Actions	Compliance	Procedures
<ul> <li>(ii) If cracks or damage is found during any inspection required in paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this AD:</li> <li>(A) obtain a repair scheme from the manufacturer through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (e) of this AD and incorporate this repair scheme, or repair in accordance with FAA Advisory Circular (AC) 43.13–1B, Change 1, dated September 27, 2001, Chapter 4, Paragraph 4–99; or</li> <li>(B) replace with a new or serviceable part.</li> </ul>	Prior to further flight after the inspection in which any crack or damage is found. Repetitively inspect as required in paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this AD.	Repair in accordance with AC 43.13–1B, Change 1, dated September 27, 2001, Chapter 4, Paragraph 4–99 or in accordance with the repair scheme obtained from DeHavilland Support Limited, Duxford Airfield, Bldg. 213, Cambridgeshire, CB2 4QR, United Kingdom. Obtain this repair scheme through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD. Replace in accordance with British Aerospace Aerostructures Limited (BAe Aircraft) Mandatory Technical News Sheet CT (C1) No. 190, Issue 2, dated April 1, 1995, or AC 43.13–1B, Change 1, dated September 27, 2001, Chapter 4, Paragraph 4–99.
(iii) Bind the rear upper mount frame tubes with a high density polythene tape at the location where the cowling support rod clip is secured.	Prior to further flight after any inspection required in paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this AD if no cracks or damage is found, and prior to further flight after any repairs or replacement is made as required in paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this AD.	In accordance with British Aerospace Aerostructures Limited (BAe Aircraft) Man- datory Technical News Sheet CT (C1) No. 190, Issue 2, dated April 1, 1995.

(e) Can I comply with this AD in any other way? To use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time, use the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Send these requests to the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO). Contact Cindy Lorenzen, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, Suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia; telephone: (770) 703–6078; facsimile: (770) 703–6097.

(f) Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference? Actions required by this AD must be done in accordance with British Aerospace Military Aircraft and Aerostructures (BAe Aircraft) Mandatory Technical News Sheet CT (C1) No. 176, Issue 2, dated November 1, 1997; Civil Modification Mandatory Modification No. Chipmunk H357, dated March 12, 1984; British Aerospace Mandatory Technical News Sheet Series: Chipmunk (C1), No. 138, Issue: 5, dated August 1, 1985; and British Aerospace Aerostructures Limited (BAe Aircraft) Mandatory Technical News Sheet CT (C1) No. 190, Issue 2, including Appendix 1 (Part B), dated April 1, 1995. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get copies from DeHavilland Support Limited, Duxford Airfield, Bldg. 213, Cambridgeshire, CB2 4QR, United Kingdom, telephone: +44 1223 830090, facsimile: +44 1223 830085, e-mail: info@dhsupport.com. You may view copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) When does this amendment become effective? This amendment becomes effective on October 10, 2003.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on August 19, 2003.

#### Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03–21742 Filed 8–29–03; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-74-AD; Amendment 39-13287; AD 2003-17-12]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 and MD-11F Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 and MD-11F airplanes, that requires a one-time visual inspection of the circuit breakers to determine if discrepant circuit breakers are installed, and corrective action if necessary. This action is necessary to prevent internal overheating and arcing of circuit breakers and airplane wiring due to long-term use and breakdown of internal components of the circuit breakers, which could result in smoke and fire in the flight compartment and

main cabin. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition. **DATES:** Effective October 7, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 7, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1–L5A (D800-0024). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Natalie Phan-Tran, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM– 130L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712–4137; telephone (562) 627–5343; fax (562) 627–5210.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A

proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 and MD–11E airplanes series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on June 11, 2003 (68 FR 34847). That action proposed to

require a one-time visual inspection of the circuit breakers to determine if discrepant circuit breakers are installed, and corrective action if necessary.

#### Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

# Changes to 14 CFR Part 39/Effect on the AD

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's airworthiness directives system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. However, for clarity and consistency in this final rule, we have retained the language of the NPRM regarding that material.

#### Change to Labor Rate Estimate

We have reviewed the figures we have used over the past several years to calculate AD costs to operators. To account for various inflationary costs in the airline industry, we find it necessary to increase the labor rate used in these calculations from \$60 per work hour to \$65 per work hour. The cost impact information, below, reflects this increase in the specified hourly labor rate.

