

Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-788 Filed 4-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2835-005 New York]

New York State Electric and Gas Corporation; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

April 2, 2004.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for relicensing the Rainbow Falls Hydroelectric Project located on the Ausable River in Clinton and Essex counties, New York, and has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project. The EA contains the staff's analysis of the potential environmental effects of the project and concludes that licensing the project, with appropriate environmental protective measures, would not constitute a major Federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the EA is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll

free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please affix "Rainbow Falls Project No. 2835-005" to all comments. Comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. For further information, contact Jack Hannula at (202) 502-8917 or by E-mail at John.Hannula@ferc.gov.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-798 Filed 4-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98-1-000]

Records Governing Off-the Record Communications; Public Notice

April 2, 2004.

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(b), of the receipt of exempt and prohibited off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive an exempt or prohibited off-the-record communication relevant to the merits of a contested on-the-record proceeding, to deliver a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication, to the Secretary.

Prohibited communications will be included in a public, non-decisional file

associated with, but not a part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become a part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such a request only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication shall serve the document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications will be included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).

The following is a list of prohibited and exempt communications recently received in the Office of the Secretary. The communications listed are grouped by docket numbers. These filings are available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary (FERRIS) link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC, Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Docket no.	Date filed	Presenter or requester
Prohibited		
1. Project No. 2342-000	3-25-04	Kathy B. Newman.
2. Project No. 2342-000	4-01-04	Aimee Durden.
4. CP04-58-000	3-29-04	Michael Boyd.
Exempt		
1. ER04-316-000	3-24-04	Hon. Doug Ose.
2. CP03-75-000	3-31-04	Ken Gathright.
3. CP03-75-000	3-31-04	Frederick T. Werner.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-790 Filed 4-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6650-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65443-OR Rating EO2, Biscuit Fire Recovery Project, various management activities alternatives, implementation, Rogue River and Siskiyou National Forests, Josephine and Curry Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with adverse impacts to water quality under the preferred alternative. Impacts from increased sediment delivery to already impaired surface waters could cause long term exceedances in State Water Quality standards and effects on designated beneficial uses (salmonid rearing). EPA's other concerns included impacts to waters in the Northwest Forest Plan-designated key watersheds, Wild and Scenic Rivers, and potential wilderness values in Inventoried Roadless Areas.

ERP No. D-FHW-F40420-MN Rating EC2, I-94/TH-10 Interregional connection from St. Cloud to Becker, transportation improvements, funding and U.S. Army COE section 404 permit, in the cities of Becker and St. Cloud, Sherburn, Stearns and Wright Counties, MN.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns with the proposed project related to the secondary land use and cumulative impacts associated with the project. EPA also recommends that a mitigation plan with specific mitigation measures be developed and included in the FEIS for the preferred alternative identified.

ERP No. D-IBR-K65262-CA Rating EC2, Lake Berryessa Visitor Services

Plan, future use and operation, Solano Project Lake Berryessa, Napa County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that significant increases in visitor use under the proposed VSP could result in negative impacts to air and water quality. EPA requested information on estimated future use and environmental impacts be included in the FEIS.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-C65003-PR, Caribbean National Forest, Rio Sabana picnic area construction, Rio Sabana Trail Reconstruction and PR-191 Highway Reconstruction from km.21.3 to km 20.0, implementation and special-use permit issuance, PR.

Summary: Based upon the additional information provided in the final EIS, EPA does not have any objections to the implementation of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65383-MT, Logan Creek Ecosystem Restoration Project, hazardous fuel reduction across the landscape and vegetation management restoration or maintenance, Flathead National Forest, Tally Lake Ranger District, Flathead County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with short-term water quality effects of road construction and vegetation treatments. However, modifications to the preferred alternative should improve water quality and fisheries habitat, and reduce risk of severe wildfire over the long term.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65388-UT, North Rich Cattle Allotment, proposal to authorize grazing, implementation, Logan District, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Cache and Rich Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns about impacts to water quality and aquatic resources, soil resources, and wildlife habitat.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65391-WY, Blackhall-McAnulty Analysis Area, proposal to reduce the spread of Dwarf Mistletoe and Mountain Pine Beetle in Lodgepole Pine Stands, Brush Creek/Hayden Ranger District, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests and Thunder Basin National Grassland, Carbon County, WY.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns with disturbances to terrestrial habitat and watersheds and impacts within the project area. The final EIS did however propose to minimize impacts on the landscape and decommission roads while meeting project goals.

ERP No. F-AFS-K61158-CA, Silver Pearl Land Exchange Project, proposal

to exchange 2,153 acres of National Forest System (NFS) land for up to 3,963 acres of Sierra Pacific Industries (SPI) land within the boundary of Eldorado National Forest, Eldorado and Placer Counties, CA.

Summary: The final EIS adequately addresses issues raised in our comment letter on the DEIS.

ERP No. F-AFS-K65260-AZ, Rodeo-Chediski Fire Salvage Project, timber harvest of merchantable dead trees as sawtimber and products other than lumber (POL), implementation, Apache-Sitgreaves and Tonto National Forests, Apache, Coconino and Navajo Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns regarding cumulative impacts and potential impacts to watershed conditions.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65426-OR, Flagtail Fire Recovery Project, addressing the differences between existing and desired conditions, Blue Mountain Ranger District, Malheur National Forest, Grant County, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FRC-H03000-00, Cheyenne Plains Pipeline Project, natural gas transmission pipeline construction and operation, NPDES permit and U.S. Army COE section 404 permit issuance, several counties, CO and several counties, KS.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action since previous issues were resolved.

ERP No. FA-COE-E36167-FL, Central and Southern Florida Project, Tamiami Trail Feature (US Highway 41), modified water deliveries to Everglades National Park, Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA has no environmental objections to the measures proposed to protect the Tamiami roadway.

Dated: April 6, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04-8098 Filed 4-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6650-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements