

appointment in our library at 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005. You may also obtain copies at 20 cents per page. Telephone our librarian at 202-927-8210 if you want to schedule an appointment or to request copies of comments.

For your convenience, we will post comments received in response to this notice on the TTB Web site. We may omit voluminous attachments or material that we consider unsuitable for posting. In all cases, the full comment will be available in our reference library. To view the online copies of the comments on this rulemaking, visit <http://www.ttb.gov/alcohol/rules/index.htm> and select the "View comments" link under this notice number.

Regulatory Analyses and Notices

Paperwork Reduction Act

We propose no requirement to collect information. Therefore, the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, do not apply.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

We certify that this proposed regulation, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This proposed regulation imposes no new reporting, recordkeeping, or other administrative requirements. Any benefit derived from the use of a viticultural area name would be the result of a proprietor's efforts and consumer acceptance of wines from that area. Therefore, no regulatory flexibility analysis is required.

Executive Order 12866

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action, as defined by Executive Order 12866, 58 FR 51735. Therefore, it requires no regulatory assessment.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is B.J. Kipp, Regulations and Procedures Division (Portland, Oregon), Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Wine.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, we propose to amend title 27, Code of Federal Regulations, part 9, American Viticultural Areas, as follows:

PART 9—AMERICAN VITICULTURAL AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 9 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 27 U.S.C. 205.

2. Subpart C is amended by adding Section 9.____ to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

* * * * *

§9.____ Ribbon Ridge.

(a) *Name.* The name of the viticultural area described in this section is "Ribbon Ridge".

(b) *Approved Maps.* The appropriate maps for determining the boundary of the Ribbon Ridge viticultural area are two 1:24,000 scale, United States Geological Survey, topographic maps. They are:

(1) Laurelwood Quadrangle, Oregon, 7.5 Minutes Series, 1956, photorevised 1978; and

(2) Dundee Quadrangle, Oregon, 7.5 Minute Series, 1956 (revised 1993).

(c) *Boundaries.* Ribbon Ridge viticultural area, located in northern Yamhill County, Oregon, between Newberg and Gaston, consists of the land at 240 feet in elevation or above within this 9.85-mile circumferential county road loop:

(1) The point of beginning is on the Laurelwood Quadrangle map, in Yamhill County, section 58, R3W, T2S, where the 240-foot contour line intersects with North Valley Road at the southern edge of the map;

(2) Proceed north 0.6 miles along the North Valley Road until it intersects with Albertson Road (shown but unnamed on the Laurelwood Quadrangle);

(3) Proceed east 0.2 miles along Albertson Road until it intersects with Dopp Road. (Approximate elevation ranges from 220 to 240 feet over this distance.);

(4) Proceed south, then east, and then south again 1.6 miles along Dopp Road (beside Ayres Creek) to the southern edge of the map, section 53, R3W, T2S. (Approximate elevation ranges from 220 to 460 feet over this distance.);

(5) Continue on the Dundee Quadrangle map, section 53, R3W, T2S. Proceed south 2.15 miles on Dopp Road to slightly south of the intersection of Dopp Road and Calkins Lane where the 240-foot contour line crosses Dopp Road. (Elevation ranges approximately 400–240 feet over this distance.);

(6) Proceed south on Dopp Road 1.1 miles to its intersection with North Valley Road. (Elevation ranges from 180 to 260 feet over this distance.);

(7) Proceed west on North Valley Road 1.0 miles to its intersection with Ribbon Ridge Road. (Elevation ranges from 180 to 260 feet over this distance.); and

(8) Proceed generally north on North Valley Road 3.2 miles to the northern edge of the Dundee Quadrangle to where the 240-foot contour line intersects with North Valley Road (the point of beginning), section 58, R3W, T2S. (Approximate elevation ranges from 180 to 220 feet over this distance.).

Signed: October 1, 2003.

Arthur J. Libertucci,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-27586 Filed 10-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[KY145-200339(b); FRL-7582-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans for Kentucky; Permit Provisions for Jefferson County, KY

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Jefferson County, Kentucky portion of the Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP) which amends four regulations of the Metro Louisville Air Pollution Control District (MLAPCD). The four MLAPCD regulations being revised are: Regulation 1.08, "Administrative Procedures," Regulation 2.05, "Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality," Regulation 2.09, "Causes for Permit Modification, Revocation, or Suspension," and Regulation 2.17, "Federally Enforceable District Origin Operating Permits." In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second

comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 3, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail to: Michele Notarianni, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Comments may also be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions described in the direct final rule, **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** (sections I. B.1. through 3.), which is published in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michele Notarianni, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Phone: (404) 562-9031. E-mail: notarianni.michele@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 21, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 03-27552 Filed 10-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[AZ 115-0058b; FRL-7574-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Arizona

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve the maintenance plan for the Ajo area in Arizona and grant the request submitted by the State to redesignate this area from nonattainment to attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for sulfur dioxide (SO₂).

DATES: Comments on this proposal must be received by December 3, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed or emailed to Wienke Tax, Office of Air Planning (AIR-2), U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, tax.wienke@epa.gov. Comments may also be submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov>. We prefer electronic comments. You can inspect copies of EPA's **Federal Register** document and Technical Support Document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours (see address above). Due to increased security, we suggest that you call at least 24 hours prior to visiting the Regional Office so that we can make arrangements to have someone meet you. The **Federal Register** notice and TSD are also available as electronic files on EPA's Region 9 Web Page at <http://www.epa.gov/region09/air>.

Copies of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) materials are also available for inspection at the address listed below: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 1110 W. Washington Street, First Floor, Phoenix, AZ 85007, Telephone (602) 771-4335.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wienke Tax, Air Planning Office (AIR-2), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, P.O. Box 86825, Tucson, AZ 85754-6825. Telephone: (520) 622-1622. E-mail: tax.wienke@epa.gov, or check <http://www.epa.gov/region09/air>

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the maintenance plan for the Ajo SO₂ nonattainment area. We are also approving the State of Arizona's request to redesignate the Ajo area from nonattainment to attainment for the primary SO₂ NAAQS. We are taking these actions without prior proposal because we believe that the revision and request are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: September 25, 2003.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 03-27264 Filed 10-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-7581-8]

South Dakota: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: South Dakota has applied to EPA for Final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA has determined that these changes satisfy all requirements needed to qualify for Final authorization and is proposing to authorize the State's changes through this proposed final action.

DATES: Written comments must be received by December 3, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the South Dakota program revision applications and the materials which EPA used in evaluating the revisions are available for inspection and copying at the following locations: EPA Region VIII, from 7 AM to 4 PM, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, contact: Kris Shurr, phone number: (303) 312-6139, e-mail: shurr.kris@epa.gov or SDDENR, from 9 AM to 5 PM, Joe Foss Building, 523 E. Capitol, Pierre, South Dakota 57501-3181, contact: Carrie Jacobson, phone number (605) 773-3153. Send written comments to Kris Shurr, 8P-HW, U.S. EPA, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, phone number: (303) 312-6139 or electronically to shurr.kris@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kris Shurr, 8P-HW, U.S. EPA, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, phone number: (303) 312-6139 or shurr.kris@epa.gov. Written comments *must be received* by December 3, 2003.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Why Are Revisions to State Programs Necessary?

States which have received Final authorization from EPA under RCRA section 3006(b), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), must maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their programs and ask EPA to authorize the changes. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State