

We do not agree with the petitioners' conclusion that none of the populations can be considered secure because every one is threatened by nonnative fishes, limited stream length, habitat limitations, or a combination of these factors.

Historically, overharvest of CRCT may have significantly reduced the numbers of CRCT in some areas, but we find that fishing regulations enacted by the States and the National Park Service provide measures that preclude excessive take by recreational angling. The petition did not present substantial information indicating funding to enforce or educate the public about these regulations was inadequate. Also, many CRCT waters are located in remote locations that experience very light fishing pressure.

Whirling disease is a significant concern for trout in general, but very few CRCT populations have tested positive for the disease and all three States are implementing management actions to protect CRCT from whirling disease. Also, much of the habitat for CRCT is unlikely to be conducive to the whirling disease pathogen. Therefore, we do not agree with the petition's assertions that overutilization or whirling disease present significant threats to CRCT. With regard to predation by nonnative fishes, we find that there is insufficient information to conclude that this issue is a significant threat to CRCT.

The Federal land management agencies all have programs in place to regulate land management activities. The petition did not provide evidence to support its allegation that these programs are not providing adequate protection, and why they are not effective in conserving CRCT. Service files do not contain adequate information on habitat conditions to make an informed determination as to whether Federal lands are being adequately protected or enhanced by existing regulations and policies. Thus, the Service has no reason to assume the programs in place for CRCT management are inadequate.

Although some CRCT populations are threatened by hybridization, we conclude that significant numbers of populations have been determined to be core conservation populations (>99 percent pure). Further, the States have implemented policies to protect the genetic purity of the core conservation populations. Competition from brook trout is recognized as a threat to CRCT and the State and Federal agencies are implementing management techniques to offset this threat. Many core conservation populations (53%) are protected by natural or artificial barriers

and the States have ongoing programs to remove brook trout from CRCT waters.

The petition failed to recognize the ongoing conservation efforts of the members of the CRCT Coordination Team. Numerous conservation efforts are ongoing in all three States and in general appear to be well funded. We conclude that the management programs currently in place for CRCT are improving the status of this subspecies and continued improvement is anticipated in the future. Therefore, as required by section 4(b)(3)(A) of the ESA, we conclude that the petition did not present substantial information to demonstrate that the listing may be warranted. This finding is based on all information available to us at this time.

References Cited: A complete list of all references cited herein is available upon request from the Grand Junction, Colorado Field Office (*see ADDRESSES*).

Author: The primary author of this document is Patty Schrader Gelatt, Colorado Field Office, Grand Junction, Colorado.

Authority: The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: April 8, 2004.

Elizabeth H. Stevens,

Acting Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 04-8633 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

NM-910-04-1020-PH

New Mexico Resource Advisory Council, Notice of Call for Nominations

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, New Mexico Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held on June 9-10, 2004, beginning at 8 a.m. at the Hilton Inn, 705 S. Telshor, Las Cruces, New Mexico, in the Soledad Room. The meeting will adjourn at approximately 5 p.m. on Wednesday, June 9, 2004, and 12 noon on Thursday, June 10, 2004. The two established RAC working groups may have a late afternoon or an evening meeting on Wednesday, June 9,

2004. An optional field trip is planned for Tuesday, June 8, 2004.

The public comment period is scheduled for Tuesday, June 8, 2004, from 6-7 p.m. The public may present written comments to the RAC. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, individual oral comments may be limited.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15-member RAC advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in New Mexico. All meetings are open to the public. At this meeting, topics for discussion include: Division of Resources' issues, Fluid Minerals' report, Otero Mesa update, Field Managers' reports, and feedback from the RAC Chairs meeting in Phoenix.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Theresa Herrera, New Mexico State Office, Office of External Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-0115, (505) 438-7517.

Dated: April 14, 2004.

Ron Dunton,

Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. 04-8876 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for 1029-0124

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request approval for the collection of information for Revegetation: Standards for Success required for surface mining activities and underground mining activities at 30 CFR 816.116 and 817.116. OSM submitted an emergency request to the Office of Management and Budget to seek approval for OSM to continue collecting the information required by these sections. OMB approved the request and assigned them clearance number 1029-0124.

DATES: Comments on the proposed information collection must be received

by June 21, 2004, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to John A. Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave., NW., Room 210—SIB, Washington, DC. 20240. Comments may also be submitted electronically to jtreleas@osmre.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the information collection request, explanatory information and related form, contact John A. Trelease, at (202) 208–2783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities (see 5 CFR 1320.8 (d)).

This notice identifies information collections at 30 CFR 816.116 and 817.116 that OSM will be submitting to OMB.

OSM previously received approval for collection activities for 30 CFR part 816 and part 817. They were assigned clearance number 1029–0047. However, OSM inadvertently failed to include in the clearance request existing collection requirements for §§ 816.116 and 817.116. These sections require State regulatory authorities to develop statistically valid sampling techniques, and for operators to document revegetation information during Phase 3 bond release. OSM requested and received an emergency clearance from OMB for the collection activities in §§ 816.116 and 817.116. They were assigned clearance number 1029–0124. Now, OSM is seeking a 3-year term of approval for these collections.

Comments are invited on: (1) The need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; (2) the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information. A summary of the public comments will accompany OSM's submission of the information collection request to OMB.

This notice provides the public with 60 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

Title: Revegetation: Standards for Success, 30 CFR 816.116 and 817.116.
OMB Control Number: 1029–0124.

Summary: Section 515 and 516 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 provides that permittees conducting coal mining operations shall meet all applicable performance standards of the Act. The information collected is used by the regulatory authority in inspecting surface and underground coal mining reclamation activities to ensure that they are revegetated in accordance with applicable State requirements.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: Coal mining operators and State regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Responses: 882.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 70,600.

Total Annual Non-Wage Costs: \$44,000.

Dated: April 14, 2004.

Sarah E. Donnelly,

Acting Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 04–8902 Filed 4–19–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA–1921–167 (Review)]

Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape From Italy

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping finding on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping finding on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 6, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jai Motwane (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain

information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On April 6, 2004, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (69 FR 101, January 2, 2004) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report. A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 10, 2004, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions. As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before May 13, 2004, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by May 13, 2004. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by 3M Co. (including those submitted on behalf of Intertape Polymer Group, Inc.; Shurtape Technologies, Inc.; and Sekisui TA Industries, Inc.) to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).