacloprid at 0.057 mg/kg/day based on a NOAEL of 5.7 mg/kg bwt/day from a rat chronic toxicity carcinogenicity study and uncertainty factors of 10x for interspecies and 10x for intraspecies. A chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD) of 0.057 mg/kg bwt/day was determined.

Results from the acute and chronic dietary exposure analyses described below demonstrate a reasonable certainty that no harm to the overall U.S. population or any population subgroup will result from the use of imidacloprid on currently registered and pending uses.

i. Food. Acute and chronic (Tier 3) risk assessments were made using the results of field trials conducted at maximum label application rates and the shortest pre-harvest intervals. For some of the vegetable crops, these residue data were collected at 1.5x or greater than the maximum label rate of 0.5 lb ai/A per season. In addition, no

adjustments were made to account for dissipation of residues during storage, transportation from the field to the consumer, washing or peeling. Therefore, the actual dietary exposure will be less than that presented here.

For the chronic analysis, mean field trial residues were calculated. For the acute Monte Carlo analysis, the entire distribution of residue field trial data was used for the "non-blended" and "partially blended" foods as determined by EPA's HED SOP 99.6. For the foods considered as "blended" by EPA'S HED SOP 99.6, mean field trial residue data were used. As allowed in EPA's draft guidance for submission of probabilistic human health exposure assessments one half LOD/LOQ values were used for all non-detected values (values below the sensitivity of the method).

a. Acute. Bayer CropScience's acute Monte Carlo dietary exposure assessment estimated percent of the aPAD and corresponding margins of exposure (MOE) for the overall U.S. population (all seasons), and various subpopulations. In this analysis, the exposure for the total U.S. population was equal to 7.8% of the aPAD at the 99.9th percentile. The most highly exposed population subgroup, children (1-2 years), had an exposure equal to 20.9% of the aPAD at the 99.9th percentile. Therefore, the acute dietary exposure estimates are below EPA's level of concern for the overall U.S. population as well as the various subpopulations.

b. *Chronic*. Bayer CropScience's chronic dietary exposure estimated the percent of the chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD) for the overall U.S. population (all seasons) and various subpopulations. In this analysis, the exposure for the total U.S. population was equal to 0.5% of the cPAD. The most highly exposed population subgroup, children (1–2 years), had an exposure equal to 1.5% of the cPAD. Therefore, the chronic exposure estimates are below EPA's level of concern for the overall U.S. population as well as the various

subpopulations.
ii. *Drinking water*. EPA, as published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 18554) (FRL–6777–6), calculated acute and chronic drinking water levels of concerns (DWLOCs) and compared them with the EECs for surface water and ground water. Based on this comparison, EPA determined that acute exposure and chronic exposure would not be expected to exceed the aPAD and cPAD, respectively.

2. Non-dietary exposure—i. Residential turf. Bayer CropScience has conducted an exposure study to address

the potential exposures of adults and children from contact with imidacloprid treated turf. The population considered to have the greatest potential exposure from contact with pesticide-treated turf soon after pesticides are applied are young children. Margins of safety (MOS) of 7,587–41,546 for 10-year old children and 6,859-45,249 for 5-year old children were estimated by comparing dermal exposure doses to the imidacloprid no observable effect level of 1,000 mg/kg/day established in a 15day dermal toxicity study in rabbits. The estimated safe residue levels of imidacloprid on treated turf for 10-year old children ranged from 5.6-38.2 g/cm<sup>2</sup> and for 5-year old children from 5.1-33.5 g/cm<sup>2</sup>. This compares with the average imidacloprid transferable residue level of 0.080 g/cm<sup>2</sup> present immediately after the sprays have dried. These data indicate that children can safely contact imidacloprid-treated turf as soon after application as the spray has dried.