# Cost Impact

There are approximately 193 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 69 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 80 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required inspection of the circuit breakers (over 700 installed on each airplane), and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$358,800, or \$5,200 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time

necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

#### Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

# 2003–17–12 McDonnell Douglas:

Amendment 39–13287. Docket 2002–NM–74–AD.

Applicability: Model MD–11 and MD–11F airplanes, as listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A137, Revision 01, dated March 11, 2003; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability

provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent internal overheating and arcing of circuit breakers and airplane wiring due to long-term use and breakdown of internal components of the circuit breakers, which could result in smoke and fire in the flight compartment and main cabin, accomplish the following:

#### Inspection and Replacement

(a) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD: Perform a one-time general visual inspection of the circuit breakers to determine if discrepant circuit breakers are installed (includes circuit breakers manufactured by Wood Electric and Wood Electric Division of Brumfield Potter Corporations, and incorrect circuit breakers installed per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A137, dated February 28, 2002), per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A137, Revision 01, dated March 11, 2003.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked.'

- (1) If no discrepant circuit breaker is found: No further action is required by this paragraph.
- (2) If any discrepant circuit breaker is found: At the next scheduled maintenance visit, but not later than 24 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the circuit breaker with a new, approved circuit breaker, per Revision 01 of the service bulletin.

#### **Part Installation**

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install, on any airplane, a circuit breaker having a part number listed in the "Existing Part Number" column in the table specified in paragraph 2.C.2., of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A137, Revision 01, dated March 11, 2003.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

#### **Special Flight Permit**

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

#### **Incorporation by Reference**

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11 24A137, Revision 01, dated March 11, 2003. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington,

#### **Effective Date**

(f) This amendment becomes effective on October 7, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 20, 2003.

#### Kvle L. Olsen,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03–21869 Filed 8–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-109-AD; Amendment 39-13288; AD 2003-17-13]

# RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model DHC-8-102, -103, -106, -201, -202, -301, -311, and -315 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-102, -103, -106, -201, -202, -301, -311, and -315 airplanes. This AD requires replacement of the elevator stop bumpers of the horizontal stabilizer with new bumpers. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent damage to the elevator trailing edge due to a broken or missing elevator stop bumper, which could result in jamming of the spring tab and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective October 7, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 7, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York: or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Serge Napoleon, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ANE–171, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256–7512; fax (516) 568–2716.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A

proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Bombardier Model DHC–8–102, –103, and –106 airplanes; Model DHC–8–201 and –202 airplanes; and Model DHC–8–301, –311, and –315 airplanes; was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register on June 2, 2003 (68 FR 32695). That action proposed to require replacement of the elevator stop bumpers of the horizontal stabilizer with new bumpers.

# Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received.

## Request To Provide for Incorporation of Temporary Revisions (TRs) Into General Revisions of Maintenance Program Support Manual (PSM)

One commenter requests that the FAA revise the supplemental NPRM to address the issue of the future incorporation of the TRs referenced in the supplemental NPRM into the general revisions of the applicable PSMs. The commenter states that providing for this eventuality in this AD would eliminate the need for operators to request approval from the FAA of an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) with this AD in the future.

We concur. Once TRs have been incorporated into the PSM, the TRs are voided. Thus, referring to the TRs alone may necessitate that operators must apply for an AMOC once the information in the applicable TR has been incorporated into the applicable PSM. We have added a new paragraph (c) to this AD, and re-identified subsequent paragraphs accordingly, to state that, when the information in the applicable TR has been included in the general revisions of the applicable PSM, the general revisions may be inserted in the PSM, and the applicable TR may be removed from the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

#### **Interim Action**

This is considered to be interim action until final action is identified, at which time we may consider further rulemaking.

#### **Change to Labor Rate Estimate**

Since the issuance of the supplemental NPRM, we have reviewed the figures we have used over the past several years to calculate AD costs to operators. To account for various inflationary costs in the airline industry, we find it necessary to increase the labor rate used in these calculations from \$60 per work hour to \$65 per work hour. The cost impact information, below, reflects this increase in the specified hourly labor rate.