

Science and Technology Review. The purpose of the meeting is to allow the SAB and study leadership to assess the quality and long-term relevance of Information Technology research. Because classified and contractor-proprietary information will be discussed, this meeting will be closed to the public.

DATES: November 17–21, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Rome, NY.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Major Dwight Pavek, Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat, 1180 Air Force Pentagon, Rm 5D982, Washington DC 20330–1180, (703) 697–4811.

Pamela D. Fitzgerald,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–25768 Filed 10–9–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

HQ USAF Scientific Advisory Board

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given of the forthcoming meeting of the 2003 Science and Technology Review. The purpose of the meeting is to allow the SAB leadership to assess the quality and long-term relevance of AFRL Munitions research. Because classified and contractor-proprietary information will be discussed, this meeting will be closed to the public.

DATES: October 14–17, 2003.

ADDRESSES: 101 W. Eglin Blvd., STE 230, Eglin Air Force Base, FL.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Major Dwight Pavek, Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat, 1180 Air Force Pentagon, Rm 5D982, Washington, DC 20330–1180, (703) 697–4811.

Pamela D. Fitzgerald,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–25769 Filed 10–9–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Prospective Grant of Exclusive Patent License

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7, U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command (SBCCOM) hereby gives notice that it is contemplating the grant of an exclusive license in the United States to practice the below referenced inventions owned by the U.S. Government to Genencor International Inc., a Delaware Corporation with principal offices at 200 Meridian Center Blvd., Rochester, New York 14612.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John Biffoni, Intellectual Property Attorney, U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command, ATTN: AMSSB–CC (Bldg E4435), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010–5424, Phone: (410) 436–1158; Fax: 410–436–2534 or e-mail: John.Biffoni@sbccom.apgea.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The prospective exclusive license may be granted, unless SBCCOM receives written evidence and argument to establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7 on or before October 27, 2003. The following Patent Numbers, Titles and Issue dates are provided:

1. *Title:* “Enzymatic Detoxification of Organophosphorus Compounds”.

Description: The present invention relates to the expression of a recombinant bacterial enzyme which is useful for detoxifying cholinesterase-inhibiting organophosphorus compounds such as pesticides and chemical nerve agents and the decontamination of substances contaminated with these compounds.

Patent Number: 5,928,927.

Issue Date: July 27, 1999.

2. *Title:* “One-step Purification Process for Organophosphorus Hydrolase Enzyme”.

Description: The present invention relates to an improved and simplified process for purifying organophosphorus hydrolase enzyme (“OPH”) from a recombinant host cell, that expresses this enzyme.

Patent Number: 6,469,145.

Issue Date: October 22, 2002.

3. *Title:* “Enzymatic Detoxification of Organophosphorus Compounds”.

Description: The present invention relates to the expression of a recombinant bacterial enzyme which is useful for detoxifying cholinesterase-inhibiting organophosphorus compounds such as pesticides and chemical nerve agents and the decontamination of substances contaminated with these compounds.

Patent Number: 6,080,566.

Issue Date: June 27, 2000.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–25760 Filed 10–9–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Combined Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Following Three Aquifer Storage and Recovery Pilot Projects: Caloosahatchee River Aquifer Storage and Recovery Pilot Project Located Southwest of La Belle on the Berry Groves Property, Hendry County, Florida; Hillsboro Aquifer Storage and Recovery Pilot Project Adjacent to the Hillsboro Canal, Palm Beach County, FL; and Lake Okeechobee Aquifer Storage and Recovery Pilot Project at Three Locations Adjacent to Lake Okeechobee, with Components in Martin, Okeechobee, and Glades County, FL

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Jacksonville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), intends to prepare a combined Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for three Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) pilot projects. Notices of intent (NOI) for each of these projects were previously published separately in the **Federal Register**: (1) The Lake Okeechobee ASR Pilot NOI was published July 19, 2002 (67 FR 47527); (2) The Hillsboro ASR Pilot NOI was published May 31, 2002 (67 FR 38077); (3) The Caloosahatchee ASR Pilot NOI was published March 28, 2003 (68 FR 15157). Given their similarities and shared objective(s), it was decided to combine these projects into one DEIS. These three projects will consider the same alternatives—each testing separate technologies in order to obtain data on the feasibility and success of each alternative. These pilot studies are a cooperative effort between the Corps and the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), which is also a cooperating agency for this DEIS. For additional information on these projects please see the previously published NOIs for each pilot.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Conner, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970,

Jacksonville, FL 32232-0019, or by telephone at 904-232-1782.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. For information concerning authorization, project scope, preliminary alternatives, issues, scoping, coordination, agency role, and other environmental review and consultation please refer to the previously published NOIs for each project, cited above.

b. *Public Involvement:* We invite the participation of affected Federal, state and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested private organizations and parties.

c. *DEIS Preparation:* The combined DEIS for the three pilot projects is currently estimated for publication in December 2003.

