

selection process and the unsolicited proposal process. The addition of the phrase "and cost-related or price-related information" instead of "factors" more clearly addresses the requirements of statute and is consistent with established procedures for initial review of unsolicited proposals.

This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of Defense, the General Services Administration, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration certify that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because, while we have made changes in accordance with plain language guidelines, we have not substantively changed procedures for award and administration of contracts.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the FAR do not impose information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 15

Government procurement.

Dated: March 26, 2004.

Laura Auletta,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.

■ Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA amend 48 CFR part 15 as set forth below:

PART 15—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 15 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

■ 2. Amend section 15.603 by removing "and" from the end of paragraph (c)(4); removing the period from the end of paragraph (c)(5) and adding "; and" in its place; and adding a new paragraph (c)(6) to read as follows:

15.603 General.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(6) Not address a previously published agency requirement.

* * * * *

■ 3. In section 15.606–1, amend paragraph (a) by—

■ a. Revising paragraph (a)(4);

■ b. Redesignating paragraphs (a)(5) and (a)(6) as (a)(6) and (a)(7), respectively; and

■ c. Adding a new paragraph (a)(5). The revised and added text reads as follows:

15.606–1 Receipt and initial review.

(a) * * *

(4) Contains sufficient technical information and cost-related or price-related information for evaluation;

(5) Has overall scientific, technical, or socioeconomic merit;

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 04–7408 Filed 4–2–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 29

[FAC 2001–22; FAR Case 2003–020; Item V]

RIN 9000–AJ89

Federal Acquisition Regulation; New Mexico Tax—United States Missile Defense Agency

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council (Councils) have agreed on a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to incorporate the Missile Defense Agency (MDA), as a participating agency within the terms and conditions stipulated in the FAR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 5, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The FAR Secretariat at (202) 501–4755 for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Mr. Edward Loeb, Policy Advisor, at (202) 501–0650. Please cite FAC 2001–22, FAR case 2003–020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This final rule amends FAR 29.401–4(c), to include the Missile Defense Agency in the list of agencies that have entered into an agreement with the State of New Mexico to eliminate the double taxation of Government cost-reimbursement contracts when contractors and their subcontractors purchase tangible personal property to be used in the performance of services in the State of New Mexico and for which title to such property will subsequently pass to the United States upon delivery of the property to the contractor and its subcontractor by the vendor.

This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act does not apply to this rule. This final rule does not constitute a significant FAR revision within the meaning of FAR 1.501 and Public Law 98–577, and publication for public comments is not required. However, the Councils will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected FAR Part 29 in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* (FAC 2001–22, FAR case 2003–020), in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the FAR do not impose information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 29

Government procurement.

Dated: March 26, 2004.

Laura Auletta,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.

■ Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA amend 48 CFR part 29 as set forth below:

PART 29—TAXES

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 29 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

29.401-4 [Amended]

- 2. Amend section 29.401-4 in the list following paragraph (c)(1) by—
- a. Removing “United States Defense Special Weapons Agency” and adding “United States Defense Threat Reduction Agency” in its place;
- b. Removing “and” after “United States General Services Administration;” and
- c. Adding, in alphabetical order, “United States Missile Defense Agency; and”.

[FR Doc. 04-7409 Filed 4-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION****48 CFR Part 52**

[FAC 2001-22; Item VI]

**Federal Acquisition Regulation;
Technical Amendments**

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document makes amendments to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) in order to make editorial changes.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 5, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The FAR Secretariat, Room 4035, GS

Building, Washington, DC 20405, (202) 501-4755, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. Please cite FAC 2001-22, Technical Amendments.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 52

Government procurement.

Dated: March 26, 2004.

Laura Auletta,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.

Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA amend 48 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

**PART 52—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS
AND CONTRACT CLAUSES**

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 52 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

52.212-5 [Amended]

2. Amend section 52.212-5 by revising the date of the clause to read “(Apr 2004)” and in paragraph (b)(24) of the clause by removing “(Oct 2003)” and adding “(Dec 2003)” in its place.

52.213-4 [Amended]

3. Amend section 52.213-4 by revising the date of the clause to read “(Apr 2004)” and in paragraph (a)(1)(iv) of the clause by removing “(Oct 2003)” and adding “(Dec 2003)” in its place.

[FR Doc. 04-7410 Filed 4-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION****48 CFR Chapter 1****Federal Acquisition Regulation; Small
Entity Compliance Guide**

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Small Entity Compliance Guide.

SUMMARY: This document is issued under the joint authority of the Secretary of Defense, the Administrator of General Services and the Administrator for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This *Small Entity Compliance Guide* has been prepared in accordance with Section 212 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. It consists of a summary of rules appearing in Federal Acquisition Circular (FAC) 2001-22 which amend the FAR. An asterisk (*) next to a rule indicates that a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared. Interested parties may obtain further information regarding these rules by referring to FAC 2001-22 which precedes this document. These documents are also available via the Internet at <http://www.arnet.gov/far>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurie Duarte, FAR Secretariat, (202) 501-4225. For clarification of content, contact the analyst whose name appears in the table below.

LIST OF RULES IN FAC 2001-22

Item	Subject	FAR case	Analyst
I*	Government Property Disposal	1995-013	Parnell.
II	General Provisions of the Cost Principles	2001-034	Loeb.
III	Unique Contract and Order Identifier Numbers	2002-025	Zaffos.
IV	Unsolicited Proposals	2002-027	Wise.
V	New Mexico Tax—United States Missile Defense Agency	2003-020	Loeb.
VI	Technical Amendments.		

**Item I—Government Property Disposal
(FAR Case 1995-013)**

This final rule amends FAR Parts 1, 2, 8, 45, 49, 52, and 53 to simplify procedures, reduce recordkeeping, and eliminate requirements related to the disposition of Government property in the possession of contractors.

**Item II—General Provisions of the Cost
Principles (FAR Case 2001-034)**

This final rule amends the FAR to revise certain general provisions of the cost principles contained at FAR 31.201-1, Composition of total cost; FAR 31.201-2, Determining allowability; FAR 31.202, Direct costs; and FAR 31.203, Indirect costs. The rule revises the cost principles by improving clarity and structure, and removing

unnecessary and duplicative language. The final rule also adds the definition of “direct cost” and revises the definition of “indirect cost” at FAR 2.101, Definitions, to be consistent with the terminology used in the cost accounting standards (CAS). The case was initiated as a result of comments and recommendations received from industry and Government representatives during a series of public