#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-122–838]

# Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada for the period May 22, 2002, through April 30, 2003. We are now rescinding this review with respect to eight companies for which the requests for an administrative review have been withdrawn.

# **EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 4, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amber Musser or Constance Handley, at (202) 482–1777 or (202) 482–0631, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 5, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On May 1, 2003, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request the first administrative review of this order. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 68 FR 23281

(May 1, 2003). On May 30, 2003, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Coalition for Fair Lumber Imports Executive Committee (the petitioner) requested a review of 192 producers/ exporters of certain softwood lumber products. Also, between the dates of May 7, 2003, and June 2, 2003, 338 Canadian producers requested a review on their own behalf or had a review of their company requested by a U.S. importer. Taking into consideration the overlap in the three aforementioned categories, the total number of companies for which reviews were requested was 422.

On July 1, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review, covering the period May 22, 2002, through April 30, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping Administrative Review, 68 FR 39059

(July 1, 2003). The initiation, and subsequent correction, covered 422 companies.¹ On September 11, 2003, the Department published a notice of rescission for 48 companies for which review requests had been withdrawn on July 18 and August 4, 2003. See Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 68 FR 53546 (September 11, 2003) (First Rescission Notice). Based on this rescission of the 48 companies, the total number of companies under review was reduced to 374.

On September 29, 2003, nine lumber companies withdrew their requests for their own reviews. However, the petitioner had also requested the review of one of these nine companies, Préparabois Inc. The petitioner has not withdrawn its request for the review of Préparabois Inc. Accordingly, the Department has not rescinded the review with respect to this company.

#### Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

The additional 8 companies, for whom the review will be rescinded, are as follows:

Ainsworth Lumber Co. Ltd.

**Bathurst Lumber** 

Blackville Lumber

Bois de l'Est F.B. Inc.

Boscus Canada Inc.

Groupe de Scieries G.D.S. Inc.

Produits Forestiers Lamco Inc.

Taylor Lumber Company Ltd.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 315.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review with respect to each of the above listed companies. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 28, 2003.

#### Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–27688 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am]

# BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

# Colorado State University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–046. Applicant: Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523. Instrument: Piezoelectric Scanning Stage, Model NIS–30. Manufacturer: Nanonics Imaging Ltd, Israel. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 56622, October 1, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant.

The accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and we know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the previously imported instrument.

# Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–27691 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# University of Chicago; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5 PM in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–043. Applicant: University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637–1470. Instrument: Microscope Accessories. Manufacturer: Luigs & Neumann GmbH, Germany. Intended

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Buchanan Lumber, a distinct entity from Buchanan Lumber Sales Inc., was inadvertently omitted from the original initiation notice. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 44524 (July 29, 2003).

*Use:* See notice at 68 FR 53547, September 11, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments, for such purposes as they are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: These are compatible accessories for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant.

The accessories are pertinent to the intended uses and we know of no domestic accessories which can be readily adapted to the previously imported instrument.

#### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–27693 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# The University of Michigan; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–024R. Applicant: The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109–2136. Instrument: Materials Preparation and Crystal Growth System, Model MCGS5. Manufacturer: Crystalox Limited, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 36769, June 19, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) Induction cold crucible melting capable of complete levitation of the charge (for purity), (2) Czochralski growth for production of single crystals and (3) small crucible volume (21 ccm<sup>2</sup>) allowing research-scale experiments with precious metals (e.g., platinum). The National Institute of Standards and Technology advises in its memorandum of October 22, 2003 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or

apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

#### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–27694 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

# University of Michigan; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–041. Applicant: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109. Instrument: 2 (each) CdZnTe Conplanar Grad Radiation Detectors. Manufacturer: Baltic Scientific Instruments, Latvia. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 53547, September 11, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides optimal fabrication of a CdZnTe crystal gamma-ray detector using very specialized crystals and signal processing techniques for high energy resolution for use in space exploration. A university physics department advised October 27, 2003 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value

to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

#### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–27692 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

# Notice of Solicitation of Comments on Modification of Worsted Wool Fabric Tariff Rate Quotas

**AGENCY:** Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of solicitation of comments on a request for modification of tariff rate quota limitations on the import of certain worsted wool fabrics.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be received or postmarked by 5:00 p.m., November 24, 2003.

ADDRESS: Comments must be submitted to: Deputy Assistant Secretary for Textiles, Apparel and Consumer Goods Industries, Room 3001, United States Department of Commerce. Washington, D.C. 20230. Six copies of comments should be submitted.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sergio Botero, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4058.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce (Department) hereby solicits comments on a request for an increase in the limitations on the quantity of imports of certain worsted wool fabric under the 2004 tariff rate quotas established by the Trade and Development Act of 2000 (TDA 2000), and amended by the Trade Act of 2002. To be considered, comments must be received or postmarked by 5:00 p.m. November 24, 2003 and must comply with the requirements of 15 CFR 340 (66 FR 6459, published January 22, 2001). Thirty days after the end of the comment period, the Department will determine whether the limitations should be modified.

# **Background**

Title V of the TDA 2000 created two tariff rate quotas (TRQs), providing for temporary reductions for three years in the import duties on limited quantities of two categories of worsted wool fabrics suitable for use in making suits, suit-type jackets, or trousers: (1) for worsted wool fabric with average fiber diameters greater than 18.5 microns (Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the