Dated: October 1, 2003.

James C. Duck,

Chief, Planning Division.

[FR Doc. 03-25761 Filed 10-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-AJ-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Big Bear Lake "Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration", a Feasibility Study, Near Big Bear City, San Bernardino County, CA

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Impact Statement will address foreseeable environmental impacts from measures being investigated to include sediment removal, flood protection, watershed management, shoreline restoration and habitat restoration at Big Bear Lake, San Bernardino County, CA, will commence. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Big Bear Municipal Water District will cooperate in conducting this feasibility study. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is the lead Federal Agency for this study.

The Big Bear feasibility study will be conducted over the next several years following a planning process that will include public involvement during each of the study phases. The Study may result in a report recommending that Congress authorize a project for implementation by the Corps of Engineers, or that measures could be implemented by another agency to address the problems and needs of the study area. A range of conceptual alternatives were identified, as having a potential for Federal interest, to address

the problems and needs of the study area, to include (1) Sediment removal to improve aquatic habitat and public access; (2) Beneficial uses of sediment, including island construction; (3) increased flood protection; (4) Improved watershed management practices to reduce nutrient loading of Big Bear Lake; (5) Shoreline restoration and Stanfield Marsh enhancements; (6) Protecting sensitive and endangered species.

No alternatives have been advanced as yet, so contents of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) remain to be determined during the public scoping process. The portion of this study area includes Big Bear Lake, and 200 feet upgradient of the shoreline, 6 major tributaries and 50 feet either side of said tributaries. Big Bear Municipal Water District has identified, within this watershed, needs associated with loss of wildlife habitat and changes in the ecosystem of the lake. Lake water levels, caused by a multi-year drought, and siltation of the lake have caused changes in the water chemistry and temperature. Those ecological concerns will guide the formulation of plans for this segment of the watershed.

DATES: A scoping meeting will be held October 14, 2003, from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m., at Big Bear Lake Civic Center, located at 39707 Big Bear Boulevard, Big Bear Lake, CA. The scoping period will conclude November 24, 2003.

Comments, concerning this notice, should be submitted to the address listed below by November 24, 2003.

ADDRESSES: District Engineer, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, ATTN: CESPL-PD-RP, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053-2325.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Timothy Kennedy, Environmental Coordinator, telephone (213) 452-9878, or Mrs. Deborah Lamb, Study Manager, telephone (213) 452-3798. The cooperating entity, Big Bear Municipal Water District, requests inquiries to Ms. Sheila Hamilton, General Manager, telephone (909) 866-5796, for any additional information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Authorization

This study is being conducted in accordance with the study resolution adopted by the committee on Public Works, House of Representatives, adopted May 8, 1964, authorizing the study of the Santa Ana River Basin and Orange County Streams (SARBOC), CA. In addition, specific directive language was provided by Congress within the Energy and Water Development

Appropriations Bill, 2002, which reads as follows: "Santa Ana River and Tributaries, Big Bear Lake, CA—The bill includes \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake a reconnaissance study of environmental restoration, water quality and related issues at Big Bear Lake, CA." Funds in the amount of \$100,000 were appropriated in Fiscal Year 2002 to conduct the reconnaissance phase of the study, under the title Santa Ana River and Tributaries, Big Bear Lake, CA.

2. Background

Waters of Big Bear Creek originate in adjacent mountains to the north and south of Big Bear Lake. Big Bear Lake drains to the west into Big Bear Creek, then turns southwestward and becomes part of the Santa Ana River watershed. Since before the late 16th century when the Spanish explored the southwest, the river ran continuously all the way to the Pacific Ocean. Where underlying bedrock along its course forced water to the surface, Big Bear Creek was perennial. Historically, reliable surface flows along the river could be found intermittently in Big Bear Valley, to southeastern parts of what is now metropolitan Los Angeles. Subsurface flow, within Big Bear Valley, sustained a riparian community in and around Big Bear Lake, historically a natural lake, before it was dammed in 1884. Year-round water supplied the needs of Big Bear. In 1912 a more modern, and taller, dam was constructed 100 yards down stream from the original 1884 dam. This changed the water impoundment from 25,280 acre feet to more than 73,000 acre feet.

This DEIS will evaluate: (1) Sediment removal to improve aquatic habitat and public access; (2) Beneficial uses of sediment, including island construction; (3) increased flood protection; (4) Improved watershed management practices to reduce nutrient loading of Big Bear Lake; (5) Shoreline restoration and Stanfield Marsh enhancements; (6) Protecting sensitive and endangered species.

Prehistoric and historic cultural resources may be found along this stretch of the lake basin. The study team will comply with all applicable consultation rules as set forth in National Historic Preservation Act. Federally protected species and critical habitat will be considered.

3. Proposed Action

No plan of action has yet been identified.