DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13.

Bureau: International Trade Administration.

Title: Participation Agreement, Trade Mission Application and Conditions of Participation.

Agency Form Numbers: ITA-4008P, ITA-4008P-1 and ITA-4008P-A.

OMB Number: 0625-0147.

Type of Request: Regular Submission. Burden: 2,792 hours.

Number of Respondents: 7,500.

Avg. Hours Per Response: 20 minutes and 70 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The Department of Commerce's International Trade Administration (ITA) sponsors overseas trade promotion events in which U.S. companies display, demonstrate, and promote their goods and services in foreign markets. These events include trade fairs, trade and seminar missions, and catalogue shows. Form ITA-4008P, Participation Agreement (PA), is the vehicle by which individual firms agree to participate in ITA's trade promotion program, identify the products and/or services they intend to sell or promote, and record their required financial contribution to the Department of Commerce. Together with the relevant "Conditions of Participation" they form a contract between an individual firm and the U.S. Department of Commerce. Form ITA–4008P–1, Trade Mission Application, is used to solicit information only from those firms seeking to participate in Department of Commerce overseas trade missions covered by the Statement of Policy Governing Overseas Trade Missions of the Department of Commerce issued on March 3, 1997. The Secretary's policy statement concluded that companies that wish to be considered for participation on a trade mission must meet certain criteria and make certain certifications. The information collected permits the Department to determine the eligibility and appropriateness of a firm's participation relative to other applicants and to the participation criteria set out in the Secretary's policy statement. This information includes the name and title of the individual(s) participating in the mission, number of employees, U.S. content of the applicant's products, export experience

of the firm, line of business, and objectives of participation. Together with the Form ITA-4008P, "Participation Agreement" and ITA–4008P–A, "Conditions of Participation", ITA-4008P-1, Trade Mission Application forms a contract between an individual firm and the U.S. Department of Commerce. For trade missions led by the Secretary of Commerce, a Supplemental Form for Secretarial Trade Missions is added to the trade mission application. For security purposes and to protect the safety and integrity of the trade mission and its participants, a background screening is conducted on each trade mission applicant. The information requested for security purposes is not used for any other purpose. The Secretarial Trade Mission Supplement also collects the type of business entity and date and state of formation. This information is used to assist in verifying the legitimacy of the company. Finally, the addendum includes a request for biographical and company information. This information may be used for promotional purposes.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit, voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution, NW., Washington, DC 20230 or via Internet at dHynek@doc.gov.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Dated: February 6, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–3376 Filed 2–10–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–FP–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Survey of Housing Starts, Sales, and Completions

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before April 14, 2003. ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW..

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Washington, DC 20230 (or via the

Internet at dhynek@doc.gov).

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Erica Filipek, Census Bureau, Room 2105, FOB 4, Washington, DC 20233–6900, (301) 763–5161.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau conducts the Survey of Housing Starts, Sales, and Completions, also known as the Survey of Construction (SOC), to collect monthly data on new residential construction from a sample of owners or builders. The Census Bureau uses the Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) electronic questionnaires SOC-QI/SF.1 and SOC-QI/MF.1 to collect data on starts and completions dates of construction, physical characteristics of the structure (floor area, number of bathrooms, type of heating system, etc.), and if applicable, date of sale, sales price, and type of financing. The SOC program provides widely used measures of construction activity, including the economic indicators Housing Starts and Housing Completions, which are from the New Residential Construction series, and New Residential Sales.

We plan to request a three year extension of the expiration date with no changes to forms SOC–QI/SF.1 and SOC–OI/MF.1.

II. Method of Collection

The Census Bureau uses its field representatives to collect the data. The field representatives conduct interviews to obtain data.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607–0110. *Form Number:* SOC–QI/SF.1 and SOC–QI/MF.1. Type of Review: Regular Review. Affected Public: Individuals or households, business, or other for profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 8,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.092. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 8.734.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The estimated cost to the respondent is \$207,591 based on an average hourly pay for respondent to be \$23.77. This estimate was taken from the Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics Survey for 2001.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 6, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–3377 Filed 2–10–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-337-803]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination to Revoke the Order in Part, and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon From Chile

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On August 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. The review covers sixteen producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2001. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the Final Results of Review section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 11, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Vicki Schepker or Constance Handley, at (202) 482–1784 or (202) 482–0631, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office V, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination to Revoke the Order in Part, and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon From Chile, 67 FR 51182 (August 7, 2002) (Preliminary Results).

We invited parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. On October 3. 2002, we received case briefs from respondents Cultivadora de Salmones Linao Ltda. and Salmones Tecmar S.A. (collectively, Linao and Tecmar)1, Pesquera Eicosal Ltda., (Eicosal), Los Fiordos, Ltda. (Los Fiordos), Marine Harvest (Chile) S.A., (Marine Harvest), Salmones Mainstream S.A. (Mainstream), Salmones Pacifico Sur S.A. (Pacifico Sur), Pesca Chile S.A. (Pesca Chile), and L.R. Enterprises.2 On October 8, 2002, L.R. Enterprises filed an unsolicited, revised case brief with regard to Mainstream because its October 3 submission on Mainstream contained numerous inadvertent errors.

On October 10, 2002, we received rebuttal briefs from respondents Cultivos Marinos Chiloe, Ltda. (Cultivos Marinos), Eicosal, Linao and Tecmar, Mainstream, Marine Harvest, Pacifico Sur and L.R. Enterprises.

On October 15, 2002, the Department sent L.R. Enterprises a letter regarding its case brief on Mainstream, requiring the redaction of new factual information contained in the October 3 and 8 versions. On October 16, 2002, L.R. Enterprises submitted redacted versions of the October 3 and 8 versions of its case brief on Mainstream. At the hearing on October 17, 2002, the Department informed L.R. Enterprises that the versions submitted on October 16 still contained information that should have been redacted. The Department instructed L.R. Enterprises to re-submit its case brief on Mainstream with all of the appropriate information redacted by the close of business on October 18, 2002. L.R. Enterprises re-submitted the brief.

On October 22, 2002, Mainstream submitted a letter to the Department stating that the re-submitted, revised version filed by L.R. Enterprises on October 18 continued to contain new factual information that should have been redacted. Mainstream requested that, given that L.R. Enterprise's fourth attempt still contained new factual information, the Department reject as untimely filed L.R. Enterprise's October 18, 2002, submission. Mainstream also provided the Department with its own version of what the correctly redacted case brief should look like. On October 24, 2002, L.R. Enterprises filed a response to Mainstream's October 22, 2002, letter, in which it argued that its October 18 version was correctly redacted and that there was no longer any new factual information contained in the brief. L.R. Enterprises also included in its October 24 filing a revised version of the case brief, removing only the reference to new information contained in Exhibit 1. On October 25, 2002, the Department asked L.R. Enterprises to resubmit its case brief on Mainstream in compliance with the Department's specific redaction instructions contained within that letter. On October 28, 2002, L.R. Enterprises complied with the Department's request and submitted the revised version of its case brief on Mainstream.

Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Prior to the publication of the preliminary results in this review, respondent Salmones Unimarc S.A. (Salmones Unimarc) certified to the Department that it had not shipped subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. As described in the *Preliminary Results*, U.S. import

 $^{^{\}rm 1}{\rm Linao}$ and Tecmar were collapsed in the third administrative review. See Preliminary Results at 51186.

²L.R. Enterprises is a domestic producer of subject merchandise with operations in Lubec, Maine