ii. Termiticide. Imidacloprid is registered as a termiticide. Due to the nature of the treatment for termites, exposure would be limited to that from inhalation and was evaluated by EPA's Occupational and Residential Exposure Branch (OREB) and Bayer. Data indicate that the Margins of Safety for the worst case exposures for adults and infants occupying a treated building who are exposed continuously (24 hours/day) are  $8.0 \times 10^7$  and  $2.4 \times 10^8$ , respectively; and exposure can thus be considered negligible.

iii. Tobacco smoke. Studies have been conducted to determine residues in tobacco and the resulting smoke following treatment. Residues of imidacloprid in cured tobacco following treatment were a maximum of 31 ppm (7 ppm in fresh leaves). When this tobacco was burned in a pyrolysis study only 2% percent of the initial residue was recovered in the resulting smoke (main stream plus side stream). This would result in an inhalation exposure to imidacloprid from smoking of approximately 0.0005 mg per cigarette. Using the measured subacute rat inhalation NOEL of 5.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, it is apparent that exposure to imidacloprid from smoking (direct and/or indirect exposure) would not be significant.

iv. Pet treatment. Human exposure from the use of imidacloprid to treat dogs and cats for fleas has been addressed by EPA's Occupational and Exposure Branch (OREB) who have concluded that due to the fact that imidacloprid is not an inhalation or dermal toxicant and that while dermal absorption data are not available,

imidacloprid is not considered to present a hazard via the dermal route.

#### D. Cumulative Effects

Imidacloprid is a chloronicotinyl insecticide. At this time, EPA has not made a determination that imidacloprid and other substances that may have a common mechanism of toxicity would have cumulative effects. Therefore, for these tolerance petitions, it is assumed that imidacloprid does not have a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances and only the potential risks of imidacloprid in its aggregate exposure are considered.

#### E. Safety Determination

1. U.S. population. EPA has considered data from developmental toxicity studies in the rat and rabbit and a 2-generation reproduction study in the rat. These studies are discussed under section A (Toxicology Profile) above. The developmental toxicity data demonstrated no increased sensitivity of rats or rabbits to in utero exposure to imidacloprid. In addition, the multigeneration reproductive toxicity study did not identify any increased sensitivity of rats to in utero or postnatal exposure. Parental NOAELs were lower or equivalent to developmental or offspring NOAELs. The developmental toxicity studies are designed to evaluate adverse effects on the developing organism resulting from maternal pesticide exposure during gestation. Reproduction studies provide information relating to effects from exposure to the pesticide on the reproductive capability of mating animals and data on systemic toxicity.

Based on the exposure assessments described above and on the completeness and reliability of the toxicity data, it can be concluded that the dietary exposure estimates from all label and pending uses of imidacloprid are 7.8% of the aPAD at the 99.9th percentile and 0.5% of the cPAD for the U.S. population. Thus, it can be concluded that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to imidacloprid residues.

2. Infants and children. Based on the exposure assessments described above for the safety determination of the U.S. population and on the completeness and reliability of the toxicity data, it can be concluded that the dietary exposure estimates from all label and pending uses of imidacloprid are 20.9% of the aPAD at the 99.9th percentile and 1.5% of the cPAD for the most sensitive population subgroup, children 1–2 years. Thus, it can be concluded that there is a reasonable certainty that no

harm will result from aggregate exposure to imidacloprid residues.

#### F. International Tolerances

No CODEX maximum residue levels have been established for residues of imidacloprid on soybean. [FR Doc. 04–10103 Filed 5–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2004-0063; FRL-7354-8]

Esfenvalerate; Notice of Filing a Pesticide Petition to Establish a Tolerance for a Certain Pesticide Chemical in or on Food

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the initial filing of pesticide petitions proposing the establishment of regulations for residues of a certain pesticide chemical in or on various food commodities.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket ID number OPP–2004–0063, must be received on or before June 4, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shaja R. Brothers, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–3194; e-mail address: brothers.shaja@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

#### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS 111)
- Animal production (NAICS 112)
- Food manufacturing (NAICS 311)
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS 32532)

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

### B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket ID number OPP-2004-0063. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

2. Electronic access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although, not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public